A SHORT HISTORICAL GRAMMAR
OF THE
GERMAN LANGUAGE
A SHORT HISTORICAL
GRAMMAR
OF THE
GERMAN LANGUAGE
TRANSLATED AND ADAPTED FROM PROFESSOR BEHAGHEL'S
'DEUTSCHE SPRACHE'
BY
EMIL TRECHMANN, M.A. (Oxon.) Ph.D.
UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY
MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED
ST. MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON
1915
PREFACE

This little book is published in the hope that it may be of some use to those who are entering upon the study of the history of the German language. It is a translation, slightly altered and adapted for English students, of Dr. Otto Behaghel's book of the same name (*Die deutsche Sprache*), which forms one of a series of little volumes intended to popularise science and knowledge in all its departments (*Das Wissen der Gegenwart*). The author is well known as one of the most diligent researchers in the field of Old German and Teutonic philology and literature, and his book is the only one hitherto published which attempts to give in small compass and in popular form the results of recent studies in that sphere.

The most important alterations which the translator thought advisable to make consist, firstly, in the elimination of a few pages here and there, where the author addresses himself to his German readers on the subject of solecisms and purity of speech; secondly, in a slight rearrangement of the subject-matter in the chapter on the Inflexions. Additional examples, both German and English, have also been introduced where necessary.

The thanks of the translator are due to Dr. H. Hager for valuable suggestions, and for help in correcting proofs.
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A. INTRODUCTION.

I.—HISTORY OF THE GERMAN LANGUAGE.

Everyday things do not as a rule claim our attention and thoughts. Nothing is more commonplace than the words which compose our speech, and the sentences which we ordinarily meet with in reading. And yet in educated circles a lively interest is taken in the phenomena of language, and linguistic matters often enough form the subject of conversation. How is this? If we always used one and the same form of expression for the same thought, then questions concerning language would doubtless leave us as indifferent as the observation that water flows down-hill and that iron rusts. But no such uniformity exists; we meet with differences at every step, according to time, place, or the person of the speaker.

It is these differences above all which excite our thinking. They are not accidental, but necessary phenomena in the life of language, which appear at all times; in their totality they form the history of language.

By the expression 'German language' is usually understood the language of the German races. Its territory coincides therefore on the whole with that of the German Empire; but it also reaches beyond the political boundaries of the latter: it embraces Switzerland, German Austria, the Germans in the Baltic provinces, German settlers in the East and West, and lastly, though in a less strict sense, the Netherlands.
CHAPTER I.

PRE-TEUTONIC PERIOD.

SOUND-SHIFTING. SHIFTING OF ACCENT.

The German races are only a fraction of a greater whole; they form a branch of the great Teutonic stock to which, among modern nations, the English and Scandinavians also belong. The separation of the Germans from the rest of the Teutonic stock was naturally followed by a separation of their language. If we find coincidences in the forms of language of, e.g., Scandinavian on the one hand, and German on the other, we are justified in very many cases in concluding with certainty that those forms were the property of the Teutonic tongue before its division. Hence we are enabled, by a comparison of the different Teutonic dialects, to gain an approximate idea of the original common Teutonic mother-tongue.

The science of language can do still more. It has shown that, as the English and Scandinavians form one family of cousins with the Germans, so the Teutons possess a larger circle of relations, though more distant. To this wider family circle belong the Indians, the Iranians, the Armenians, the Greeks, the Italians (chiefly represented by the Romans), the Celts, the Slavs, and the Letts. All these in a former age formed one common people and possessed one common language with the Teutons. This primitive nation is called the Indo-European, and their language the Indo-European language.¹ Of the form of this language comparative philology has succeeded in forming a sufficiently correct idea.

¹ German philologists employed the term 'Indo-Germanic' for this language, from the two extreme members in the East (Indian) and West (English), before it was discovered that the Celts belonged to the family, and for want of a better expression have retained it. The term 'Aryan' has also been used, but this term is more properly applied to the two Asiatic branches of the family.
Beyond this research has been unable to go; attempts have indeed been made to show that an ultimate relationship exists between the Indo-European and the Semitic language-stocks; but of this no satisfactory proofs have been found as yet. Although we are unable to penetrate beyond the Indo-European language, it does not by any means follow that that language was the original language; the Indo-European was, on the contrary, in every respect a highly developed language, and had a long period of evolution behind it. In respect of form, moreover, there is no fundamental difference between Indo-European and Teutonic; the former possessed almost the same number and the same kinds of sounds as the latter, and had even a greater abundance of grammatical forms; only in respect of the construction of the compound sentence was the Teutonic in advance of the Indo-European, although the Indo-European had already learned to subordinate one clause to another by means of a conjunction.

The following table shows the most important sounds of the Indo-European language:

A. VOWELS—
   i. Simple: short . a e i o u
      long . å å ï ï ô ô
   ii. Compound (diphthongs) ai au ei eu

B. CONSONANTS; these are divided into Sonorous consonants and Noise-sounds—

(1) Sonorous Consonants:
   a. semi-vowels . . . j (y) w
   b. liquids . . . l r
   c. nasals . . . m n

(2) Noise-sounds
   i. Simple:
      a. momentary (*Augenblickslaut*, not capable of being lengthened out, also called *Verschlusslaut* or *Explosivlaut*):
         divided according to the organs of production into:
         a. gutturals . . . k g
         β. dentals . . . t d
         γ. labials . . . p b
or according to the degree of effort with which they are produced into:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{a. tenues (hard, voiceless)} & : \quad k \ t \ p \\
\text{B. mediae (soft, voiced)} & : \quad g \ d \ b \\
\end{align*} \]

b. enduring (Dauerlaute, capable of being lengthened out at will, also called Reibelaute or Spirants): principally represented by \( s \).

ii. Compound; combinations of the media with \( h \), called Aspirates:

\[ gh \quad dh \quad bh \]

(pronounced somewhat as in Waghals or fohorn, Eidhelfer or hardhearted, leibhaftig or cabhorse).

From this Indo-European mother-tongue the Teutonic branch detached itself at a period which cannot be accurately determined—in other words, a part of the Indo-European language suffered changes from which the other parts remained free. If we take a survey of the mass of words which are common to Teutonic, Greek, and Latin, we shall find that the vowels and sonorous sounds of the three groups coincide on the whole, but that the Noise-sounds (with the exception of \( s \)) of the Teutonic group invariably differ from those of Greek and Latin; and they not only differ, but they differ methodically: each Teutonic consonant invariably corresponds to a certain other sound in Greek or Latin; in other words, each of the sounds in Indo-European has shifted to a different sound in Teutonic. The law of this Sound-shifting process was formulated by Jacob Grimm, who called it Das Gesetz der Lautverschiebung (Grimm’s Law). It is termed more exactly the First Sound-shifting, as a second shifting took place in a later period of Teutonic.

The Sound-shifting process may be summed up in three chief rules:

1. The tenuis in Indo-European (which may be represented by Greek and Latin) changes to a spirant in Teutonic. A distinction must now be made between voiced and voiceless spirants. The spirant, to which the I.E. tenuis shifted, was voiceless
(hard); and the guttural spirant is represented in Teutonic by h. Thus,

I.E. k became T. h,
  *e.g. Gk. καρδία L. coer*  G. *Hertz*  E. *heart*

I.E. t became T. th,
  *e.g. Gk. μητέρ*  L. *mater*  ...  E. *mother* ¹

I.E. p became T. f,
  *e.g. Gk. πατήρ*  L. *pater*  G. *Vater*  E. *father*  (pr. *fater*)

2. The second rule may be briefly stated thus: the aspirate in Indo-European changes to a media in Teutonic. The I.E. aspirates gh, dh, bh appear in Greek as χ, θ, φ, in Latin as h, f, f. Thus,

I.E. gh became T. g,
  *e.g. Gk. χόρτος L. hortus*  G. *Garten*  E. *garden*

I.E. dh became T. d,
  *e.g. Gk. θύρα L. fores*  ...  E. *door*

I.E. bh became T. b,
  *e.g. Gk. φέρω L. fero*  G. *ge-bären*  E. *bear*

This statement of the rule is not altogether accurate. Many German dialects either possess no media g, or possess it only in the beginning of words (in Anlaut); in its place they have a voiced guttural spirant (*i.e. the soft sound corresponding to the voiceless guttural spirant ch*). Certain dialects again—especially the Low German dialects—are deficient in a labial media (b) in the interior of words (in Inlaut), having in place of it a voiced spirant, a sound between f and v, and which may here be expressed by b. These spirants are the sounds which originally proceeded from the aspirates gh, bh. The aspirates evidently did not shift uniformly; probably all the I.E. aspirates shifted to spirants, some of which changed to mediae, whilst others have remained to this day.

¹ English having in many cases retained the Teutonic consonants more faithfully than Modern German, it will be necessary sometimes to give English instead of German examples.
3. The media in Indo-European changes to tenuis in Teutonic. Thus,

I.E. g became T. k,
  e.g. Gk. γένος  L. gena    G. Kinn
    (cheek)

I.E. d became T. t,
  e.g. Gk. δύο  L. duo       ...  E. two

I.E. b became T. p,
  e.g. Gk. τύπθη  L. turba   Lg. dorp (G. Dorf)  E. thorp

In consequence of these shiftings a very considerable change had come over the I.E. sound-system; the Teutonic branch no longer possessed any aspirates, whilst the number of its spirants, which were represented in Indo-European by s alone, increased very largely.

These three sound-shifting processes stand in no kind of connection with one another. They were not simultaneous; it has been satisfactorily proved that the shifting of the media to the tenuis took place at a much later period than the other two. Nor were any of them either the cause or the effect of the others, that is, the media, for instance, did not, as might be supposed, change to tenuis because the aspirate had changed to media, in order to prevent the clashing of the old sounds with the new; for a conscious endeavour of this kind to prevent the concurrence of sounds or forms would of itself be quite foreign to language.

An explanation of this remarkable phenomenon of sound-shifting has not yet been found. The view has been expressed that it was due to the Turanian family of languages, which came into contact with the Teutons; that, for example, the media changed to tenuis, because the sounds g, d, b were originally foreign to Turanian. But the falseness of this theory may be easily proved.

There is an apparent exception to Grimm’s Law; in place of I.E. k, t, p we find in Teutonic not only h, th, f, but also g, d, b. Compare with the examples given above (Rule 1) the following:—

    Gk. δείκνυμι  L. dico  G. zeigen
    κλυτός   (in)clytus  E. loud
    ...  capio  G. heben
In order to explain these apparent exceptions, we must first notice another great difference which exists between Indo-European and Teutonic. In the Teutonic languages all inflexional forms and derivations of one and the same word have the principal accent upon one and the same syllable, e.g. Haus, Häuser, häuslich, Häuslichkeit, etc., house, houses, houseless, housewife, husbandman, etc. As a rule the first syllable carries the accent; in other words, they have a fixed accent. In the Indo-European the case was different; the accent could shift about within the same word, as in Greek, e.g. μῆτηρ—μητρός, ἀνδρες—ἀνδρῶν; the first, second, third, or any other syllable could have the principal accent. This freedom of accentuation continued, after the separation of the Teutonic group from the main stock, to the time when the sound-shifting process had already begun, and exercised a certain influence upon the shifting of the tenuis. When the chief accent immediately preceded the tenuis ( k, t, p), then the tenuis changed to a spirant; when the chief accent followed ( k, t, p), then it changed, to media. This law is called Verner's Law, after its discoverer, Karl Verner.

The laws of sound-shifting are of great importance in determining the relationship of Teutonic words with those of the other I.E. languages; only such words may be regarded as related in which the sounds correspond according to the laws stated above. Teutonic words, therefore, which have the same gutturals, labials, or dentals as, e.g., Latin or Greek words cannot be originally related to them, thus G. Kopf is not related to L. caput, nor G. Fuchs to L. fuscus; Kammer is no doubt identical with L. camera, but it is not an originally German word, but was borrowed from Latin; so also Dom was borrowed from L. domus in the New High German period.

In Verner's Law we have the means of determining the position of the accent in I.E. words. Whenever I.E. k, t, or p have a media corresponding to them in Teutonic, we may conclude that the I.E. accent followed those consonants; when they correspond to a Teutonic spirant, then the accent preceded.
CHAPTER II.

TEUTONIC AND ITS SUB-GROUPS.

The two changes, the sound-shifting and the alteration in the I.E. principle of accentuation, determined the independence of the Teutonic tongue. The Teutonic still possessed a considerable wealth of grammatical forms; among others it had a special form of the passive voice, and answers to the questions where? whence? and wherewith? could still be given partly by special case-forms. The number of tenses had become greatly reduced in Teutonic, but on the other hand a new form of conjugation arose during the common Teutonic period, the so-called weak preterite, G. klagte, legte; E. loved, thanked. As regards the syntax, many constructions had survived in Teutonic which have since disappeared, e.g. causal or temporal relations could be expressed as in Latin or Greek by a participial construction consisting simply of a noun and a participle, as faderi kumondi = when the father was coming (comp. the Latin Abl. Absol. Tarquinio Superbo regnante); and 'than' after a comparison could be expressed by a simple case of the noun (comp. the Latin Abl. Compar. Scimus solem multo majorem terrá esse).

The Teutonic race and with it the Teutonic tongue did not immediately split up into the large number of tribes and dialects which we meet with in a later period, but first divided into three main groups, two of which, the Gothic and the Scandinavian, standing in a somewhat closer relationship to one another, may be classed together as East Teutonic; the third group is the West Teutonic.

The Gothic nations and their related tribes made themselves famous in history in the early centuries of our era; in their youthful ardour and strength they founded kingdoms upon the soil of the Roman Empire. But their strength was not long of any avail against the superior civilisation of the Romans. Their
language perished. In the Romance languages of Italy, Spain, and Portugal there is indeed a strong admixture of Teutonic words, and even their syntax shows traces of Teutonic influence; but no written monument tells us of the language in which Gelimer sang his grief; we know nothing of the tongue of the Gepidae and Bastarnae; not even the Eastern Goths have left behind them a literary trace of their existence. Of the Western Goths of the Balkan peninsula alone do we possess connected writings in their own language, which are at the same time the oldest connected writings in any Teutonic dialect. These writings mainly consist of the fragments of a Gothic translation of the Bible, the greater part of which was the work of Vulfila or Ulfilas, the first bishop of the West Goths, who lived from A.D. 311 to 381. This translation, although its language was influenced in some points by Greek and Latin Bible texts, offers a thoroughly faithful picture of the real Gothic speech. The Gothic sounds differ little from those of the original Teutonic, and may serve to a certain extent to exemplify the latter. Its grammatical forms are less numerous than those of Teutonic; in some respects indeed it is less like the original tongue than some West Teutonic dialects, which have been handed down to us in a much later shape.

Only a scattered remnant of the Goths survived in modern times, the so-called Tetraxitic Goths in the Crimea. A Dutch physician of the sixteenth century, by name Busbeck, heard their language spoken in Constantinople, and chronicled a number of their words.

The Scandinavian or Norse dialect is not known in its original shape, but may be reconstructed from the languages which are descended from it, and which comprise Swedish, Norwegian, Danish, and Icelandic. The manuscript sources of this language are scarcely older than the twelfth century.

The dialect of the third and for us most important branch, the West Teutonic, can, like the Scandinavian, be immediately observed only in its later developments. When and where this branch separated from the others cannot be determined; nor can we gain any definite idea of the extent and limits of this language-territory during the first centuries of our era up to the date of the earliest literary monuments. For at that time the Teutonic nations were still in constant motion; old tribes and
their names disappear, new confederations and new names turn up in history. Not till the sixth century, after the conquest of Britain, do the Teutonic migrations cease. Of the tribes whose languages have lived on or can be investigated in their literary remains, the West Teutonic territory embraces the following:—

the Langobards, Bavarians, Alemans, Burgundians, Franks, Hessians, Thuringians, Angles, Saxons, Jutes, and Frisians. Thus we see that the German tongue had then a much wider sway than in later times; it extended northwards to about the Clyde in Great Britain, in the west as far as the Atlantic Ocean, in the south to the Pyrenees and across the ridges of the Alps into Northern Italy. In the east, on the other hand, the German territory was much more restricted; the river Elbe was the boundary, and to the east of it dwelt the Slavs.

If we possessed literary records dating from the fourth or fifth centuries of the nations just mentioned, we should find that their various dialects differed little from each other, and that in their sounds they were on the whole very like the language of the Goths. The principal difference between Gothic (and Scandinavian) on the one hand, and West Teutonic on the other, is in the verbal inflexion; the first group formed the second person singular of the preterite of its strong verbs with the ending t (herein agreeing with the other I.E. languages), whilst the West Teutonic dialects had a form ending in i. Compare the E.T. forms, Got. nam—namt—nam, halp—halpt—halp, Norse gaf—gast—gaf, with the W.T. forms, As. healp—heulp—healp, Ohg. nam—nāmi—nam, gap—gābi—gap, Mhg. nam—nāme—nam, gap—gābe—gap.¹

At the period, however, of which we possess West Teutonic literary records, the various dialects differed much more both from the Gothic and among themselves, and these differences continued to increase. The language which struck out its own path most independently and differed most from the others was that of the Angles, Saxons, and Jutes of Britain, the Anglo-Saxon or English. This difference was in the first place a

¹ In the Modern German and English languages the old forms of the second person have been replaced by newer forms ending in st, G. ich nahm—du nahmst—er nahm, E. I gave—thou gavest—he gave, etc. They were formed by analogy from the other tenses of the indicative and conjunctive.
natural consequence of its isolation, and afterwards of the political history of the population of Britain. The conquest by the Normans gave to the English vocabulary a half Romance character, and the previous constant irruptions of the Danes and their temporary dominion in England had not been without an influence upon the language. The English language now falls outside the range of our consideration, as does the Frisian dialect, which is still spoken upon the islands and the coast of the North Sea, and which differs considerably from the dialects of the Continent.

There remain for our further consideration the Langobards, Bavarians, Alemans, Burgundians, Franks, Hessians, Thuringians, and the remnants of the Saxons and Angles on the Continent. The history of this, the German language-territory in its narrower sense, may be divided into three periods, an Old, Middle, and New period.

CHAPTER III.

OLD HIGH GERMAN PERIOD.

The first period closes with about the year A.D. 1100, if we may speak of beginnings and endings in the case of a continuous development. The beginning of the period cannot be definitely fixed; all that we can say is, that it coincides with the time in which accredited history may be said to begin, i.e. with the time of the first literary records. These earliest records are not real literary productions; they are not even in connected and continuous language. The oldest German poetry was, as is well known, usually transmitted by word of mouth, and if any of it was committed to writing it was only by a wonderful chance that remnants of it survived the hostility of the Christian priesthood to the old indigenous song, and the paganism which lived on in it. Latin was and remained for centuries the language of learning, of laws, and of public life, in spite of the efforts of Charlemagne to obtain a higher recognition for his mother-tongue; for his successors, the later German kings, did anything
but favour the German language. But although Latin was employed in writings of all kinds, much valuable material for students of the German language has been preserved even in them, especially in deeds drawn up on German soil. These deeds contain large numbers of German words, almost exclusively indeed proper names of persons and places, for the most part with Latinised endings. The disclosures which these offer to those who know how to use them rightly, although they throw light only upon the phonology of the language, or at the most upon some points of the inflexion of nouns and word-formation, are of great importance, the more so from the fact that in almost every case the exact place and time of their drawing up are known.

Next in order to these names as sources of language are the so-called Glosses, i.e. translations of individual words in Latin manuscripts, made for purposes of learning and teaching. These Glosses are of two kinds—Interlinear Glosses, i.e. written above the words of the Latin text, and Vocabularies or Glossaries, i.e. drawn up in columns.

The first connected German writings which we possess date from the time of Charlemagne. They comprise principally translations of ecclesiastical literature, a few religious poems, and very scanty fragments of indigenous folk-song. This literature is extremely unevenly distributed among the different German tribes. Among those which contributed nothing are the Angles (of the Continent), from whom we have only a few isolated words, the Hessians and Thuringians, the Langobards and Burgundians. The Saxons left little, the Franks of the Lower Rhine still less; the richest literature is found among the other Franks, the Bavarians, and the Alemans—that is, in the territory of the Rhine from Constance to the Moselle, and that of the Upper Danube; those parts, in other words, in which the new Christian culture had taken the earliest and firmest hold.

That the Langobards and Burgundians left no connected literary records has a particular reason. At the beginning of our period the territory upon which German was spoken became considerably restricted; the fate which the Goths experienced upon Roman soil befell also the Langobards, the Burgundians, and the Western Franks: the Romance tongue prevailed over the German, and the latter perished.
On the other hand, the German element also left its mark upon the victorious Romance languages, especially upon French. Numerous words of German origin are found in the language of war—the word guerre itself is German, and is connected with wirren, 'to confuse,' cf. E. war—in the language of feudalism and of law. Again, the gender of a whole class of French words was determined by the German, viz. that of nouns ending in -eur, e.g. la fureur, la couleur, la blancheur; coming from Latin masculine nouns in -orem, we should expect them to be of the masculine gender, but they all became feminine after the model of German nouns, denoting abstract qualities.

After these encroachments of the German language-territory by the Romance element, its boundaries in the west and south were virtually fixed, and have remained almost the same to this day. The boundary line begins a little east of Gravelines, passes the French town of St. Omer, and proceeds south nearly to the Lys, and eastward to the Meuse (Maas), between Liège (Lüttich) and Maestricht; it then continues to the south-east towards Malmédy and to the south towards Longwy (both of which are French towns), again to the south-east as far as Pfalzburg and Lützelhausen and to the south towards Colmar; then striking the present boundary of the German Empire to the west of the latter town, it follows the same along the Lützel as far as Roggenburg, and taking an easterly turn to the Birs, follows the boundary of the Canton of Solothurn, passes to the west of the Bieler See, moves along the Ziehl towards Murten, through Freiburg, crosses the Rhone near Siders, skirts the Matterhorn, encircles Monte Rosa and the St. Gotthard, follows the northern boundary of Graubünden to about Tamins, and finishes in an easterly direction towards Klagenfurt.

The phonetic changes which took place in the language during the Old High German period chiefly affected the consonants; there was a second Sound-shifting, in which, as in the first, the different changes were quite independent of one another, and not simultaneous in their operation. All the changes of this second Sound-shifting may be followed almost step by step and in order through the medium of the literary records. But the second Sound-shifting differs in a most important respect from the first, in that it affected much fewer consonants.

Another difference between the two is that the second shift-
ing affected the different dialects in very different degrees. The southern dialects felt its influence most strongly and earliest in point of time; the farther north the movement advanced, the weaker became its influence (like the concentric circles upon a piece of water), the most northern parts having been almost wholly unaffected by it. Thus it is that the second Sound-shifting is of great importance for the classification of the German dialects.

The earliest and most comprehensive shifting was that of the tenues k, t, p; the first of these to change was Teut. t, and this change was also the most widespread.

We must here distinguish between Anlaut, or position at the beginning of a word, on the one hand, and Inlaut and Auslaut, or position in the interior or at the end of a word, on the other. In Anlaut t changed to an affricate, a combination of tenuis and spirant; this sound is usually designated in the old sources by z, and was pronounced like the Modern German tz, e.g.

Got. taivun Lg. teihn E. ten — Ohg. zehan G. zehn

In Inlaut and Auslaut t changed to a spirant; but whilst I.E. t changed in Teut. to th, in the second shifting t changed to a sound usually designated in the sources by z. The pronunciation of this sound may have approached that of a sharp s; in later times it coincided exactly with the latter, e.g.

Got. itan Os. As. etan E. eat — Ohg. ezzan G. essen

" footus As. fôt " foot — " vuoz " Fuss

On the shifting of t to z, which took place about the year A.D. 600, is based the most important division of the dialects, the division into Low German and High German, the language of the north and that of the south. Low German is the territory of the preserved t, High German that of the shifted t. Low German, as will presently be seen, was hardly affected at all by the second Sound-shifting, so that Lg. words may in most cases be used as examples to show the state of the Teutonic mute consonants.¹

Teut. k also shifted differently according to its position in a

¹ English was not at all affected by the second Sound-shifting; English words may therefore be used where the Low German fails.
word; in Inlaut and Auslaut it changed to \textit{ch} throughout the High German territory, e.g.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Lg. spreken} As. \textit{sprecan} E. \textit{speak}—Ohg. \textit{sprëchan} G. \textit{sprechen}
  \item \textit{"ik} \quad \textit{"ic} \quad \textit{"I} \quad \textit{"ih} \quad \textit{"ich}
\end{itemize}

In the western part of the Low German territory, the Low Franconian dialects, \textit{k} changed to \textit{ch} in Auslaut only. In Anlaut \textit{k} remained unchanged in most parts of Germany; only in Bavarian and Alemannic dialects—though not in all parts of them—it shifted to the affricate \textit{kch}.

Teut. \textit{p} in Inlaut and Auslaut shifted throughout High German to \textit{f}, e.g.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Got. slepan} Lg. \textit{slepen} E. \textit{sleп}—Ohg. \textit{slafan} G. \textit{schlafen}
  \item \textit{...} Lg. \textit{Schup} E. \textit{sheep}— \textit{...} G. \textit{Schaf}
\end{itemize}

In Anlaut \textit{p} changed to the affricate \textit{pf} in Bavarian and Alemannic, and in a portion of the Franconian, namely, the East Franconian (the Franconian of the Main territory) and part of the South Franconian. Thus the Franconian dialects became divided; the boundary between the northern \textit{p} and the southern \textit{pf} in the South Franconian territory is in the Grand Duchy of Baden between Bruchsal and Heidelberg. It may be here remarked that \textit{pf} is also peculiar to dialects of Middle Germany (which will enter into our observation later), viz. in Thuringian, Upper Saxon, and Silesian.

Of the spirants the hard sounds \textit{h} and \textit{f} remained unchanged; but \textit{th} changed throughout German, even in Low German, to \textit{d}, e.g.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Got. bróthar} E. \textit{brother} — G. \textit{Bruder}
\end{itemize}

In the labial series the voiced spirant (\textit{b}) changed in Bavarian and Alemannic and a portion of the other High German dialects to a momentary sound, \textit{i.e.} to the same sound which already existed in Anlaut. The nature of this labial mute is of special importance for the characterisation of the dialects. In Low German dialects the difference between \textit{b} and \textit{p} is the same as in the English and Romance languages, \textit{i.e.} in pronouncing \textit{p} the vocal chords are at rest, in pronouncing \textit{b} they vibrate. In Middle Germany this sound is pronounced without any vibration; wherefore in a large part of this territory no distinction
is made between $b$ and $p$. Farther south the distinction again appears; in Bavarian and Alemanic $p$ is uttered with more force than $b$. And this state of things had already existed in the beginning of the Ohg. period. The consequence was that $b$ was no longer used, as in Low German, to represent the weaker mute—for the sound did not coincide with that of the Romance $b$—but the sign $p$. There was the further difference between Bavarian and Alemanic, that the latter mostly retained the sign $b$ in Inlaut, whilst Bavarian mostly used $p$.

The fate of the soft guttural mute consonant ($\gamma$), in so far as it had developed out of the spirant, was similar. Here, again, Low German distinguished the sounds $g$ and $k$ as in the Romance languages, whilst in the dialects of Middle Germany the vocal chords no longer vibrated in pronouncing $g$, and the two sounds $g$ and $k$ coincided. In Bavarian and Alemanic again—but also in the southern parts of Franconian—there is a difference of force in the production of the two sounds; in the earliest periods of Bavarian-Alemanic the media is expressed sometimes by $g$ and sometimes by $k$, thus indicating a middle sound between Romance $g$ and $k$.

The Teut. media $d$ loses its vibration in High German, and is usually designated in the sources by $t$.

We subjoin a table giving a general view of the principal changes comprised by the First and Second Sound-shiftings.

The differences as shown in the table continue throughout the whole of the later development of the German language, throughout the three periods. In accordance with these divisions of time we speak of Old, Middle, and New High German, of Old, Middle, and New Low German.

The boundary lines which divide the different dialects from one another have remained almost the same during the three periods, except that High German has made gradual inroads upon Low German territory. We shall not greatly err therefore in availing ourselves of the assistance of the modern dialects in order to classify the older dialects, the boundaries of which cannot in every case be accurately fixed.

Low German and High German are divided by a line running nearly from west to east. Beginning from the river Meuse midway between Liège and Maestricht, it follows that river down stream as far as Roermunde, and from here goes to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indo-European</th>
<th>Teutonic</th>
<th>Low German</th>
<th>High German</th>
<th>Upper German</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Franconian</td>
<td>Alemanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>th</td>
<td>th</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(afterwards d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gh</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g-k</td>
<td>g-k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(spir. or med.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(spir. or med.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dh</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bh</td>
<td>b [b]</td>
<td>b [b]</td>
<td>p [b]</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p [b]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>ch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>z [5]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p or pf[f]</td>
<td>pf [f]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.**—Where more than one sound are given, those within the square brackets represent the *Inlaut* and *Auslaut*, the others the *Anlaut*.

z represents the sound *ts*; ʒ a sound resembling *ss*.
the east to Düsseldorf and Elberfeld; it then takes a northerly direction and proceeds parallel with the Rhine almost as far as the Sieg, and thence in a tolerably straight line to the north-east through Minden to the Elbe, which it meets at Magdeburg. The Low German territory thus bounded embraces the Saxons and a portion of the Franks, and the earlier forms of their dialects are called respectively Old Saxon and Old Low Franconian.

The boundary between Franconian and Upper German (Ober-Deutsch) is formed in the Rhine valley by the forest of Hagenau and the lower course of the Murg. From here it follows the Oosbach up stream and passes the Schwarzbach and beyond it the sources of the Enz towards the Teinach and its junction with the Nagold; reaching the Würm between Deifringen and Eutingen, and the Neckar below the mouth of the Rens, it proceeds to Ellwangen, Feuchtwangen, Wassertrüdingen, and thence in a north-east direction to the Fichtel-Gebirge.

The line of separation between the Alemanic and Bavarian almost coincides with the rivers Wörnitz and Lech; but in the upper course of the Lech the Alemanic crosses over to the right bank.

The differences between the German dialects were much smaller in the Ohg. period than they are now. If we take the Ohg. dialects as a whole and compare them with Gothic on the one hand and with the modern German Schriftsprache on the other, we shall find them to agree much more with the former than with the latter. From the New High German they are distinguished above all things by the outward form of the individual words; in the modern language hardly any other sound than the short dull e appears in the terminations of words, whilst in the old period any long or short vowel may occur. The older language was characterised by a much greater fullness of sounds and richness of euphony than the modern. Another detail in the Ohg. sound-system may be mentioned: many words which now begin with l had in Ohg. an h preceding this l; thus Ludwig was in Ohg. Hludwig. This hl was for the Romans a strange combination of sounds, and they tried to reproduce it by chl; thus Chlodwig, Chlotar are nothing more than the German Ludwig, Lothar.

In the course of the tenth and eleventh centuries the
German language gradually changes its character: the vowels of the terminations, which had been long, begin to shorten, and those which had been short gradually weakened to e. This movement did not begin simultaneously in all parts, nor did it proceed everywhere with the same rapidity; in general the south is more conservative than the north. The conclusion of this change fell for the most part in the first part of the twelfth century; with it an essential feature of Old High German is removed and the Middle High and Low German period begins. An exception must be made in the case of Upper German, which disturbed the regularity of the development by retaining some full vowels in certain cases till far into the Middle period.

CHAPTER IV.

MIDDLE HIGH GERMAN PERIOD.

The Middle period is usually called simply the Middle High German period, from the dialects which played the most important part in the literature. The language-territory of this epoch offers a more varied and extensive picture than that of the preceding period, for several reasons.

Firstly. Territories which till then had taken little or no part in literary movements, now enter into our observation. To these belong the Low German and the northern parts of the High German regions, namely, the Franconian territory from Mayence to Cologne, and the Hessian and Thuringian provinces. The dialects of the latter, the language spoken between the Main and the Low German boundary, are from this time called Middle German, from their position midway between the Low German of the north and the Upper or High German of the south.

Secondly. The German language extended considerably beyond its original boundaries. As the Slavonic might was obliged to bend before the German sword and German colonists, so also the language gained a firm footing to the east of the Elbe; Saxony and Silesia are conquered, Bohemia is partly won
—and there German literature found a friendly home in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries,—Brandenburg, Mecklenburg, Pomerania, and Prussia become German. At the present day, therefore, the German outposts have advanced into Slav element as far as the river Niemen. In the south-east the German language has extended its boundaries at the expense of the Magyars, and has also wedged itself into their territory in a number of language-islands.

The newly-acquired districts do not indeed form any distinct group among themselves in respect of their language. The northern parts were colonised partly by Low and partly by High Germans; the language of the latter had a Middle German character. Thus Saxony and Silesia join themselves to Middle Germany, as does also the Land of the Teutonic Order (Deutschordensland) during this period. The Mark Brandenburg, on the other hand, and the coast districts were colonised by Low Germans.

During the Mhg. period the language gained ground not only outside, but also within the country. Before this period many kinds of written documents were only in Latin; with the exception of works of translation, German prose had been able to subsist only in the very earliest times. In the Mhg. period a change took place; from the middle of the thirteenth century German homiletic literature received a great impetus, especially in the hands of the Mystics. The language of jurisprudence also begins to put on a German garb; the most renowned of German law books, the Sachsenspiegel, was written about 1230, and at the close of the same century deeds begin to be drawn up in German. These legal documents cannot indeed be classed among works of literature; but real literary prose works are not altogether wanting. Prose is used with success in historical writing and in learned disquisitions, if the philosophic and theosophic treatises of the Mystics may be so called. But on the whole, Latin still remained the language of scholarship.

This wider cultivation and more extended use of German at this time was not the result of a conscious or unconscious national striving, but was rather the consequence of the decay of monastic learning and of the new strength which the citizen element was acquiring. It had a considerable influence on the development of the language; for, as the unwonted exercise of
a limb makes it to grow, so also a language increases in strength and suppleness in proportion to the greater and higher uses to which it is put.

The boundary line between the Middle German and the Low German dialects did not remain the same during the Mhg. period, as Low German frequently lost ground to Middle German, especially in the tract of land between the Harz mountains and the river Saale. About the year 1300 the line of separation still ran south of Duderstadt, Walkenried, and Eisleben, meeting the Saale above Merseburg, but about 1500 it ran and still runs somewhat more northerly, and touches upon the Saale below the discharge of the Bode; so that the whole of the Mansfeld district and especially Halle has changed its dialect.

The character of Middle German makes it a link between Low German and Upper or High German. The consonants did not share all the changes of the second or High German Lautverschiebung; the vowels are on the whole the same as those of Upper German. In a number of cases we find Low German long vowels corresponding to diphthongs in High German, e.g.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Lg. } \text{stên, wêt, twê} & = \text{Mhg. } \text{stein, weiz, zwei} \\
" \text{bôn, ôk, stôf} & = " \text{boum, ouch, stoup} \\
" \text{brêf, fêl, hêt} & = " \text{brief, fiel, hiez} \\
" \text{gôd, hôn, drôg} & = " \text{guot, huon, truoc}
\end{align*}
\]

In all these cases—with a few exceptions in the border districts—Middle German has the same sounds as Upper German. Only in the later course of this period do we observe a tendency to simplify certain diphthongs; ie and uo begin to change to i and ü, thus brief, huon, which the Upper German still pronounced almost as two syllables, are in Middle German territory pronounced more and more with a long vowel, brif, hûn.

In Upper German territory not only the Ohg. diphthongs are preserved, but a fresh movement begins which still more increases their number. From the twelfth century the long vowels i, å, u (iu) develop in Bavarian into the diphthongs et, au, eu. Nhg. Zeit, Haus, were in Ohg. zît, hûs; the later pronunciation is first found in Bavario-Austrian sources.
The following lines, written by a schoolmaster of Bamberg about 1300, are intended to characterise some of the dialects during the Mhg. period:

Swaben ir worter spaltent
die Franken ein teil sie valtent (*fulten*)
die Beire sie zezerrent
die Düringe (*Thüringer*) sie uf sperrent
die Sachen sie bezuckent
die Reinleite (*Rheinleute*) sie verdruckent
die Weterreiber (*Wetterauer*) sie würgent
die Misenaere (*Meissner*) sie vol schürgent (*stossen*).

The lines are somewhat obscure for us, as they characterise the living sounds of the language, of which the written characters offer only a poor substitute. The meagre alphabet, as it has been handed down to us, by no means suffices for the great number of possible sounds, or even for those actually in use; one and the same sign is used at different times and in different places for very different sounds. Hence the written monuments of different territories generally show a greater agreement than really existed.

This apparent agreement has led to the conclusion that there existed during that period a *Schriftsprache*, which stood over and above the different dialects (somewhat like the Nhg. *Schriftsprache*) as the language of literature and fashion and as the means of communication between the different chanceries. It has been even held that there were three *Schriftsprachen*, a Middle High German, the Court language proper, a Middle German and a Low German *Schriftsprache*. But a Middle German or Low German *Schriftsprache* is out of the question, and the existence of a Middle High German one has been greatly disputed. The poets of different parts of the country, the Swiss Ulrich von Zazichoven, the Alsatian Gotfrid von Strassburg, the Swabian Hartmann von Aue, and Wolfram von Eschenbach the Frank, show enough dialectical differences to warrant one in rejecting the supposition of a common recognised language, although many circumstances contributed to make such a language possible.

However this may be, it is certainly not to be denied that many circumstances concurred to make a literary language
possible, that dialects came into contact and blended with one another, that occasionally a writer tried to use a language which was not his own, and that the language of some districts obtained a certain supremacy over others. The more the different parts of Germany took part in the cultivation of poetry, and the more literary intercourse increased, the more frequent became the copying of manuscripts, and the oftener did it occur that the dialect of the copyists differed from that of the original author. The consequence was that the new copies contained a mixture of different dialects; these new copies were perhaps again copied in a different part of the country, and thus the mixture became still greater. Not only the poems, however, but also the poets themselves went upon their wanderings. Of Wolfram von Eschenbach we know that he resided some time in Thuringia, and so we find peculiarities in his language which were foreign to his Upper Franconian home. The German poetry of the Middle Ages reached its prime upon High German soil; the political supremacy and the literary centres, which necessarily attracted the rising talent, lay upon High German territory: so we meet with several poets, who had their home in Low Germany, but endeavoured to speak High or Middle German. We have seen how this superior strength of High German caused the Low German boundary to retreat before it (p. 20). When occasionally on Low German territory the language of deeds rose above the dialect, it took a Middle German character. At various times particular dialects were favoured, and became fashionable for a short period; thus in the thirteenth century it was a sign of good breeding in Upper Germany to 'flaemen,' i.e. to introduce fragments of Low German into one's speech. Ulrich von Lichtenstein, a Court poet, uses the Low German form bluomekîn instead of blüemîn, and Meier Helmbrecht, the proud peasant's son in the story of that name, addresses his sister as vil liebe susterkindekîn (suster, Lg. and L. Franc. for Schwester). In Austria, on the other hand, it was fashionable about the middle of the fourteenth century to use the Swabian idiom.
CHAPTER V.

NEW HIGH GERMAN PERIOD.

1. Extent of the Language.

The changes in the boundaries of the German language during the third period, though not inconsiderable, are not so great as those of the preceding period. The German language-territory suffered a considerable loss in French Flanders; in the seventeenth century the Flemish language extended beyond Boulogne, in the beginning of the eighteenth century the limit lay outside the gates of Calais, now, as we have seen, it passes to the east of Gravelines. In Alsace and Lorraine the language lost ground; but the war of 1870 has prevented the further spread of French in that direction. In the south the German language is gradually yielding to Romance and Italian; the language-islands of the Sette Communi and the Tredici Communi upon Italian soil have almost died out. On Czech territory the German colonies have succumbed to superior might; here, moreover, the struggle against the German tongue is now raging with most violence. In the east the language has been constantly gaining ground during the last two centuries on the Poles; and for the last twenty years it has been advancing slowly but surely into Schleswig in the north. The Wend language-island in Lusatia is on the point of becoming extinct.

At the beginning of our period the use of German suffered a remarkable restriction within the country itself. In the preceding period it was beginning to be used as the language of learning and scholarship; but in the new period a reaction took place. At no period of German history was the popular mind in such a ferment as in the sixteenth century, no age produced such masterpieces of popular rhetoric and popular satire, and during this century the church hymn and folk-song reached their highest point of excellence; but it was at the same time during this century that Latin authorship became a new power, and that Latin even became to some extent the language of poetry. The Humanists and their successors debated and disputed in Latin;
their correspondence was in Latin; they wrote Latin verses; and the comedies of Terence were brought to life again in the schools. It became the custom among scholars to turn their own names into Latin or Greek. In this manner a great deal of force, which might have been of the utmost importance for the development of the German language, was expended in a wrong direction. Only in the seventeenth century was the almost unlimited dominion of the Latin language resisted; this time by a conscious patriotic striving to raise the mother-tongue to honour, whilst in the Middle period the spread of German prose had been more unconscious and natural. The first German of the seventeenth century, Leibnitz, stood upon the boundary line between the old and the new epoch; his own principal works were written in Latin, but in theoretic treatises, written in German, he pleaded for the dignity and the cultivation of his native tongue. These treatises, appearing posthumously, could not exercise the influence due to the personality of their author; but younger contemporaries of Leibnitz took decisive steps. In the winter of 1687-88 Christian Thomasius first held a course of lectures in German at the University of Leipzig, and in 1688 published the first German literary periodical. Christian Wolff made German the language of philosophy; philosophy was followed by grammar, literary and political history, and by the first half of the eighteenth century the change was complete. Since that time Latin has lost very much of its prestige; larger works were written in it only if intended for circulation beyond the boundaries of the country. Now the language only drags on an old-fashioned existence in academic treatises and dissertations, dealing with classical scholarship.

2. Schriftsprache and Dialects.

The most important difference between the Middle High German and the New High German languages is that the latter has a uniform Schriftsprache.\(^1\) The German language now runs in two streams: the dialects, flowing along in their natural traditionary bed, branching out into numberless little channels;\(^1\) Schriftsprache or Hochdeutsch is the language (spoken or written) of the educated as distinguished from the dialects. For the pronunciation there is no established authority except the rule: 'Speak as you write, and write as you speak.'
and the Schriftsprache moving in an artificial bed, provided with sluices and filters to get rid of the mud and rubble. This distinction between natural and artificial is very important; the natural development is to be found in the dialects, whilst the literary language is a preparation which no more exists in nature than distilled water.

A view still prevails among educated people that the dialects are a corruption of the Schriftsprache. Nothing can be more erroneous. They differ greatly in the rapidity of their development. The literary language proceeds on its way slowly, and is hemmed in its course, not purposely, but yet artificially. Dialect is transmitted from one generation to another by easily-changing and quickly-dying human speech, and the learning child is influenced by the manner of speech of two or three generations at the most. Quite different is it in the case of the Schriftsprache; the learner is governed by the strict written or printed letter that ever and again incites to imitation. Not only their parents and relations are the teachers of youth, but frequently a language which dates back several centuries.

The dialects of New High German generally develop in the direction of losing the inflexions which have been handed down to them in such abundance. But different dialects have undergone different changes, and their number is legion (in the Swiss Canton of Berne no fewer than thirteen dialects may be distinguished); a well-practised ear may with tolerable certainty determine the home of a German by his accent. The cadence and modulation of the voice alone differ in different dialects; the Thuringians, the Saxons, and the German-Russians, for instance, are recognisable by their singing speech, and the North German raises and sinks his voice less than the Southerner. Again, the North German as a rule speaks more rapidly than the South German.

In respect of the individual sounds, too, the dialects differ considerably among themselves, as we have seen above (p. 14). The consonants are on the whole the same as they were in the Ogh. and Mhg. periods; but the vowels, especially the long vowels and diphthongs, have suffered many changes, e.g. Mhg. ei has various pronunciations in different High German dialects, ei (e forming the first part of the diphthong), ai (thus pronounced among the High German speaking population of the North), aî, â, oi, ôî, and ou.
Less numerous, but more thorough, less rapid in their alternations than the differences in sounds (which often change from village to village), are the differences in inflexional formations, in derivation and compounding, and in syntax. The Low and Middle Germans form diminutives in -ken, -cher, as Händchen, Händchen, the Upper German in -i, -le, as Händli, Händle; the Low Germans alone form words of endearment in -ing, as Vatting (Vater), Mütting (Mutter), Liebing (Karoline), Mining (Wilhelmine); the Aleman forms them in -i, as Aetti (Vater), Büebi (Büblein from Bube), Ruodi (Rudolf). In many Upper German dialects verbs compounded with zer- are wanting; instead of zerbrechen, zerschlagen, zerreissen, etc., they say verbreche, verreisse, etc., and the Bavarians form verbs in der- instead of er-, as derschlagen for erschlagen (this der has perhaps developed out of er, cf. minder, earlier minner). The Alemanic dialects (with the exclusion of the Swabian), and a portion of the Franconian, make no difference between the nominative and the accusative cases, except in the personal pronouns; the nominative is used for both, as in ich hab der Vatter net gesehe (ich habe den Vater nicht gesehen). In Low German, on the other hand, the ending -er of the nominative case is wanting in adjectives, the accusative ending -en being used in its place, as in hei is en gauden Mann, en wohren Heid (er ist ein guter Mann, ein wahrer Heide). The accusative forms of the personal pronoun of the third person hen, sic, have disappeared for the most part in Low German dialects, and have been superseded by the dative forms (h)em, ehr.

In the conjugation of verbs the Upper German dialects have quite given up the imperfect or preterite tense, its function having been usurped by the perfect formed with sein or haben. The Low Germans show a greater preference for the auxiliary haben in forming the perfect tense than the High Germans; they say, for example, dat hett sticht gahn (das ist schlecht gegangen), and even dat hett gaud west (das ist gut gewesen).

In syntax we may note a few differences. In Low German the accusative has in many cases taken the place of the dative after prepositions, e.g. dat was in dat (das) Johr 1829 (das war in den Jahre 1829), ut dit (dies) Holt (aus diesem Holz), an de (die) Bost (an der Brust). The Alemanic dialect has lost the relative pronoun, and uses in its place the adverb wo, as 's Hus, wo abbrënnit isch (das Haus, welches abgebrannt ist). In the oratio
obliqua the Low and Middle German dialects use the preterite conjunctive, as mer secht, er wär gstorwe (man sagt, er würe gstorben); the Alemanic and Bavarian dialects, on the other hand, prefer the present tense, as mer seit, er sig (sei) gstorbe. For the sentence 'hieraus war nicht viel zu entnehmen,' the Low German would say, altering the position of the preposition or prefix, hir was nich vel ut tau nemen.

The differences in the vocabulary are very marked, and offer a great variety; almost every district possesses words which are not understood even at a distance of a few miles. Other words, again, are common to large territories, e.g. Ug. losen (hören), lupfen (emporheben), Lg. kiken (sehen), trecken (ziehen). Again, words, though occurring in different dialects, possess different meanings. In Alemanic lehren means both 'teach' and 'learn,' whilst in South Franconian both meanings are combined in lernen. In Low German all is used in the sense of schon, and schön is applied not only to visible objects, but also to the taste and smell, as schön schmecken, riechen (gut schmecken, riechen). Peculiar to Upper German is the use of Schmutz for Fett, and schmecken for riechen; and noteworthy is the displacement which has taken place in the meaning of verbs denoting motion in the Upper German dialects. In some of the dialects the verb gehen, used absolutely, has the meaning fortgehen, whilst 'go' is expressed by laufen; laufen, 'run,' is expressed by springen, and springen, 'leap,' is expressed by a number of other verbs.

3. Unity in the written use of the Language.

The origin and history of the New High German Schriftsprache has, like that of the dialects, not yet been sufficiently investigated; but we may give an outline sketch of its development.

Luther is usually called the 'Creator of the New High German Schriftsprache,' and hence the New High German period is made to date from the time of the appearance of Luther's earliest works—the first quarter of the sixteenth century. But the statement is inexact; for, in the first place, Luther did not spontaneously create the language in which he appeared as a Reformer; and secondly, even after his appearance the language was still far from having attained a real and generally recognised unity.

To find the roots of the Nhg. language we must go back to the preceding period. A dialect, in order to triumph over other
related dialects, must be supported by some kind of recognised authority; its influence must make itself felt for a sufficient length of time, and it will find a readier acceptance the more it agrees in its sound-system with the other dialects. An authority of the required kind was held by the Imperial Chancery when German began to supersede Latin in deeds and acts, in the second half of the fourteenth century. It is to be remarked, as an important fact, that for nearly a hundred years from that time the Empire was held, with but a short interval, by one house, the house of Luxemburg. Their Chancery was in Prague, the capital of Bohemia; in this country the Middle German joined the Upper German territory, and two dialects, the Upper Saxon and the Austrian, came in contact. The language of the Chancery at Prague was the result of the blending of these two elements; and this type of language remained the language of the Imperial Chancery when the Empire passed over to the house of Habsburg. This curious combination was adopted by other chanceries, and so we find that from Friedrich III. (1440-93), and still more so from Maximilian (1493-1519), deeds and documents signed by the emperors, in whatever part of Germany they may have been drawn up, are all written in the same language.

The proceeding of one of the most important of the chanceries, that of the Saxon Electorate, was of great consequence for the *Schriftsprache*. The territory over which it governed was Middle German, and so the language of the Chancery was Middle German until the middle of the fifteenth century; in the second half of the century this language approached that of the Imperial Chancery, partly by arbitrarily adopting High German characteristics, partly in consequence of a natural development of certain Middle German sounds in the direction of Upper German, which development the legal language followed more rapidly and completely under the influence of the Imperial Chancery than it would otherwise have done. Thus the languages of the two most important chanceries met and almost formed one language.

This was the first step towards the formation of a *Schriftsprache*, but only the first; the language was still far from having obtained general recognition. This is seen in the fact that the same princes, whose chanceries had become models for other chanceries, still used their home dialects in their private correspondence.
Moreover, the language of the Chancery was only in a limited degree adapted to form the foundation for a national literary language; for the ideas to which this language usually gave expression belonged to a comparatively narrow sphere, and the words used by preference were of a formal and traditional character. Its influence, therefore, was confined to sounds and inflexions; other conditions were necessary to adapt the language for general acceptance.

From Luther came the decisive impulse. The authority exercised upon the language by official acts and documents was comparatively small, for only a very small minority of the people read them; but Luther's mighty form shook the German people to their foundations. He touched upon questions which seized the heart of man, of whatever rank or condition. His writings, from their wide circulation, could not but greatly affect the form of the language,—the more so as the contents of them, especially his translation of the Bible and his Church hymns, were of a nature to pass word for word into the memory of his readers. The language he chose was a guarantee of its wide influence, for he decided for the language which already had a certain general authority: 'I employ,' he says, 'the common German language, in order that both High and Low Germans may understand me. I speak according to the Saxon Chancery, which is followed by all the princes and kings in Germany. The Emperor Maximilian and the Elector Frederick, Duke of Saxony, have thus united the German languages of the Roman Empire into one definite language.'

How rapidly Luther's language spread we are unable to estimate exactly; its progress was not so quick as one might suppose from his being called the 'Creator of the New High German Schriftsprache.' It was stoutly resisted in many quarters. His language was the bearer of Protestant ideas; hence its introduction into Catholic countries was made difficult from the very outset (Gottsched's Sprachlehre, published about the middle of the eighteenth century, was a forbidden book for Catholic superiors). Even in Protestant countries its progress was slow; German Particularismus, which aimed at the independent existence of small states, struggled also for local separation of languages. As late as the beginning of the seventeenth century Thomas Platter, in Protestant Bâle, wrote his autobiography in
the Alemanic dialect, and in 1671 the Government of Canton Berne issued an order to the clergy to refrain from 'an uncommon new German' which 'only vexes men of understanding and does not instruct the common people in their Christianity.' In Low German countries Luther's language made way rather more rapidly. But even in Low Germany attempts to raise the popular dialect to the rank of a literary language have not ceased; newspapers and calendars still appear in great number written in Low German. Moreover, intentional opposition was not the only difficulty which the language had to contend with; some writings of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, e.g. the letters of the Duchess Elizabeth of Saxony to her brother the Landgraf Philip of Hesse, contain a remarkable mixture of literary German and dialect, showing that the spirit was willing but the flesh weak.

Thus it came about that in the sixteenth century, in spite of the zealous endeavours of the grammarians and the appearance of eminent writers, a unity of language hardly existed; in the seventeenth a kind of unity existed in the minds of authors, but their deviations from one another and uncertainties in the use of the language are still very considerable. Only in the first half of the eighteenth century does the unity become real and decided. The poet Haller (1708-77) stands on the threshold of the old and new time; in the early editions of his poems we see distinct traces of the Alemanic dialect; 'for,' he says himself, 'I am a Swiss, the German language is strange to me, and the choice of words was almost unknown to me.' In the third edition the poet eliminated these dialectical peculiarities to a great extent.

An absolute and complete unity of language does not indeed exist at the present day, and will perhaps never be reached. Provincialisms still prosper, especially on the border lands. The nationality of a Swiss or Austrian writer can in most cases be detected in his writings. A few of these provincialisms may be mentioned: thus, a very common Swiss expression is *rufen* (c. dat.), in the sense of *hervorrufen, verlangen*, e.g. 'der Antrag rief einer längeren Discussion,' 'man hatte schon lange einer Verbesserung dieser Strasse gerufen.' Others are *jeweilen* for *bisweilen*, *bemühend* for *peinlich*, *beitragen* with *an* and the dative instead of the simple dative. The Austrian's shibboleth is the expres-
sion vergessen auf, e.g. 'auf die Erweiterung des Wahlrechtes hatte er vergessen,' über for gemäss, e.g. 'über Beschluss; 'über Antrag,' and the word Gepflogenheit, which has, however, spread to other parts. North German writers are not free from provincialisms, such as überall for überhaupt, e.g. 'es wäre die Pflicht des Herausgebers gewesen, wenn er den Aufsatz überall accepitede,' and erinnern for sich erinnern, e.g. 'von der Melodie erinnere ich nur einen Theil.' It is to be presumed that, now that a city situated upon Low German soil has become the political centre of Germany, and is gradually taking the lead also in literature and art, Low German provincialisms will in course of time appear in the literary language in greater number.

More numerous than local provincialisms are those disparities and uncertainties in speech which may be found in one and the same locality and even in the same individual. A gradual disappearance of the former would be conceivable, though not probable, but a complete disappearance of the latter kind would be quite impossible, from reasons which lie in the nature of language itself. At no moment is the development of language at a standstill; new forms and combinations of words, and even quite new words, are continually coming into being. Hence there is a never-ending struggle between the old and the new, and this gives rise to a certain hesitation in the use of language, to corruptions and degenerations, neologisms and barbarisms, etc.

These deviations are less common in the accidence than in the syntax. Examples are der Friede or Frieden, des Bauers or des Bauern, die Lumpe or die Lumpen; er kommt or er kommt, er schwor or er schwur, er fragte or er frug. One sometimes hears, though incorrectly, die Bröte for die Brote, die Sporen for die Sporen, handgehauf for gehandhaft. In the syntax we find uncertainties, such as trotz des Regens and trotz dem Regen, während des Tages and während dem Tage, der Gehalt and das Gehalt, ich habe gestanden and ich bin gestanden. Some people write ich anerkenne for ich erkenne an, der mich betroffene Unfall for der Unfall, der mich betroffen hat, and speak of a reitende Artillerie-Kaserne and a ländliche Arbeiterfrage, meaning barracks for mounted artillery and the question concerning agricultural labourers.

A very common kind of syntactical error is caused by two expressions of like meaning arising simultaneously in the mind at the moment of writing or speaking; the result is a combina-
tion of parts of each expression into a new one. In this manner may be explained the frequently heard expression *sich befindlich*, the product of a mixture of *befinden* and *sich befinden*. In the Low German of Fritz Reuter we often meet with the phrase, *'wat gelt mi dat an?*' Here a confusion has arisen between *was gilt mir das?* and *was geht mich das an?* Again, in Lessing's *Emilia Galotti*, Claudia, mentioning the wrath of Emilia's father on hearing that the Prince had looked upon his daughter, uses the expression, *'nicht ohne Missfallen,*' meaning *nicht ohne Wohlgefallen* or *nicht mit Missfallen.* It may even happen that two synonymous words become united into one; thus the *Knecht Ruprecht* or *Nicolaus* (Niklas) appears in Fritz Reuter as *Ruklas*.

4. **Unity in the spoken Language.**

The unity we have spoken of exists properly speaking only on paper, in the literary language; unity in the spoken language is only in its very first beginnings. But without doubt it will increase more and more, and the dialects are doomed to perish in course of time. This may be regrettable, but the purpose of language is to facilitate communication; and at present the Westphalian peasant and the Swiss herdsman are able to understand one another as little as a Frenchman and a Chinaman.

The schools are especially active in promoting unity of speech; there both the ear and the eye are forcibly directed to the *Schriftsprache.* The pulpit too exercises a certain influence in this direction, though in a smaller degree; in some districts where the power of dialect is still unbroken—for instance, in the Canton of Berne, in Switzerland—the Gospel was till a few years ago largely preached in dialect. The stage has still less influence among the country people, only a small minority of whom ever enter a theatre. But literature is of the greatest importance, as the passion for reading is gradually descending to the lower strata of the people, especially the reading of newspapers and cheap pedlars' books.

The relations between *Schriftsprache* and dialect as spoken are of very different kinds. The majority of the people speak in dialect only. A portion of these are able to understand *Hochdeutsch* when they hear it spoken; but a great number are only accessible through their own dialect. The number of those who speak or understand the *Schriftsprache* alone is small; they
are found principally in parts where there is no German-speaking mass of people, as in the Russian Baltic Sea provinces. A large number are able to use both dialect and Schriftsprache, but in varying degrees; few are able to speak both in their purity, in most cases there arises a mixture in which now the dialect, now the Schriftsprache, preponderates. The proportion of mixture also varies under different circumstances; the more solemn the occasion, the more choice will be the language.

Pure Hochdeutsch is heard much more frequently upon Low German territory than in the other parts; nowhere is dialect so widespread through the different grades of society as in Swabia and Bavaria, in Austria and Switzerland. In certain towns of Switzerland, e.g., the transactions of judicial boards are carried on in dialect. But even in these countries different degrees are observed; thus in Bâle and Berne more dialect is spoken than in Eastern Switzerland. The causes of these local differences may lie in the character of the people; they may depend upon the different degrees of importance attached to outward formulas, upon the degree of exclusiveness observed towards neighbouring nationalities, or upon the degree in which old traditions are observed or in which new customs are adopted. But the principal reason why the North German speaks Hochdeutsch with greater purity than other Germans is to be found in the greater difference between this language and the Low German dialects, compared with that between Hochdeutsch and the Middle and South German dialects. A person who understands Hochdeutsch is therefore less able to understand the Low German than the Middle and South German dialects, and the North German is under a greater necessity to speak the literary language in order to make himself understood. Besides, it is easier to learn to use purely and correctly a language which differs sharply and distinctly from one's own than one of which the differences are more gradual and not so striking.

5. Disadvantages of Unity.

The unity in orthography and speech which we have considered was the result of a conscious striving, of an inner necessity. But this development of a unity has not been altogether without its disadvantages to the language. As long as the authority of dialects was little disputed, an author might introduce into his writings any object or idea which his com-
patriots had provided with a name; every neologism of speech could at once find admittance into the written language. This was changed when one language gained the upper hand; more extended circles had then to be considered; only those words could reckon upon being understood which were generally recognised in the literary language. It was in certain classes of words that the freedom of choice was thus prejudiced. The more abstract, the less transparent the meaning of a word, the more did the different dialects agree in using it; the more ‘sensuous’ the meaning, the greater the deviation of the several dialects, and the greater the difficulty of securing the general acceptance of an expression.

This difficulty was increased by another circumstance. The classes of words which appear least frequently in the literature are just those which differ most in the different dialects. Words denoting common objects of everyday life are naturally least likely to find their way into a literary language common to all educated people, especially in a super-ideal literature as we find it in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries in Germany. Hence there are many classes of objects for which generally-recognised names are wanting in the Schriftsprache, such as names of plants and animals, of kinds of food, of tools and implements, and of many occupations of daily life. Similarly, expressions of ordinary feelings, of anger and vexation, exclamations of pain and joy, terms of abuse and flattery, are locally represented by different words. Another class of words for which the literary language wants generally-recognised names is the class of words which express shades of sounds and movements, onomatopoetic words, in the formation of which the living language of the people still shows itself creative. They obtain no official recognition, because the Schriftsprache rejects with disdain the terms of the people.

Thus the progressive development of a literary language has been followed by a not inconsiderable impoverishment in the material of language capable of being used for literary purposes. The reaction was not wanting: the creation of dialectic literature. The latter is not merely a poetic diversion, nor is it likely to perish easily.

6. Characteristics of New High German.

We must keep in mind that what we are accustomed to call New High German does not represent a whole that has been at
all times alike. Not only do different periods of New High German show different stages of unity, but the language has proceeded step by step in its development; the language of Luther is no longer that of the writers of the classical period, and that of the classic writers is no longer that of the present day. But the character of the language as a whole has remained essentially the same, namely, a blending of different dialects, in which Middle German forms the principal foundation. The vowel system is essentially Middle German, the consonantal system is Austro-Bavarian. In the form and composition of words the differences in the dialects which shared in the formation of New High German are only small; the genders of nouns are those of Middle German, not of High German, e.g. Mg. die Backe, die Butter, die Traube are Ug. der Backen, der Butter, der Trauben.

The differences are greatest in the vocabulary. The main stock of the New High German vocabulary is Middle German. The purely Upper German element is quite inconsiderable; as a rule, only those expressions have migrated from the south into the language which denote things belonging to the south, especially names for everything connected with the Highlands, e.g. Alp, Fluh, Gletscher (from Fr. glacier), Lawine (Mlat. labina from labi, 'glide'), Matte, Senn. Other words of Swiss origin are lugen and staunen. The Low German constituents are numerous; to these belong especially words connected with navigation and maritime life, e.g. Brise, Bucht, Düne, Hafen, lichten (= leicht machen, 'lighten or weigh anchor'), Steven, Tau, Wrack, etc., also other expressions like echt, older éhaft = that which is related to ē, Nhg. Ehe, 'law' (change of ft to cht is peculiar to Low German), Fracht, Harke, kneipen, knicken, Schlucht (Ug. Schluft, related to schlüpfen), etc. In some cases both the High German and the Low German forms exist side by side, e.g. Brunnen and Born, feist and fatt, sanft and sachte, sühnen and versöhnmen, Waffen and Wappen, the first-named being in each case the High German and the second-named the Low German form.

The phonetic differences between New High German and Middle German will be considered later. The most important may be here noticed: the Mhg. long vowels ē, û, iu (= ı̆) have become diphthongs in Nhg., e.g. Mhg. zīt, wīt, hūs, màs, hīute, liute are Nhg. Zeit, weıt, Haus, Maus, heute, Leute.
INTRODUCTION.

II.—PRINCIPLES OF LANGUAGE.

We have seen in the last chapter that numerous differences exist within the German language; the language differs not only in time and place, but also in the different circles in which the speakers move. We have now to enter more minutely into the nature and causes of these differences.

The nature of changes in language has been illustrated by comparing words with stones carried along by the stream; as stones through the constant friction are worn away and lose their original shape, so also words by frequent use are constantly undergoing change. But the comparison is altogether wrong; a word is not an object, which, having been once called into existence, possesses an uninterrupted continuity, but it is an activity, a process. The process consists in this, that a process which goes on in the mind is accompanied by a sound-producing movement of the organs of speech—in other words, a connection takes place between a picture of the imagination and a sound-image. This connection is not at all times and in all places the same, because its factors are not in every case the same. The number of ideas in the mind depends upon the number of impressions which press upon a man and those with whom he has intercourse. The narrower the circle in which a man moves, and the lower his grade of culture, the more limited will be his world of ideas; the workman in the factory, the peasant in the fields, can satisfy
their needs of speech with a few hundred words, whilst the man of culture, the poet, can dispose of a wealth of some thousands. And the more out of the common a man's occupation is, the more uncommon will be the stock of words at his disposal. Hence German, like every other language, comprises within itself a number of technical terms, of technical languages; the merchant and the fisherman, the miner and the bee-keeper, the seaman and the sportsman, each has his own peculiar language, and the novice who would penetrate into the mysteries of his profession must acquire a new language to correspond with the new ideas which he gains. Moreover, the mass of existing ideas is different at different epochs; thus the decline of chivalry and feudalism, the extinction of old forms of law, and the giving up of the study of astrology, necessarily caused numbers of words to perish.

But the fate of a word is determined not only by the existence or non-existence of an object corresponding with it, but also by the greater or smaller intensity of the impression which it creates upon the mind, which in its turn depends upon the degree of interest which it calls forth, or upon its power for good or harm; thus, the larger animals and trees, those which nourished or clothed man, or which threatened his existence, received names much earlier than the insignificant insects and the flowers of the wood. Hence it is that the names of the larger animals, of the large forest trees, and of the most important kinds of grain, are common to all the Teutonic races, and that some names like Wolf, 'wolf;' Kuh, 'cow;' Ochs, 'ox;' Birke, 'birch;' Buche, 'beech;' Erle, 'alder;' Gerste, 'barley, cf. grist, agree with the words in the other Indo-European languages; the names of flowers and insects, on the other hand, frequently differ in every dialect, so that the zoologist and botanist of the present day cannot dispense with the Latin appellations. As an example, the common oxlip (primula elatior) has about sixty, and the meadow saffron (colchicum autumnale) about fifty different names in German.
The stock of ideas changes, as we see, in different individuals or groups of individuals. The number of possibilities is greater when there is a choice of sound-images, when one and the same individual may use now one, now another, sound-image or word to express the same idea.

CHAPTER I.

THE EXISTING MATERIAL OF LANGUAGE SUFFICES, BUT UNDERGOES FORMAL CHANGES.

1. PHONETIC CHANGES.

The first possibility is that language possesses the materials to express ideas, and satisfies the wants of the speaker. The latter expresses his ideas with exactly the same words or sound-groups in which they found their expression before him, or at least tries to do so; for the exact reproduction of a word depends on different conditions.

The most important condition is that it should have been correctly heard. A new word is most correctly caught when each part of it is slowly and distinctly pronounced, as in the teaching of young children.

The second condition is that the word be correctly reproduced. But all imitation is imperfect; so it is in speech. A word may have been correctly and carefully conceived in the mind, and yet its reproduction may differ from the original. In order to imitate the sounds it has heard, the child sets its organs of speech in motion; at first without knowing by what means it attains its purpose. Hence its attempts are at first very imperfect; but it repeatedly compares its reproduction of the sounds with the sounds in its mind, sets other muscles in motion, and thus by degrees improves its pronunciation. The exactness of the reproduction depends upon the acuteness of the comparison made by the ear. But the ear is a very unreliable organ; two sounds may appear to it identical, which differ considerably in
the manner of their production. Again, one and the same effect may be due to different causes; the learner may produce a sound by other movements of the organs of speech than those made by the teacher. This substitution of one movement for another, in order to produce the same effect, is often enough a necessity, as the organs of speech are not always constructed alike in different individuals. Thus it comes about that language, in being transmitted from father to son, and from generation to generation, undergoes phonetic changes.

The first differences may be very minute; the pronunciation of each generation may deviate in a very slight degree from that of the preceding one. But in the course of several generations the change may be considerable. These changes may be of a two-fold kind; firstly, qualitative—i.e. different parts of the organs of speech are used, different muscles are set in motion, as when a labial sound is substituted for a dental; thus Inbiss becomes Imbiss. Secondly, quantitative—i.e. the same kind of movement is produced with more or less expenditure of force; thus it requires a smaller degree of force to pronounce Pferd and Pfund as the Westphalians do—Ferd and Fund—than to pronounce them correctly. Cases in which the energy expended in reproducing a sound is greater are comparatively rare; convenience and the vis inertiae play an important part in the evolution of language, less perhaps as the cause of change than in determining the direction of change.

The language changes just described are usually called phonetic (lautgesetlich, i.e. in accordance with the laws of sound or phonology); for the causes which prevent the exact reproduction of a sound are always the same, in whatever word the sound may appear. In other words, a sound changes in the same direction in all cases, and these cases may be embraced by one rule or law. A law of this kind is that Mhg. ᵀ changes in Nhg. to ei, as Mhg. gīge, Nhg. Gēge; Mhg. hīrāt, Nhg. Heirath; Mhg. māden, Nhg. meiden; Mhg. nīt, Nhg. Neid; Mhg. Zīt, Nhg. Zeit.

This uniformity of development applies in the first place only to the individual. In a greater number of persons the conditions which affect the development of language may be very different; the acuteness of hearing may be greater in some persons than in others, and the organs of speech may not be con-
structured exactly alike. Differences of pronunciation exist even in the smallest circles, as when a person lisps and produces a th instead of an s; but from this it follows, at the same time, that not one pronunciation alone influences the learner, but many; hence the speech of the individual will be as it were an average of the speech of the different persons with whom he associates. This is the case with each member of the younger generation; if these members belong to a restricted circle, the persons whose speech serves as a model are the same for all, and the different averages drawn from the small deviations of the elder generation can in their turn differ only in a slight degree among themselves.

When the intercourse between two persons or groups of persons becomes less frequent or ceases altogether, their language must necessarily deviate in its development; one sound must change in this direction, another in that direction; in other words, dialectical differences must arise, and more or less distinct dialects will form themselves. The more complete the cessation of intercourse or relations between two or more groups, the greater will be the deviations, in the same way as greater intervals of time also cause greater changes.

2. Changes by Analogy (Folk-etymology; Formulas).

Phonetic change is not the only kind of change which takes place in language; if it were, then the history of a language would be extremely simple; it would be an easy thing to fix the laws of sound, and we should be able, by their aid, to translate mechanically Goethe’s verses into the language of the Nibelungenlied, or to turn Otfrid's poetry into the language of Vulfila.

But other kinds of change are continually taking place; the simplest of these changes consists in the dropping of part of a word, as when we say bus for omnibus, cab for cabriolet, Ben for Benjamin, or part of a sentence, as are you off? for are you going off? er muss fort for er muss fort gehen. This the grammarians call Ellipsis.

The possibility of using these imperfect sound-images becomes conceivable when we consider the mental process which accompanies the hearing and understanding of a word. If we sound
a string of one of two musical instruments which happen to be in the same room, then the strings of the second instrument, having the same pitch or standing in certain harmonic relations with the one touched, will vibrate. The same process occurs in speaking. It is a mistake to suppose that in ordinary conversation we hear every word spoken in its full extent; we hear only parts of a word or sentence, but the sound of these parts causes the complete sound-images which are present in the memory to vibrate, so that we are hardly conscious of the fragmentariness of what we really hear. This explains the fact that we less easily comprehend and more frequently misunderstand spoken than written words, especially in the case of a foreign language, and that we sometimes turn over words or sounds in our consciousness for a time before understanding them, i.e. before a sound-image vibrates and we find the correct complement.

Again, the hearing of a sound-image may cause words already present in the mind to vibrate, which are not identical with the words spoken, but whose stems contain the same elements. Let us suppose a child to have had impressed upon its memory by frequent repetition the word Stein and the idea conveyed by it; then let us suppose it to hear the word Steine, without being taught the meaning of it; the word will cause the sound-image Stein to vibrate, and it will recognise the material signification of the word, though it does not know its formal relationship with the first. The same psychical process takes place on hearing the words steinigen, aussteinen, Versteinerung, Steinwurf, Grenzstein. In other words, words having the same sound-groups combine by an unconscious attraction to form groups of ideas; the feeling arises that in order to express an idea in some way related to another the word should contain a certain sum of sounds in common with it.

Complete identity of sounds is not even necessary to call up sound-images; similarity of sounds suffices to connect words etymologically related into groups; thus not onlynehmen, genehm, Vernehmung are felt to be connected, but also nimmt, nahm, genommen. It is clear that groups of this kind must do much to preserve in the memory the material of words, and thus be of great importance for the faithful transmission of the stock of language which we have inherited.
But on the other hand, when not only the same but similar sound-images are combined into groups, they may act in opposition to purely phonetic changes. In the group \textit{nehm-}, \textit{nimm-}, \textit{nahm-}, \textit{nomm-}, which stem is the real bearer of the idea expressed by the group? In Luther's time the verb \textit{kriechen} was conjugated in the present tense as follows:—

\begin{align*}
\text{ich kreuche} & \quad \text{wir kriechen} \\
\text{du kreuchst} & \quad \text{ihr kriechet} \\
\text{er kreucht} & \quad \text{sie kriechen}
\end{align*}

Does \textit{kreuch-} or \textit{kriech-} represent the idea of the verb? Linguistic sense offers no means of deciding the question. Memory only tells us that \textit{nehm-}, \textit{nimm-} is used in the present, \textit{nahm-}, \textit{nomm-} in the past tense, and that formerly \textit{kreuch-} was used in the singular and \textit{kriech-} in the plural; if memory refuses its aid, language no longer has any motive for saying \textit{ich kreuche} in the one case, and \textit{wir kriechen} in the other; it would have been quite justified in saying \textit{ich kriech} and \textit{wir kreuchen}. We may say that \textit{ich kreuche} changed to \textit{ich kriech} after the model or analogy of \textit{kriechen}. Another example: for \textit{er fahrt}, \textit{schlägt}, \textit{trägt} many dialects have \textit{er fahrt}, \textit{schlägt}, \textit{tragt}; the earlier forms with \textit{ä} are changed after the analogy of \textit{ich fahre}, \textit{schlage}, \textit{trage}, \textit{wir fahren}, etc. In formations of this kind it is often not easy to determine which of two forms or expressions was the original and which was formed by analogy. The number of formations by analogy in words and sentences is very great, and hardly less than purely phonetic developments.

The first result of new formations by analogy is the creation of double forms; \textit{ich krieche} was used for a time side by side with \textit{ich kreuche}, in the same way as double forms like \textit{gelahrt} and \textit{gesendet}, \textit{gesandt}, \textit{gewendet} and \textit{gewandt} still exist. But this double existence is seldom of long duration; two different words for the same idea are an unnecessary luxury, and in course of time one of the forms perishes; thus \textit{ich kriech} is now used alone. In general, the form which has the firmest hold upon the memory, that is, the form which is the more frequently used, supersedes the other; in this case, the stem \textit{kreuch-} occurred only in the three persons of the singular of the present indicative, and in the singular of the imperative, whilst \textit{kriech-} occurred in the plural of the present indicative and im-
perative, in the whole of the present conjunctive, the infinitive, and present participle. When two forms are represented by equal numbers, then chance decides in favour of one or the other; and it may frequently happen that a form which prevails in one group of persons will succumb in another group which is separated by distance from the first. Thus, the preterite of *finden* was conjugated in Middle High German:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ich fand,} & \quad \text{wir funden,} \\
\text{etc.} & \quad \text{etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

In New High German the vowel of the plural *u* succumbed to that of the singular:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ich fand} & \quad \text{wir fanden;}
\end{align*}
\]

but in Low German the *u* of the plural prevailed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ik funn} & \quad \text{wi funnen.}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus we see that analogy may contribute to the formation of different dialects.

Again, it may happen that in some dialects the differences are removed by analogy, but not in others; thus, Middle and New High German have *ich fahre—er führt, ich trage—er trägt*, etc., but in Middle Dutch and many modern German dialects the vowel of the second and third persons singular has been exchanged for that of the first person, *ich fahre—er fahrt*, etc.

As to the reasons why different forms have been assimilated in some cases and not in others, no general rule can be laid down; only so much may be asserted: the more the original phonetic differences coincide with differences of function, the more easily will they escape being removed. The forms *ich nehme—wir nehmen* on the one hand, and *ich nahm—wir nahmen* on the other, represent very important differences of functions, those of present and past time, and have consequently not been assimilated in any German dialect. But when forms representing different functions are characterised by two signs, one of them may be discarded as superfluous; thus, in Mhg. *ich hoere—ich hörte*, the past tense was distinguished from the present both by the ending *-te* and by the so-called *Rück-umlaut*; the ending *-te* being sufficient to mark the difference of function, the vowels were equalised, Nhg. *ich höre—ich hörte*. 
The difference of function between two related words may become so great that they are no longer felt to be related; schon and fast were originally the adverbal forms of the adjectives schö̈n, fest, and similar differences of vowels in the adjective and adverb were not infrequent in Middle High German (see p. 80). The difference of vowel was preserved in schon and fast in consequence of these words having become isolated by their meanings, 'already' and 'almost,' from their adjectives, schö̈n, 'beautiful,' and fest, 'firm.' Again, the adjective rauh was inflected in Middle High German rÁeh—rÁhes, cf. hoch—hohes; in New High German the h of the Inlaut has gone over into the Auslaut; the old form with ch is preserved in compound words, as Rauchwerk, Rauchwaaren, 'rough wares, furs,' in which the connection with the adjective rauh is no longer thought of. Other examples of similar isolated forms will be mentioned later.

If we compare the operations of analogy with the changes which take place after definite phonetic laws we shall see that the two processes stand in a kind of opposition to one another. Phonetic changes make identical sound-images dissimilar; but analogy strives to make uniform what phonetic laws made unlike. Thus, hören was inflected as follows:

Ohg. hörriu  hörtat
Mhg. hoere  hörtet
Nhg. höre  hörte.

Ohg. hörriu became Mhg. hoere, under the influence of the phonetic law, according to which the vowel i changes the preceding vowel (Umlaut, see p. 79), and Mhg. hörtet became Nhg. hörte through analogy.

Not only does the hearing of a word or sound-image call up in the mind other sound-images which are related to it, but it may also call up sound-images which are not related either by meaning or etymology, if they sufficiently resemble each other in sound. A word or part of a word may stand isolated, unconnected with any existing words or sound-groups; such related sound-groups may never have existed, as in the case of foreign words, or they may have disappeared from use. The word or part of a word then frequently attaches itself to some other word or sound-group; thus, wahnwitzig (after which was formed Wahnsinn), Mhg. wänwitzec, was originally compounded
with the adjective *wan* = 'empty, wanting in,' which also appears in E. *wanton, wankope*; this adjective disappeared from use and lost its power of forming other compounds, and the syllable *wan*- then attached itself to the word most nearly resembling it in sound, the substantive *Wahn*.

Again, a word or part of a word may be connected with a second word or group, but through phonetic change has come to resemble more closely a third group with which it is unconnected, and to which it attaches itself; thus, *Eiland* was originally compounded with *ein* = 'solitary' (as in *Einöde*), Mhg. *einlant*, 'the solitary land;' this changed phonetically to *eilant*, as Mhg. *einalf* changed to *eilif, eilf*, 'eleven,' and then became associated with *Ei, Eiland* being in the popular mind the 'egg-shaped land.' Similarly, *echt* comes from Mhg. *é-haft*, a compound of *é* = 'law,' Mhg. *Ehe*; it is now usually associated with *achten*, and frequently spelled *ücht*.

It may even happen that a word or part of a word will attach itself to another word or group with which it is unconnected, although it resembles that group less than it resembles its own group; thus, the *Galgenthor* in Frankfort changed to *Gallusthor*, after the gallows from which it took its name had ceased to exist.

This peculiar process of association, by which words unconnected by etymology or meaning form themselves into groups, similar to the groups of words etymologically related to each other, is called Folk-etymology or popular etymology. These groups are of importance for the grasping and retaining of strange or foreign words; they associate themselves in the minds of the hearers with some known group of words; thus in the mouth of the people the words *unguentum Neapolitanum* have become *umgwendter Napoleon*, and *Hermaphroditebrig* is turned by the sailor into *Maufahrteibrig*, the first part being probably suggested by *Kauffahrtei*. Compare the English words *cray-fish* and *cause-way* from French *écrevisse* and *chaussée*.

In many cases of folk-etymology no attempt is made to connect the newly-formed word with the thing or idea itself; thus between the name *umgwendter Napoleon* and the substance so named no connection whatever seems to be implied, nor does the form *Abendtheuer*, as the word *Abenteuer* was spelled in the last century, seem intended to convey any connection between an
adventure and 'evening' or 'dear.' Frequently, however, a word thus transformed by folk-etymology is explained by some little incident unrecorded by history; thus the name Sauerland, originally Süderland = Südland, the southernmost part of old Saxony, is explained by the exclamation 'das war mir ein sauer Land,' supposed to have been uttered by Charlemagne after the conquest of that country, and Achalm is derived from the interjectional phrase 'Ach Almächtiger.' Compare the popular derivation of the English sirloin. This naïve kind of interpretation is called Myth-forming Folk-etymology.

In some cases the connection between the idea conveyed by the popular word and the thing seems natural enough, e.g. Sündflut is popularly interpreted as the 'sin-flood,' whilst it really comes from Ohg. Mhg. sin-vluot, the 'great or universal inundation;' Fuge, 'fugue,' is generally and not inappropriately associated with Füigung, 'joining,' whilst it comes from It. fuga; and Mysterien, the name given to the mediæval religious plays, from Lat. ministerium, not unnaturally suggests the 'mysteries' of divine worship. These cases are, however, comparatively rare; as a rule the connection is not very clear, and sometimes the popular word conveys ideas which are not in accordance with facts. The word Armbrust, 'cross-bow,' from Lat. arcubalista, suggests a connection, which is anything but clear, between the weapon and certain parts of the body; few islands justify by their oval shape the appellation Eiland; the word Blutigel, an incorrect form of Blutegel, 'blood-leech,' conveys a wrong impression as to the appearance of that creature, by classing it with the hedgehog or urchin tribe; and most people, on hearing the word Maulwurf, 'mole,' would naturally suppose that little animal to turn up the earth with its nose, whilst as a fact it uses its feet for that purpose; Maulwurf is Mhg. molewurf, 'earth or mould-thrower,' English dialectical mole-warp, mold-warp or moudiewarp.

In all these cases, in spite of the erroneous notions suggested by the popularised forms, the words are still used with their original meaning; it may happen, however, that not the form of a word is transformed, but that its meaning is changed, by connecting it with some other group. Thus, das gelobte Land meant originally the 'promised land,' from geloben, but it is now popularly understood as the 'praised land,' as if from loben;
Sucht generally denotes nothing more than a ‘reprehensible longing for, or striving after,’ and is connected with the verb suchen, whilst it originally meant a ‘sickness,’ and is connected with siech = sick; its original meaning is still evident in some of its compounds, e.g. Gelbsucht, ‘yellow sickness, jaundice;’ Tobsucht, ‘insanity;’ Schwindsucht, ‘consumption,’ etc.

There is a third kind of association. Two words may differ sharply and distinctly from one another, but important parts of them may be identical in sound (e.g. house, home); they become associated in the mind, and as a consequence their meanings partly cover each other, i.e. they resemble each other or form parts of a whole. As a further consequence these words become connected together to form a whole, so that the language possesses a number of stereotyped phrases of two or more members, which are kept together by agreement of certain sounds.

The agreement of sound may be:
(a) Initial; thus we have Alliteration (G. Anreim). These alliterative formulas are very frequent in German, and not uncommon in English; they may express:

1. Synonymous ideas, e.g. Geld und Gut, Haus und Heim (E. house and home), Leib und Leben, Lust und Liebe, Ruhe und Rast, Schimpf und Schande, Thür und Thor, Wind und Wetter, gäng und gebe, ganz und gar, los und ledig, singen und sagen.

2. Contrasts, e.g. durch Dick und Dünn (E. through thick and thin), Lust und Leid, Himmel und Hölle, Wohl und Weh (E. weal and woe), auf und ab, aus und ein (cf. Sc. but and ben), samt und sonders.

3. Parts of a whole, e.g. Haus und Hof, mit Haut und Haar, Küche und Keller, Land und Leute, mit Mann und Maus, Ross und Reiter.

4. Expressions syntactically connected, and proverbs, e.g. bitterböse, himmelhoch, lichterlohe, rosenroth, der wilde Wald, seine sieben Sachen; wenn die Maus satt ist, ist das Mehl bitter; wie der Hirt, so die Herde; Gleich und Gleich gesellt sich gern.

(From these alliterative phrases proceeded the metrical system which is found in the oldest kind of Teutonic poetry, e.g. the Hildebrandslied and the old Anglo-Saxon poetry.)

(b) In the root-vowel and the following consonant; thus we have Rhyme (G. Reim or Endreim). They may be arranged under the same categories:
1. Lug und Trug, Saft und Kraft, Saus und Braus, auf Schritt und Tritt, toll und voll.
2. Freud und Leid, mit Rath und That, zu Schutz und Trutz, Zeit und Ewigkeit, haut oder naut (Hessian = 'either—or').
4. Borgen macht Sorgen (E. he that goes a-borrowing goes a-sorrowing); Wahl macht Qual; heute roth, morgen tot; der Mensch denkt, Gott lenkt (E. man proposes, God disposes).

Sometimes alliteration and rhyme are found combined in one phrase, e.g. Glück und Glas, wie bald bricht das; Hoffen und Harren macht Manchen zum Narren.

There is yet another and quite different kind of association or group-forming. In a group of words connected by one fundamental idea, e.g. Steine, steinigen, steinern, versteinern, etc., the feeling has arisen that certain sound-groups are the bearers of the meaning; in this case stein is the most essential sound-group of the words named.

The observation of the coincidence of sounds in two or more derivations from one stem is accompanied by the observation of their differences. Thus in the words Stein, Steine, steinigen, steinern, we observe that they coincide in having the sound-group stein, and differ in having the additional endings -e, -igen, -ern, or in having no ending; on comparing them we may easily conclude that the syllable -e is of importance for expressing the idea of plurality, the syllable -igen for expressing that of 'throwing,' and the syllable -ern for expressing the idea 'made of.' Now if a person who has made these observations on Stein, Steine, etc., hears the form Kreuze, or Fische, Tage, Tische, etc., by an unconscious mental proportion sum:

Steine : Stein :: Kreuze : Kreuz

he will conclude that Kreuze is the plural of Kreuz, and further that the addition of the sound -e serves to express plurality. From similar sums of proportion, e.g.

Stein : steinern :: Holz : hölzern
hörte : hörte :: sage : sagte,

he will conclude that the syllable -ern is essential to express the
idea 'made of,' and the addition of \( t \) to the stem of a verb is essential to express the idea of past time.

It is clear that these groups of words are of the greatest significance for the transmission of speech, for every individual act of speaking. But it is neither necessary nor possible to remember in every case whether, \( e.g. \), one has heard the plural form of a word before and how it was formed; a few proportions retained in the memory suffice to serve as models. Thus the forming of a nominal or verbal inflexion is to a certain extent the seeking of the fourth term of a proportion.

But this kind of group-forming also opposes the purely phonetic development of words. Just as from \textit{Stein}—\textit{Steine}, \textit{Kreuz}—\textit{Kreuze}, etc., we infer that the termination \(-e\) is a means of designating plurality, so also we infer from \textit{Blatt}—\textit{Blätter}, \textit{Haus}—\textit{Häuser}, \textit{Lamm}—\textit{Lämmer}, etc., and from \textit{Graf}—\textit{Grafen}, \textit{Bär}—\textit{Bären}, \textit{Herr}—\textit{Herren}, etc., that the endings \(-er\) and \(-en\) are used for the same purpose. The forms \textit{sage}—\textit{sagte}, \textit{klage}—\textit{klagte}, etc., yielded \( t \) as the sign of past time, whilst \textit{grabe}—\textit{grub}, \textit{trage}—\textit{trug}, \textit{schlage}—\textit{schlug}, etc., show that the same object is attained by a change in the root-vowel. Thus in most cases there is more than one means of rendering grammatical and logical relations. Now, if it is remembered that for one word one means and for another word another means was employed, it depends upon chance which of these two means is employed in the individual case; we may say that as a rule that means is preferred which by reason of its frequency has taken a greater hold of the mind. Thus, to form the past tense of \textit{frage}, there are two models to go by, \textit{sage}—\textit{sagte}, etc., or \textit{trage}—\textit{trug}; in forming it after the first model, \textit{frage}—\textit{fragte}, the old German inflexion is adhered to, and in forming it after the second, \textit{frage}—\textit{frug}, we create a neologism, and the phonetic development is opposed. The number of similar neologisms is very great; the more common modes of inflexion are continually taking the place of the less common ones, thereby imparting to language an ever-increasing degree of uniformity. These analogies may of course take different directions in different circles, and so contribute to the formation of dialects.
CHAPTER II.

THE INHERITED MATERIAL OF LANGUAGE IS INSUFFICIENT.

1. CAUSES OF THE INSUFFICIENCY.

The second possibility is that the speaker is conscious of an insufficiency of material of language to express his ideas, or he is not willing to use it. The consequence is the same in both cases: the speaker finds himself obliged to look about for different material. He may take old material and employ it in a new sense, or he may create new words. The reasons of his seeking new material may be of very different nature; one reason is of course the want of a word in the speaker's consciousness to express an idea; this is the cause of the abundance of new words formed by young children, which they can dispense with as they grow older. A second reason is that words of rare occurrence are easily forgotten, as are also words with few etymological connections. Much depends upon the degree of attention and the time which are devoted to recalling words formerly heard. The uneducated or half-educated show a disinclination for mental exertion, and a preference for stereotyped phrases, proverbial expressions, and for expressing many ideas by one and the same word; thus the word machen enters into many idiomatic phrases, e.g. das Bett machen; den grossen Herren machen, 'play the fine gentleman;' er macht sich auf den Weg, aus dem Staube, 'he sets off, takes himself off;'; er macht es mir zu lange, 'he bores me;' das macht nichts, 'that is of no consequence;' machen Sie, 'be quick;' was machen Sie? 'how are you?'; and in the language of the people is still more extensively used, cf. Geld machen, 'make money;' Holz machen, 'cleave wood;' einen König machen, 'play;' einen machen, 'scold somebody;' er macht (cf. Fr. fait-il, fit-il), 'he says;' es macht, 'it is raining;' voran machen, 'hasten;' lang machen, 'be long about a thing;' in etwas machen, 'trade in something;' in die Stadt machen, 'go into the town.'
Even the memory of a man of education is not always equally reliable; he will form fewer neologisms in writing than in speaking, and fewer in addressing a large audience than in everyday conversation.

A third reason for seeking new material of language is the avoidance of Homonyms. The first object of speech is clearness; in order to attain this it is necessary that every other meaning but that intended by the speaker be excluded, in other words, that ambiguity be avoided. In Middle High German there were three homonyms with the form *wern*, which meant (a) 'endure,' Nhg. *wählen*, (b) 'ward off, prevent,' Nhg. usually *abwehren, verwehren*; the simple verb *wehren* is rare and archaic, (c) 'pay, give,' Nhg. *gewähren*. Ambiguity was more effectually guarded against by the use of the compound verbs in two of the significations instead of the simple verb. Homonyms are, however, not uncommon; as words are not usually used separately but in conjunction with others, the connection of the sentence is in general sufficient to exclude misunderstandings.

This clearness may be advanced by the formation of compound words—that is, by the addition to the sound-group which formerly bore the meaning of another sound-group which more clearly determines or delimits its meaning. It continually happens that compound words are used side by side with the simple words, and then supersede the latter. Thus the Mhg. words *dürfen, gern, wegen, barmen, bleichen, hitzen, döhen, hören, niezen* have been superseded in the modern language by the compound forms *bedürfen, begehren, bewegen, erbarmen, erbleichen, erhitzen, gedeihen, gehören, geniessen* with exactly the same meanings as the former; side by side with the older forms *laden, lösen, Gesandter* are now used the compound forms *einladen, loslösen, Abgesandter*, and beside *mindern* and *vermindern* the longer form *herabmindern* is making way. It may even happen that two words which are synonymous or partially synonymous may be joined together, as soon as the word which formerly bore the meaning threatens to become less intelligible; thus the words

---

1 The avoidance of homonyms has been the cause of the disappearance of many words, and the consequent formation of neologisms, in the Romance languages, more especially in French, in which different Latin words, through the loss of their terminations, came to coincide; cf. A. Darmesteter, *La Vie des Mots* (Paris, 1887), p. 162.
Maulesel, 'mule,' Elenthier, 'elk,' are compounds of Maul, Mhg. mól and Elen respectively, which formerly had the same meaning; Windhund, 'greyhound,' is a compound of Mhg. wint, which meant the same thing; in Lintwurm, 'dragon,' the first component lint originally meant the same thing as the second, i.e. 'serpent.'

Of two expressions of like meaning the more clear and intelligible is always the one which is etymologically more transparent—that is, beside which other words of the same root and fundamental meaning are in existence; thus the Mhg. word māc has perished and the more intelligible Verwandter has stepped into its place, Mhg. maere has been replaced by berühmt, Mhg. magezoge by Erzieher, and minne, minnen have yielded before Liebe, lieben, which had a strong support in the adjective lieb. Similarly the adverb sehr is in ordinary conversation usually replaced by stronger expressions, like fürchterlich, schrecklich, entsetzlich, etc.

The metaphorical expression or Trope is clearer and more intelligible than the proper expression; the metaphor draws attention to the particular feature of an object which forms the point of comparison between the two members of the trope. This figure is especially used in poetry, which is the home of metaphor; it replaces purely abstract expressions by material images, e.g.

vom Eise befreit sind Strom und Bäche
durch des Frühlings holden, belebenden Blick;
im Thale grünet Hoffnungsglück;
der alte Winter, in seiner Schwäche,
zog sich in rauhe Berge zurück . . .

and abstract possibility by a more impressive reality; thus unzählbare Schaaren become in poetry ungezählte Schaaren, ein unsiegbarer Held becomes unbesiegt.

Metaphor is, however, by no means confined to poetry; the process is continually repeating itself in the historical development of language, by which a proper expression is superseded by a figurative expression, which in its turn becomes a proper expression, and again calls forth a metaphor. This is most commonly observed in everyday language. Thus the older expression Haupt, L. caput, E. head, has been replaced by
the word *Kopf* which in Mhg. denoted a 'drinking-vessel,' from Mlat. *cuppa*, 'cup;' and in vulgar language more figurative expressions are preferred to the latter, such as *Kübel*, 'bucket,' etc.¹

Lastly, an expression is more forcible and its meaning is more easily grasped, the fewer the elements of which it consists; a single word is more intelligible than a combination of several, or a whole sentence. This will explain the large number of compound words which are continually being formed in the language of poetry, e.g. *Traubengestade* (Klopstock), *feuchtverklürtes Blau* (Goethe), *Berggetreue im Tannenharnisch* (Scheffel).

It has been observed that the first object of language is clearness; but the necessity may arise of using words, the sense of which is not clear to everybody; with this object the criminal classes of the different nationalities have created a language or *argot* for themselves. The German thieves' *argot* consists for the most part of words borrowed from the Jewish or Gipsy languages; but some German words are also used in a sense differing from the ordinary, e.g. *blütteln*, 'play cards,' *Plattfuss* for 'goose.'

The language of politeness, like politeness itself, has for its object to 'facilitate as much as possible social contact between men,' to make personal intercourse agreeable and easy. Its aim is to say pleasant things, to express one's esteem of others either by exalting their personality or by humbling one's own, the utterance of good wishes, the pleasures of meeting and the hopes of seeing one another again, etc. Now it is not altogether at the option of the speaker what phrases to use in order to give expression to his feelings, whether genuine or not, but certain formulas have been fixed by custom to be used according to circumstances or according to the rank of the person spoken to. This applies more especially to the mode of address; in order to alter the natural proportions of the speaker and the person addressed, or in order to increase symbolically the distance between them—the expression of distance being a sign of reverence—the plural number *Ihr*, 'you,' is used instead of the

¹ Similarly in French the older word *chef*, L. *caput*, has been replaced by the word *tête*, L. *testa*, orig. = 'an earthen vase, a potsherd;' this again having become too abstract is often replaced in popular parlance by *boule.*
singular; thereby the speaker heightens the importance of the second person, by intimating that he is addressing more than one. Another mode of expressing distance is by addressing a person as Euer Gnaden, Euer Hoheit, cf. E. 'your honour.' In the last century the polite mode of address was the third person singular, Er, Sie; it still survives in parts of Germany, but only in addressing inferiors. This use of the third person is more recent than that of the second person plural, ir, which was already used in the Middle Ages. The latest stage of development consists in the use of both the third person and the plural, e.g. Sie haben für du hast; wie befiehlt der Herr Oberst? for Oberst, was befiehlst du? In this use of the third person plural German stands alone. This person, Sie haben, is sometimes even used, though incorrectly, for er hat, sie hat, in speaking of a person present.

Poetical language is the home of chosen and uncommon expressions; not only in the vocabulary, but also in inflexions and syntax, does the language of poetry differ from that of prose. The line of separation between the two is indeed not absolute, but certain expressions are used more exclusively in prose, certain others in poetry. Thus in prose one would say du lebst, er lebt, whilst poetry has the choice between lebst and lebest, lebt and lebet. Prose prefers the forms hob, schwur, gerücht, wehte, wurden, but in poetry hub, schwur, gerochen, woh, ward are more usual. The plurals of Land, Band, Denkmal are in prose Länder, Bänder, Denkmäler, whilst poetry chooses Lande, Bande, Denkmale. In poetry, abbreviations such as nackte, wen'ge, Rev', klagt for mächtige, wenige, Reue, klagte are permitted, and poetry alone disposes of expressions like Herze, Genoss, zurück for Herz, Genosse, zurück; dein, dein for meiner, deiner; des, wes for dessen, wessen; inniglich, vonniglich, seliglich for innig, vonnig, selig. Again, poetry may say welch Getümmel for welches Getümmel, ein glückliches Land for ein glückliches Land, Röselin roth for rothes Röselin,gebraucht der Zeit for gebraucht die Zeit, tönt die Glocke Grabgesang, etc., and it avoids cumbersome participial expressions, such as ein das Gehör bezaubernder Gesang. Poetry dispenses with words of foreign origin, and has a vocabulary of its own, e.g. frevel (= frevelhaft), frommen, gülden, Hain, Hindin, Mähr, Odem, lind, schwank, siech, zag; Gemeine is more poetical than Gemeinde, Fittich than Flügel, Ross than Pferd, nahen than
sich nähern, mehren, zeugen, zwingen than vermehren, erzeugen, bezwingen; and such words as Erlebnis, Gesichtskreis, deswegen, derjenige, Seelenruhe are left to prose.

2. Extension of the Material of Language.

We have considered the causes of language-changes, the question why new words are continually taking the place of old words; these considerations have shown that in speaking we cannot, for various reasons, always use a word which was formerly used under the same circumstances. We shall now consider, what is the relation between the new material and the old; how is it possible to express the same idea now by this now by that sound-image? and what happens when an idea has not as yet been represented by any sound-image, when it is to find expression for the first time? Both these objects may be attained in two ways, (1) by the use of words already in existence (change of meaning), (2) by the creation of new words (neologism).

a. Change of Meaning.

When an already existing word is to be used for the first time to express an idea, no relation need exist between this word and the word which formerly expressed the same idea. But, in order to be understood, there must exist some inner relation between the idea to be expressed and that of the word which is used to express it. This relation may be one of resemblance. As we recognise a person by his portrait, though it may be only a rough outline sketch, so an object or idea may be called up in the mind by another which has only a general resemblance to it; the imagination supplies the lines which are wanting. Thus the name of a genus may arouse in the mind the image of a certain species belonging to it, or even of a single individual, provided that the connection in which it occurs indicates the features which are wanting. So when Luther wrote 'das Wort sie sollen lassen stan,' he meant by Wort the word of scripture; 'scripture,' again (die Schrift), is used in the sense of 'holy scripture' (die Heilige Schrift). Again, when we say 'er gehört der Gesellschaft an,' 'he moves in society,' or 'er ist von Familie,' 'he is a man of family,' the mind supplies the
adjective *gut*. When the original or 'proper' meaning of a word is lost, and it exists only in its new or 'figurative' meaning, we say that it has suffered 'restriction of meaning.' Thus the word *Brunst*, which formerly had the wider meaning of *Brand*, 'burning, fire,' cf. *Feuersbrunst*, 'conflagration,' has become restricted in meaning to 'ardent passion'; *Ecke*, 'corner,' originally something 'sharp' or 'pointed,' e.g. the *edge* of a sword; *gerben*, orig. = 'prepare,' now = 'tan' (leather).

On the other hand, the name of the individual may call up in the mind the image of the species, or the species that of the genus, and so the meaning of a word is 'extended,' as when we say 'man is mortal,' meaning human beings, both men and women.

Again, change of meaning may take place by a simple transference of the meaning of one idea to another, as when designations of time are interchanged; thus *Mittag*, 'mid-day, noon,' is in some dialects used in the sense of *Nachmittag*, 'afternoon;* similarly the word *noon* meant originally the 'ninth' hour, L. *nona*, i.e. three o'clock in the afternoon; *Abend*, again, is used in some dialects for 'afternoon.'

Active and passive expressions are often interchanged, e.g. the passive verb *heissen* meant originally to 'give a name;' afterwards to 'receive, possess a name;' the verbs *kehren*, *treiben*, *wenden* were formerly active verbs, but may now be used both actively and intransitively; *fahren* was formerly only intransitive, but is now also used in the sense of 'drive;* selbstvergessen is one who forgets or has forgotten himself, not one who is forgotten; the *Bediener* is one who serves, not one who is served, and a *Studierter* is one who has studied; *besonnen*, überlegt are adjectives with an active meaning, 'thoughtful, deliberate,' though they have the forms of passive participles; *ungefreut* is used in the dialect of Bâle for *unerfreulich*. The different dialects make no distinction between *lehren*, 'teach' and *lernen*, 'learn;' either word is used for both ideas, just as in English *learn* is used for both *learn* and *teach*. A strong resemblance is felt to exist between the different impressions of the senses; those of sight are transferred to hearing: with the old German poets the verb *sehen* was not infrequently used for to 'hear.' *Grell* and *hell* were originally used of sounds only, cf. the words *Grille*, *Hall*, *hallen*, 'sound,' but are now used also of colour; *süß* may be applied to the
smell as well as the taste, and *schmecken* was in Middle High German used in the sense of 'smell' as well as of 'taste'; *bitter* was originally 'that which bites,' and *piquant*, 'that which pricks;' and tones are spoken of as sharp, flat, soft, hard, liquid, etc.

Another kind of change of meaning rests upon identity of purpose or similarity of effects of different things. Thus the word *Streichhölzchen* may be used of a match, even if made of wax; *Fensterscheibe* (*Scheibe* = a round pane or disc) is commonly applied to panes of glass which are not round, but which answer the same purpose as the ancient circular panes. A strong impression suggests a painful one, and so adverbs of intensity are frequently borrowed from words which imply a painful feeling, e.g. *es ist grausam kalt*, 'it is terribly cold;' *es dauerte furchtbar lang*, 'it lasted a fearfully long time;' the word *sehr* meant originally 'painful,' cf. *versehren*.

In some districts of Middle Germany *oder* is used for *aber*; both words have in common that they denote antithesis.

When the resemblance between different objects is of a more external and accidental kind, the change of meaning is usually called Metaphor. We cannot, however, draw a sharp line of distinction between this kind of change and that of the previous examples. The possibilities are endless. Inanimate objects are compared to animate. From parts of the living body come the expressions *Nagelkopf*, 'head of a nail;' *Landzunge*, 'tongue of land;' *Felsennase*, cf. the Nase, Dunge Ness, etc.; *Flaschenhals*, 'neck of a bottle;' *Bergrücken*, 'ridge (i.e. back) of a mountain;' *Meerbusen*, 'bay (lit. bosom) of the sea,' cf. L. *sinus*; *Meeresarm*, 'arm of the sea;' *Stuhlbein*, 'leg of a table;' *Thalsohle*, 'bottom (lit. sole) of a valley.' On the other hand, names of inanimate objects are applied to parts of the body, e.g. *Kopf*, orig. 'cup;' *Brustkorb*, 'the chest;' *Herzkammern*, 'chambers of the heart;' *Becken*, 'pelvis,' lit. 'basin;' *Kniescheibe*, 'knee-pan,' lit. 'disc.' Expressions of time are mostly borrowed from ideas of space, e.g. *Zeitpunkt*, 'point of time;' *Zeitraum*, 'space of time;' *eine Spanne Zeit*, lit. 'span of time;' *Zeitabschnitt*, 'division of time;' we speak of the days becoming 'shorter' or 'longer;' and prepositions like *um, nach, vor*, originally used as relations of space only, are now also used for those of time. Expressions derived from ideas of time and space may be applied to the connection of
cause and effect, cf. aus Hass, 'from, out of hatred;' vor Neid, 'from (lit. before) envy; um ein Geringes, 'for (lit. around) a trifle;' wegen was originally the dative plural of Weg, the full expression being von ... wegen; so des Geldes wegen was originally — auf den Wegen des Geldes. Weil, 'because,' was originally a conjunction of time, E. while, cf. abblivieweil, an adverbial case of the substantive Weile. Expressions borrowed from gaming and sport are applied to the events of serious and practical life, e.g. aufs Spiel setzen, 'stake' (one’s life, reputation); einen Trumpf ausspielen, 'play a trump card;' alles auf eine Karte setzen; die Würfel sind gefallen, 'the die is cast;' die Kugel ist im Rollen; er hat den Vogel abgeschossen, 'he has gained a victory' (in shooting-contests, in which the target is a bird on a pole); karambolieren, 'to cannon' (in billiards), hence 'to collide;' ins Schwarze treffen, 'to hit the mark;' einem etwas vorgeben, 'to give somebody points, a start;' einen Stein im Brett haben bei einem, 'to be in favour with a person.'

The most important kind of metaphor is the expression of abstract ideas, of mental and intellectual phenomena or actions by comparison with phenomena observed by, and actions of, the senses, e.g. einsehen, 'see' (with the mind); erfassen, begreifen, vernehmen, 'seize, grasp, comprehend;' Fassung, 'self-command, composure;' Verhalten, 'conduct, behaviour;' Zustand, 'state, condition;' erinnern, 'remember,' is lit. 'to bring into;' lernen was orig. 'to bring on the way,' Got. laisjan, connected with Geleise, 'track;' lernen was the passive verb corresponding to it, 'to be brought on the way;' befehlen was orig. 'to hand over;' Vernunft, 'reason,' was orig. 'das Vernehmen;' Angst, Bangigkeit, the feeling of 'narrowness, closeness' (bange = be-ange); List, 'craft, cunning,' which in Middle High German meant in general 'judgment, discernment,' is related to lehren, lernen, Geleise. Of the mentally deranged they say 'er ist verrückt,' i.e. 'he has moved (rücken) out of his right state;' or es ist eine Schraube los, 'he has a screw loose somewhere;' or er hat einen Sparren zu viel, 'he has a rafter too many;' or he is conceived as having strange objects in his head, cf. 'es rappelt;' 'he has a rattling;' 'er hat einen Vogel,' cf. 'he has a bee in his bonnet;' and they speak of a person as having Grillen, 'crickets;' Mucken, 'midges' (= Mücken), or Raupen, 'caterpillars,' cf. the E. maggots.
In the case of metaphors handed down from early times, it may easily happen that the ground of the transference is not obvious at first sight. The cause of this lies not so much in the external alteration of words, and the consequent obscuring of the etymology, as in the disappearance of customs and ideas which gave rise to the metaphor. Thus the expression einen Span wider jemand haben, ‘be at law with,’ points to an old symbolic action, which consisted in taking a chip (Span) out of the woodwork of a debtor’s house by his creditor; den Handsschuh werfen, ‘throw the gauntlet,’ points to the fact that a challenge consisted in the actual throwing of a glove; in order to understand the word Angebinde, ‘birthday present,’ it must be remembered that the present was actually tied to the arm or neck of a child.

With certain relationships we connect the idea of certain qualities, though not necessarily, and so the names of those relations may be transferred by metaphor to others who are supposed to possess those same qualities. Thus Mütterchen, ‘mother,’ is often used in addressing old women; mein Sohn, mein Kind, are used as expressions of endearment; ein komischer Onkel is a ‘funny fellow’; in some dialects Schwützfrabase (Base = ‘cousin’) is used for a ‘loquacious woman,’ and basen is ‘to chatter, gossip’ (cf. the E. gossip from godsib = ‘godmother,’ and Fr. commère, commérages = old wives’ tales); in the dialect of Bâle the word Tochter is used in the general sense of Mädchen.

Again, certain proper names of persons are often connected with certain qualities, and so have come to be used as common names to denote persons possessing those qualities. Thus, Hans (= Johannes), Grete (Margarete), Peter, Stoffel or Töfftel (for Christophel), are names for simple or stupid people, cf. simple Simon; Prahlhans is a ‘boaster;’ Schmalhans is a ‘niggar;’ Rüpel (Ruprecht) a ‘ruffian;’ in the language of Berlin Quaselfritte, Quaselliese, Quaselpeter are used in the sense of ‘chatter-box;’ in Bâle a good-natured fellow is called a Baschi (Sebastian), and Leahnl (Leonhardt) is in Lower Austrian equivalent to ‘fellow.’ Similarly, adjectives of nationality have come to denote certain qualities, e.g. böhmisch, ‘Bohemian,’ and spanisch are equivalent to ‘foreign, strange,’ as in the expressions das sind ihm böhmische Dörfer, ‘that is Greek to him’ (probably from the apparently unpronounceable character of Slavonic names), or das kommt mir spanisch vor; the word altfränkisch means ‘old-
fashioned.’ In the fifteenth century Lazarusmensch was used for a ‘leper,’ cf. Fr. lazaret, ‘hospital,’ and It. lazzaroni, ‘beggars;’ in the seventeenth century rolfinke meant in Jena to ‘dissect,’ after the name of Professor Rolfing; from the name of the French architect Lenôtre comes the adjective lenotrisch, applied to gardens with artificially cut trees and hedges; and a piece of roguery is called Eulenspiegelei, Fr. espièglerie, after the name of the real or mythical jester Tyll Eulenspiegel.

A very common transference of meaning consists in making a part of an object represent the whole object. Thus, the head is made to stand for the whole body, as in Schiller’s verse ‘er zählt die Häupter seiner Lieben;’ das gastliche Dach, ‘the hospitable roof,’ or die gastliche Schwelke, ‘the hospitable threshold,’ are equivalent to ‘the hospitable house;’ Dickkopf is one who has a ‘thick head;’ Gelbschnabel, ‘a fledgeling’ (lit. ‘yellow beak’), also ‘an inexperienced person;’ Langfinger, one with ‘a long finger, a thief.’ A distinctive article of dress or implement may stand for the person who wears or uses it, e.g. Kutte, ‘cowl,’ for a ‘monk;’ Schürze, ‘apron,’ for a ‘woman,’ cf. E. petticoat; in the language of the German student the maid-of-all-work is a Hausbesen or Zimmerbesen. Again, the name of a place may be used for the people who frequent it, e.g. das Abgeordnetenhaus, ‘house of representatives,’ or die Kammer, ‘the chamber,’ cf. E. cabinet. The word Frauenzimmer originally denoted the ‘women’s apartment;’ and in the last century was still a collective name; similarly, der Bursche, ‘student’ or ‘young fellow,’ has come from die burse, L. bursa, = a place in which students lived in common. Names of objects are transferred to qualities, thus, Herz, ‘heart,’ stands for ‘courage’ (itself a derivative of L. cor); if we say a man has a ‘sharp eye’ we mean he can see well; abstract names denoting actions are transferred to persons, thus, Verstärkung, ‘reinforcement,’ is applied to a body of men sent to reinforce; Wache, ‘watch,’ is a body of men who hold the watch; in Gefängniss, ‘prison,’ orig. = ‘captivity,’ an abstract name denoting a state is applied to a building. Other examples of abstract names developing a concrete meaning are, Herrschaft, Kameradschaft for Herr, Kamerad; Essen, Trinken for ‘food’ and ‘drink;’ die Jugend for the ‘young people;’ der junge Leichtsinn, der kleine Uebermut for the ‘careless young fellow,’ the ‘little wanton.’
Other examples of change of meaning are, holen, 'fetch,' originally to 'call,' Gk. καλείν, E. hale; lauschen, 'listen,' was at first equivalent to 'lie hidden;' verwegen, 'fool-hardy,' is related to wügen, and denotes 'one who has falsely estimated his powers,' and in consequence shows too much boldness; erschrecken is really to 'start up, jump,' as in Heuschrecke, the insect which jumps in the hay, E. grasshopper; the verb denoted at first the effect of 'being frightened;' on the other hand, in Harnisch gerathen, 'put on armour,' now denotes the cause of that action—namely, 'get angry;' singen, E. singe, originally denoted the effect of heat upon water, and meant 'cause to sing;' it was a factitive verb of singen, as drängen is of dringen. Sincerity and simplicity may be the consequences of stupidity, so the stupid one is called einfältig or albern, Mhg. alwaere = 'quite truthful;' or badness is conceived as the effect of weakness, thus schlecht was originally the same as schlicht, 'simple,' as in Schiller, K. u. L., 'Gott weiss wie ich schlechter Mann zu diesem Engel gekommen bin;' cf. schlecht und recht, schlechtthin, schlechtweg, 'simply, plainly.'

The observation of the development of meanings offers, as we see, a very rich and almost confusing picture; and all these possibilities are not only going on alongside of each other, but are continually crossing one another; one and the same word can, in course of time, or simultaneously, combine in itself the most diverse meanings. The word Kopf meant originally a 'drinking bowl;' in some parts of Germany the word Tassenkopf is still used for 'cup.' The word Schröpfkopf, 'cupping-glass,' is applied to a 'leech,' on account of the resemblance of a part of its body to that article; so the name of an inanimate object is applied to an animal, and that of a part to the whole. Kopf in its ordinary meaning, 'head,' rests upon a double transference: in the first place, the cranium was so called from its resemblance to a drinking-bowl; and, secondly, the name of the part was transferred to the whole (similarly Fr. tête is from L. testa). In the sense of 'head,' Kopf is used in numerous metaphors, e.g. Kehlkopf, 'head of the windpipe, larynx;' Krautkopf, 'cabbage-head;' Mohnkopf, 'poppy-head;' Balkenkopf, 'end of a beam;' Bergkopf, 'top of a mountain;' Brückenkopf, 'tête-de-pont;' Nagelkopf, 'head of a nail;' Stülenkopf, 'head of a pillar;' Kopf einer Note, 'of a musical note;' Kopf eines Bogens Papier, 'of a sheet of paper.' The head sometimes stands as
representing the whole body, as a 'herd of so many head'; 'so viel Köpfe, so viel Sinne,' = quot homines, tot sententiae. The head is used for qualities of the mind, of which it is supposed to be the seat, as in the expressions die Dinge wollen uns nicht aus dem Kopfe; wir wollen unsern Kopf durchsetzen, 'we will have our way; 'er hat einen eigenen, harten, guten Kopf, 'he is obstinate, has a hard head, good brains;' or for the latter expression simply er hat Kopf. In expressions like er ist ein Hohlkopf, Querkopf, Schwachkopf, 'he is empty-headed, wrong-headed, soft-headed,' the part is used for the whole, and the locality for what is in it; whilst in the expression ein Kopf, 'a man of accomplishments,' three different kinds of transference are combined.

In considering the changes of form produced by analogy we made the observation, that a word did not suffer the same change in each of its functions or meanings; so here we may make an observation of an opposite but a like nature, that a sound-group does not suffer the same change of meaning in each of its uses. In the former case we observed isolated forms of a word in its phonetically developed state; so here we may observe cases of a kind of isolation of meaning, of certain sound-groups retaining under certain circumstances a more primitive meaning. They occur especially in compound words, in rhymed formulas or proverbial sayings. Thus in Feuersbrunst the component Brunst retains its older meaning of Brand = 'burning;' whilst the word itself has adopted a figurative meaning. Ding meant originally a 'judicial transaction;' it retains the older meaning in the verb bedingen, 'contract, stipulate.' Fahren could formerly be used of a movement on foot, and has retained that meaning in Wallfahrt, 'pilgrimage.' The older meaning of klein, i.e. 'fine, delicate,' remains in the substantive Kleinod, 'jewel,' which afterwards combined the meaning of 'costly.' Leib formerly corresponded to Leben, E. life, and this meaning remains in Leibrente, 'life-annuity,' Leibzucht, 'maintenance for life.' Leiche, 'corpse,' was originally equivalent to 'body,' and retains that meaning in Leichdorn, 'corn,' lit. 'thorn in the body.'

Another resemblance may be observed between changes by analogy and changes of meaning by transference. The result of changes by analogy is that one or more words exist with the
same meaning; the result of transference of meaning is that the same word becomes the bearer of several meanings. As a word whose meaning has been transferred often retains its proper or primitive meaning beside the metaphorical meaning, and as several metaphors are possible for the same idea, the consequence of transference of meaning is the development of Synonyms, *i.e.* words with a like meaning. The number of synonyms differs greatly for different ideas; the simpler objects or ideas, which differ little in their various aspects, and arouse little interest, *e.g.* 'air, water;' have hardly any synonyms; ideas which appear under many different aspects, which call forth expressions of wit and humour, have often a wealth of synonyms; examples are words for 'be in love,' 'to cheat,' and especially for 'to be drunk.'

The different synonyms which stand for the same idea may differ in meaning and employment in varying degrees; sometimes they differ according to the grade of education of the speaker, or according to the occasion upon which they are used; they may be used under exactly similar circumstances, and yet each may preserve a slightly different shade; or lastly they may exactly cover one another. But the latter state of things never endures for any length of time; of two exactly synonymous terms one must soon succumb.

\[ b. \text{Neologisms.} \]

New words may be formed, and are daily formed, by using already existing words, *i.e.* by composition. Two words are connected together to form a third; it is implied thereby that the two simple ideas combine together in one way or another in the compound idea.

The composition may be of a two-fold kind. Firstly, the two ideas are upon an equal footing; the two words might stand separate and joined by 'and,' and the collective idea would be the same; a simple addition of two factors has taken place. This oldest and most simple kind of composition became very rare in the historical period of the German language. In the oldest Teutonic compounds like *sunvader* (= *Sohnvater*), with the meaning 'Sohn und Vater,' were possible; in modern German this kind of composition is represented only by the
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numerals dreizehn to neunzehn and by compound adjectives, such as bittersüß, blaugrün, helldunkel, warmkalt.

Secondly, the two ideas are of unequal importance as regards the whole; the one is essential, the other unessential, and determines the first. The essential idea contains the genus to which the whole belongs, the unessential idea the species. The less important and determining part stands first, the more important in the second place, e.g. Gartenbaum, 'a tree which stands in a garden.' This kind of composition is not as simple as the former; the relation between the two parts must be sought. There is a considerable difference in meaning between Gartenbaum, 'garden-tree,' and Baumgarten, 'orchard.' The relation of the two component parts to each other is different in Goldmensch, 'monied man,' and in Goldgräber, 'gold-digger;' in Königstiger, 'royal tiger,' in Königssohn, 'king's son,' and in Königsmörder, 'regicide;' in Feuertaufe, 'baptism of fire,' and in Feuerschein, 'gleam of fire;' in Höllestrafe, 'hell-torment,' and in Höllenlärm, 'infernal noise.' In many compounds of this kind we may indeed say that simple addition has taken place, e.g. Butterbrot, 'piece of bread and butter,' since it contains both butter and bread; Altmeister, 'the senior master of a guild,' since he is firstly 'old,' and secondly a 'master.' But the addition is here of quite a different nature; a real union, a complete blending, has taken place, and Werwolf, lit. 'man-wolf' (Wer = Lat. vir, 'man'), i.e. a wolf which could take human shape, is a very different thing from a 'man and a wolf.'

The unity could in course of time become still stronger. As long as the two parts which form the compound have an independent existence, the feeling remains that there has been a simple joining of two parts. But the separate existence of the parts may have ceased. If the first part has ceased to exist independently, we have composition by means of so-called prefixes, e.g. un-, be-, ent-, ge-, ver-. It is still possible to form new words by means of these prefixes; but in such cases it is incorrect, strictly speaking, to call it composition, i.e. we do not add un + orthographisch or er + kapern to form unorthographisch, erkapern, but more correctly speaking, after the model of schön—unschön, hold—unhold, we form orthographisch—unorthographisch, and after the model of jagen—erjagen, streben—erstreben, we form kapern—erkapern. If the second part has ceased to exist inde-
pendently, then derivation takes place. The syllable -heit was originally a word by itself, meaning 'shape,' and Schönheit meant primitively 'beautiful shape.' In the adjectives ending in -lich, which in pre-historic times were probably substantives, that syllable is the old German substantive lich, 'body,' which we find in Leiche, Leichnam (cf. E. lich-gate), and the words freundlich, freundlich would be originally equivalent to Feindesleib, Freundsleib. The case of words ending in -haft, -schaft, -tum is similar, and we may conclude that other derivative syllables, which no longer appear in historic times as separate words, formerly had an independent existence. And as in the case of prefixes, when these derivative syllables are still used to form new words, we cannot, correctly speaking, call it a union of two factors, but the neologism is formed after an existing model; thus, after the model Feind — feindlich, Land — ländlich, might be formed Manchester — manchesterlich. There is then no fundamental difference between composition by means of prefixes and derivations; in both cases, superficially regarded, an already existing word combines with a part of another already existing word.

In order to form new words, however, it is not even necessary that already existing words should enter into their composition; a part of a word may serve as the point of departure for new creations. If two words have only one or more sounds in common, the uttering of one of them will recall the other to the mind. We may have observed that in order to express a quality by several words of cognate meaning, a preference is often shown for words with the same initial sound, e.g. ein läderlicher, lumpiger, lotteriger Mensch. This preference explains the origin of alliteration in the old Teutonic poetry; the style of that poetry demanded the frequent use of expressions of cognate meaning, and those of them seemed most nearly related which were bound together by the same initial.

Thus a word like trippeln has taken its initial sound from traben, trappen, treten; the remainder of the word was probably formed after an older word, zippeln (cf. Zipperlein, 'gout'), of similar meaning; zupfen by its initial recalls ziehen, and by the remainder of the word rupfen; rutschen has taken its r from rücken; klirren, schwirren were probably influenced by girren; knarren by schnarren; Randal by Skandal. It is not necessary to prove that every sound in a new word has previously formed
part of a word of similar meaning. One of the principal sources of new words still flows as it did thousands of years ago, and new words are still being created by imitation of sounds of nature (Onomatopoeia). Words like bammeln, bimmeln, patschen, plumpsen, klatschen, etc., are creations of quite recent date. It is indeed difficult to determine in each case whether a word has been created anew, or whether it has been formed from old materials of language.

The meaning of words formed after this manner is easily guessed, although they may be unconnected with any existing material of language, since they paint by their sounds that which is to be expressed. Those words which are not connected with any previously existing words, and in which there is no sound-painting, are less easily understood; they have to be learned, as language is learned by a child. The German language has received a large contingent of words of this kind by borrowing from foreign languages. But the literary language has also received fresh material from a purely German source; Haller, Lessing, and Goethe consciously introduced many dialectical words. Moreover, many old and long dead words have been resuscitated; this revival was due principally to the Romantic movement and the study of old German, assisted by the tales of chivalry of the end of the last century, and the historical novel of this century (Scheffel, Freytag, etc.) In this manner words like Fehde, Gau, Ger, Hain, Halle, Hort, Kämpe, Minne, have again become a living possession of the language. The composer Richard Wagner has shown himself the boldest in resuscitating old German words; his flow of language is in itself often sufficiently unintelligible, and becomes still more obscure by the introduction of words like freislich, Friedel, glau, neidlich, Nicker, etc.

All the changes which we have considered, the creations of new words and meanings and the decay of the old words and meanings, combined with the changes in sounds and forms, have as a necessary consequence the alteration in aspect which language offers at different periods. This alteration is quite gradual; no point of time may be fixed upon as the end of an old and the beginning of a new period. Every neologism, however, comes into existence suddenly; and we may determine the point of time at which numerous expressions arose. Luther
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mentions the words beherzigen, erspriesslich, tugendreich as new words; the expressions gehen wir, nehmen wir in the sense of wir wollen gehen, nehmen appeared for the first time in the last century; Lessing coined the words empfindsam and weinerlich, and Jahn (1778-1852) the words turnen, Volksthum, volksthumlich; and abrüsten has come into being during the last few decades.

Words perish very gradually; the old material yields step by step to the new. Some forms of old words and expressions perish before others; thus of many old verbs the participles alone have remained in existence, e.g. aufgedunsen, abgefeimt, entrückt. Substantives have survived only in combination with certain prepositions, e.g. Irre in the expression in die Irre, in der Irre gehen, ‘go astray,’ and Rüste in zu Rüste gehen, ‘set’ (of the sun). Especially compound words and stereotyped phrases have preserved old materials of language. In the second part of Bräutigam, E. bridegroom, we see an old word meaning ‘man,’ Ohg. gomo (=L. homo); in Karfreitag, ‘Good Friday,’ the old work kara, ‘complaint, pain,’ E. care; in durchblüten, ‘give a good thrashing to,’ which is not connected in any way with blau, the old verb bleuen, ‘to strike,’ cf. E. blow. An old verb gnäden survives in the expression Gnäd` dir Gott; and in lichterloh brennen, ‘be all in a blaze,’ is contained an adverbial genitive, prop. = ‘mit lichter Lohe brennen.’

These changes differ in their kind and in their rapidity in different territories; they contribute their share to the formation of dialectical differences. Words and meanings which have long perished in one spot continue in another in living use. The same peculiarity may be observed in the distinct languages of certain circles of society; thus in the name which seamen apply to their knitted gloves, Wanten, we see the old German word for ‘glove,’ which the Romance languages borrowed as gant (Fr.) and guanto (Ital.) The language of the hunter preserves many such old words, e.g. abprossen, ‘bite off the buds,’ from Mhg. broz, ‘bud;’ rahmen, ‘overtake,’ from Mhg. rämen, ‘strive after;’ wölfen, ‘bring forth young,’ from Mhg. welf, ‘the young of hounds or wild animals,’ E. whelp.
A language would be perfect in its orthography if it had as many orthographic signs or letters as it has sounds, and if each sound were always represented by the same letter. The German orthography (though infinitely more phonetic than the English) is yet far from having attained this ideal. On the one hand, the same sound is expressed by different signs, e.g. *sie waren* is pronounced exactly like *wahren* and *die Waaren*; *voll* has the same initial sound as its derivative *füllen*, *vor* as its cognate *für*. On the other hand, one sign often stands for several different sounds; thus *ch* in *Bach*, *Loch*, *erlaucht* is pronounced differently from the *ch* in *Büche*, *Löcher*, *erleuchtet*, *Milch*, *mancher*, *Lerche*; after the sounds *a*, *o*, *u*, this sound is more guttural; after *e*, *i*, *ö*, *ü* and consonants it is more palatal; before *s* this sound is pronounced as *k*, in the words *Dachs*, *Luchs*, *sechs*, *Wachs*, etc. The sign *ch* exemplifies also the necessity of expressing a single sound by a combination of two letters. Similarly *ie* is used as the sign of the long vowel *i*, and *ng* to represent the guttural nasal sound in *lang*, *Gang*, etc.; whilst in *sch* we have a combination of three letters to represent a single sound. The reverse of this meets us in the letters *x* and *z*, which both stand for double sounds, *k*s and *t*s. From the above it will be seen that a careful distinction must be made between letters and sounds.

The causes of this defective spelling are to be explained in great part by the manner in which orthography comes into being—by its history. Each individual learns his orthography from another, who in his turn has learnt it from another; so that it may happen that a person uses the same spelling which was used several generations before him. In the meantime the pronunciation may have changed without the person who writes being conscious of it. This sufficiently explains the contradiction
between the sounds and their signs. The invention of printing was especially instrumental in increasing the discrepancy between spelling and pronunciation, since by the introduction of printing, and the consequent wider circulation of books, the orthography in general became fixed, as it has remained to this day. Now since the fifteenth century many changes have taken place in the sounds of the German language; thus, the diphthong \( ei \) is now pronounced \( ai \) (or, more exactly, \( ae \) ); in \( lieb, D'ieb \) the second vowel was formerly pronounced separately; the words \( steif, spitz \) are pronounced as if spelled \( schteif, schpitz \), whilst originally the initial sound was a pure \( s \). Again, in \( Ratte, Vetter, Himmel, Sitte, Donner, \) or any other word containing a double consonant, we do not actually pronounce a double sound: the four sounds \( r, a, t, e \) would form the word usually spelled \( Ratte \). But in the Middle High German language the double consonants were actually pronounced, or, to speak more correctly, the consonants were drawn out as in modern Italian. During the transition period from Middle High German to New High German, almost all the short vowels which stood before single consonants became lengthened in pronunciation, whilst those which stood before double consonants preserved their short sound. Then the pronunciation of the double consonants became simplified, but they were retained in the orthography; hence arose the idea of a connection between the doubling of the consonant and the shortness of the preceding vowel, and the consonant was doubled in words which did not formerly contain the double sound, whenever (from whatever cause) a short vowel survived before an originally simple consonant; thus Mhg. \( h\'omel, d\'oner \) are now spelt \( Himmel, Donner, \) because Mhg. \( stimme, sonne \) are now pronounced \( St\'imme, S\'one \).

These defects in the Nhg. orthography have not been quite without significance for the spoken language itself; among the educated classes the spelling sometimes reacts upon the pronunciation. Many persons distinguish the pronunciation of the root-vowels in words like \( stet \) and \( best\'eit\'igen, leer, schwer, \) and \( er\'kl\'aren, gef\'ahr\'lich \); yet all of them represent the Mhg. sound \( ae \). The Germans of Esthonia pronounce the diphthong in \( Haide, Kaiser, Maid \) differently from that in \( Heide, keiner, Mein\'eid; in M. High German all these words were spelled with \( ei \), and in the modern dialects the pronunciation of the diphthong is the same in all.
CHAPTER II.

NEW HIGH GERMAN ACCENTUATION.

The quality of the emphasis or accent which distinguishes one syllable of a word or sentence from the other may be of a twofold nature: Firstly, the pitch of the voice in which the different sounds are produced may be different. This change in the musical accentuation is comparatively unimportant in words taken singly, but is of the greatest importance for the whole sentence and its meaning.

The different kinds of sentences—the assertive, the interrogative, and the imperative sentences—differ considerably as regards the musical pitch of their different parts. In the simple sentence which makes an assertion the pitch is usually a descending one; thus the sentence er geht fort may be illustrated as follows: —

The pitch of the interrogative and imperative sentences is a rising one; and in the former the concluding part of the sentence rises considerably higher above the middle pitch than in the latter. The interrogative sentence er geht fort? may be represented as follows: — and the command er geht fort! (mache er, dass er fortkommt !) by . When therefore with the last word of a sentence we have not reached a conclusion, a point of rest, but point to something which is to follow, then the tone of the voice rises. Hence in a compound sentence the voice always rises before a new part of the sentence is begun. The reason of this rising and falling of the voice is to be explained, according to the opinion of one of the most eminent German psychologists, by the general character of musical notes. The deep and descending notes have a firm, decided, and tranquil character;
the high notes express excitement, and are themselves inciting; they are much more aggressive and alluring than the deep notes. From this it may appear strange that in interrogative sentences the voice ascends to a higher pitch than in imperative sentences. Possibly the explanation may be found in the fact that a command can be enforced by a gesture.

Secondly, emphasis may be expressed by greater stress in articulation; it is this force of which we usually think when we speak of one or the other syllable having the accent. The force with which the individual words of a sentence are uttered is graduated according to their degree of importance in the sentence, according to their logical weight. In the individual word the rule holds good, with few exceptions, that the most important syllable is accented with the greatest force. According to this universal law any syllable of a word may have the accent if we wish particularly to emphasise it; thus we might say of a person: er ist bekleidet, nicht bemält; er ist bekleidet, nicht entkleidet; er ist bekleidet, nicht bekleidénd. Setting aside such exceptional cases like these, we may say that in German words one and the same syllable always has the strongest accent—differing in this important respect from Latin and Greek (see p. 7)—whatever be the number of syllables which follow it, e.g. ein, einig, Einigkeit, Einigkeitsbestrebungen.

In the simple uncompounded word the root-syllable, i.e. the first syllable, has the fixed accent. Words like Jügeret, Büberet, hantieren, stolzieren are only apparent exceptions; the derivative syllables -ei and -ieren are of French origin, and show the French mode of accentuation. But there is a tendency, even in loan-words, to throw back the accent upon the first syllable, according to the German manner.

In compound words the rule is not so simple. Of great importance is the logical relation in which the first part of the compound stands to the second. In the great majority of compound words the first component determines or delimits the second. If the first part is formed of a substantive, an adjective or a verb, then the accent is on the first syllable, as in the simple word, e.g. Mondschein, Grünspecht, Trétrad. The accentuation is the same, when adverbs, prepositions, and other particles are joined to substantives or adjectives, e.g. Wiedertüfer, Antwort, Überfluss, vörlaus, Missethat. If a particle and a verb are
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joined together, the union may be of a two-fold kind: firstly, the two parts may be inseparable, then the accent is laid upon the root-syllable of the verb, not upon the particle, e.g. belehren, entnehmen, erfahren, missfallen, verraten, zerreißen; secondly, the parts may be separable, then the accent rests upon the particle, e.g. beistehen—er steht mir bei, fürtsallen—alle Bedenklichkeiten fielen fort, weggehen—er ist weggengangen. A number of particles may be either separable or inseparable, e.g. durchbrechen—durchbréchen, übersetzen—übersetzen, umgehen—umgehen, unterstellen—unterstéllen, widerbellen, widerhallen—widerfahren, widerráten.

These laws of accentuation of words compounded with particles have a number of apparent exceptions. Words like Bescheid, Eróberung, Verléger appear to be compounded of particles and nouns, and we should expect them to be accented on the first syllable. But the words Scheid, Oberung, Leger do not exist as simple words; hence these words are not real substantive compounds, but derivatives from the verbs bescheiden, eróbern, verlégen, and are rightly accented like verbal compounds. On the other hand, antworten, ärkunden, ärteilen are not compounded, as might be supposed, of particles and verbs, but are derivatives from the substantives Antwort, Úrkunde, Úrteil, and in retaining the accent of these nouns they are no contradiction of the rule.

Very often the first member of the compound word has not that power of determining the meaning of the second. In such cases either the second part is accented, or the accent fluctuates between the first and the second part. The relation between the two parts may then be such, that the first member determines the second only in degree. This is the case with the prefix ge-; the difference in meaning between leiten and geleiten, streng and gestreng, Wasser and Gewasser is very inconsiderable; with the prefix voll-, as in vollénden, vollfuhren; with all-, as in allgültig, allmächtig; and with compound words whose two parts are almost equivalent in meaning, as grossmächtig, kleinwinzig, mittendrin. There is besides a considerable number of words in German which, as first members of compound words, may have either a determining force, or simply a strengthening force; the same word may therefore bear two different meanings, according to its accentuation. Compare steinreich, ein steinreicher Boden, ‘stony ground,’ and steinreich, ein steinreicher
Mann, 'a very rich man;' blütarm, 'poor in blood,' and blutárm, 'poor as a church mouse;' bőmbenfést, 'bomb-proof,' and bőmbenfest, 'firm as a rock.' In these words, however, the accent may be laid upon the first component, even when it has a simply strengthening force.

The first member of the compound word appears still more unessential, when one is no longer conscious of the relation of the two members to each other. This happens when the second member no longer has an independent existence. The accent is then fluctuating; we may accent nőtwendig or nötvéndig, because wendig does not now exist as an independent word. So we accent dreifältig, barmhézsig, leibhaftig or leibhäftig, willkommen or willkóommen, váhrscheinlich or wahrscheinlich, Jahrzehnt. This explains also the anomalous accentuation of Forelle, lebéndig. These words were simple derivative words, and were in Middle High German accented upon the first syllable. There being in New High German no other examples of derivatives ending in -elle and -endig, these words appeared to the linguistic sense as compounds, and were accordingly accented like other corresponding compounds.

In adjectives compounded with the particle un-, the accent rests upon the prefix, whenever the second part is used independently; otherwise the accent more commonly falls upon the second part, e.g. schön—ánschön, freundlich—ánfrendlich, fruchtbar—ánfuchtbar, but unbeschreiblich (sometimes ánbeschreiblich), unermésslich, unságlich, unzählig, the simple words beschreiblich, ermesslich, etc., not being used alone.

An important question in considering compound words in German is, which syllable of the word has the secondary accent (Tiefton\(^1\)), the next in importance to the principal accent. As a general rule, the Tiefton rests upon that syllable of the unaccented (i.e. not having the Hochton) member of the compound word, which would have the Hochton if it were used independently, e.g. Sömmerarbeit, hinterlistig, Úneinigkeit. Under the

---

1 The principal accent is called in German Hochton, the secondary accent Tiefton, words which imply pitch rather than force of articulation (greater or less force of articulation being usually accompanied by a raising or lowering of the pitch). The Tiefton is usually marked by the grave accent. The less important syllables are termed unbéton.
following circumstances, however, this rule may be broken: when the first member is monosyllabic, and when in the second member the first syllable with the chief accent is immediately followed by a syllable with a heavy secondary accent. In such cases there is a tendency to make accented and unaccented syllables alternate regularly. Thus we may accent ünabsichtlich, ünvorsichtig, Ämterniessbräuch, Vörandeige, Vörurteil, Náchtarbeid, ünstatthåft, ünfruchtbar, Änmerkåung, although the second members, when standing alone, are accented åbsichtlich, vòrsichtig, etc.
CHAPTER III.

PHONOLOGY OF NEW HIGH GERMAN.

A knowledge of the laws of accent is important, both for its own sake and on account of the influence which the place of the accent has upon the development of a word. The changes which take place in strongly-accented syllables may be quite different from those which take place in unaccented syllables. We must, therefore, in treating of the phonetic changes of the vowels in New High German, keep asunder as far as possible the accented syllables from the unaccented.

The most important of the changes which have contributed to alter the appearance of the modern language are those of the accented vowels, especially those of Mhg. ı, ą, ु (written iu), which appear in Nhg. as the diphthongs ei, au, eu. This change originally took place in the Bavario-Austrian dialect, and is there met with as early as the twelfth century; from that dialect it spread gradually to the Middle German, the South and East Franconian and the Swabian territories, whilst the other Alemanic dialects and Low German retained the old simple vowels; thus,

Alem., Lg., Mhg. min, din, sin — Nhg. mein, dein, sein.
" hüs, mûs — " Haus, Maus.
" hiute — " heute.

In non-accented syllables the old simple vowels have been in part retained; the probable reason being that these vowels had become short before diphthongation had set in; comp. reich and Friedrich, Heinrich, Gänserich, Leiche, Leichnam, and the derivatives in -lich, as freundlich, etc.

By borrowing from Old German or from Low German and Alemanic, many old monophthongs have been restored to the language. Thus, beside Schweiz we find Schwyz; beside Neid
and Neidhart we have the name of the Frankish historian Nithart; so also Auerochse and Ur; raunen, ‘whisper, round,’ and die Runen, ‘runes;’ Gertrud and traut, ‘dear, familiar;’ Bruno and braun; Hùine and Heune, ‘giant.’

It will be observed that the three sound-changes just mentioned all show a uniform direction; in all three cases there is an approach to the vowel a (for the more correct representation of mein, heute as pronounced would be main, haùte). The same direction of change is observable in three diphthongs, which were already diphthongs in the older language; Mhg. ei changed to Nhg. ai, e.g. Mhg. keiser—Nhg. Kaiser; Mhg. ou changed to Nhg. au, e.g. Mhg. boum—Nhg. Baum; Mhg. öu changed to Nhg. öu (pron. ai), e.g. Mhg. böume—Nhg. Bürme. Each of the three Nhg. diphthongs ei (pron. and sometimes written ai), au and ai (written eu and öu), therefore represents two Mhg. sounds, namely—

\[
\text{Nhg. } \text{ei} = \text{Mhg. } \text{i} \text{ and ei}
\]

\[
\text{" au = } \text{" } \text{á } \text{ ou}
\]

\[
\text{" eu = } \text{" } \text{iu } \text{ öu. }
\]

In pronunciation, however, the distinction between the two sets of sounds has not become wholly obliterated. The Middle and Low Germans, who speak High German, no longer distinguish the sounds; but in the pronunciation of the South German these two classes of sounds have in general either a different colouring, or the components of the diphthongs differ in duration. Thus, Weide, ‘willow,’ and Weise, ‘manner,’ Mhg. wide, wise, are pronounced with short á + i, whilst Weide, ‘pasturage,’ and Waise, ‘orphan,’ Mhg. weide, weise, are pronounced with á + i; similarly die Taube, ‘dove,’ Mhg. tòbe, is pronounced with short á + u, but der Taube, ‘the deaf man,’ Mhg. toube, with á + u; reuen, Mhg. riwuen, with äüi, but streuen, Mhg. stròwuen, with áüi.

Whilst some of the old long vowels have changed to diphthongs, we find that some old diphthongs have become simplified to vowels. These diphthongs are Mhg. ie, uo, üe, which have changed to Nhg. i, ù, ü, e.g.

\[
\text{Mhg. } \text{er lief } \text{— Nhg. } \text{lief (pron. lif)}
\]

\[
\text{" guot } \text{— } \text{" gut}
\]

\[
\text{" grüezen } \text{— } \text{" grüssen}
\]
This change first took place in Middle German, partly as early as the end of the Mhg. period. The Bavarian and Alemanic dialects have still faithfully preserved the old diphthongs, except that the second part of the diphthong has here and there suffered a slight change; thus Bube, Blut, gut, Hut, are in Alemanic Bueb, Bluet, guet, Huet, whilst in many parts of Bavaria the second part of the diphthong is a, Buab, guat, etc., just as they say also lieb (lieb), griazn (grüssen). The fact that most of the long ï sounds of New High German have arisen from the diphthong ie sufficiently explains the modern German practice of representing every long ï by ie, even when it has a different origin.

We may observe that in one and the same word the root-vowel is pronounced sometimes short and sometimes long; either certain forms have a short vowel and certain others a long one, or the pronunciation fluctuates in one and the same form. Thus some parts of the verb nehmen, wir nehmen, ihr nehmt are long, others, du nimmst, er nimmt, nimm (mm is only the sign of a short vowel, see p. 70) are short; so also geben, wir geben, but du gibst, er gibt, gib, or giebst, giebt, gieb; des Gläses, Täges, des Weges are always pronounced with a long root-vowel, but the nominative and accusative are pronounced by the South Germans Gläs, Täg, Weg, but by many North Germans Gläs, Täg, Weg; some pronounce Herzög, jënseits, others, Herzög, jënseits. How is this difference to be explained? Whenever one and the same grammatical function is represented by double forms, it is to be presumed that one of them is the phonetic development, and that the other has arisen by analogy (see p. 43). So in these cases; the North German pronunciation shows the original condition, the correct development, das Gläs—des Gläses. The Mhg. paradigm was gläs—gläses, and in accordance with the law that a Mhg. short vowel became long in New High German when standing in an open syllable, i.e. when followed by a single consonant and a vowel, gläses became Gläses; when such was not the case, when a vowel was in a close syllable, the old short vowel remained. This lengthening of the root-vowel also first commenced in Middle German, as early as the Mhg. period.

Whenever, in spite of the law, we find a long vowel in a close syllable, it is to be explained by transmission from forms
which had an open root-vowel; thus, *das Gläs* is formed after the genitive *des Gläses*, *er gäb* (Mhg. *gäp*) after the plural *wir gäben*. Those who pronounce der *Weg*, formed after des *Weges*, say *weg*, ‘away;’ this adverb is simply the accusative of the substantive, which has preserved its original short vowel, because its connection with the substantive was no longer felt (see p. 45).

The above-mentioned law of the lengthening of a vowel in an open syllable is not without exceptions. When the single consonant which follows the root-vowel is followed by *e+l, e+r, e+n*, either case is possible: the vowel can remain short or become long. Thus *Mäkel* and *Mäkel, gesöttten*, Mhg. *gesöten*, and *geböten*, Mhg. *geböten, wider* and *wieder*, both Mhg. *wider*, *Väter*, and dialectical *Vätter*, as well as its derivative *Vötter*, appear side by side. The reason of this different development has not yet been explained.

The same sound-groups which have here, under certain circumstances, preserved a short vowel, were also able to shorten an originally long one; comp. *nie* and *nimmer* from *niemer*, Mhg. *nie-mér*; *Füter*, *Mütter* were formerly *Füter*, *Mütter*, as proved by the Alemanic and Bavarian forms *Füeter*, *Müeter*; similarly, *Blätter, Jämmer* must have had older forms, *blätér*, *jämér*, as testified by the widespread dialectical forms *Blöter*, *Jömer*. In very many dialects, namely, the vowel *ö* not only corresponds to an *ö* in the older language, but it may also have developed out of long *a*. The High German *Schriftsprache* has even borrowed a number of words from those dialects which changed every *ä* to *ö*; comp. *Atem* and *Odem*, *Wahn* and *Argwohn*, *Magsamen*, ‘poppy-seed,’ and *Mohn*; *Mond* is from Mhg. *máne*, and *Woge* from Mhg. *wác*.

The change called *Umlaut* takes us back to an earlier period of the history of the language than any of those noticed above. *Umlaut* is the vowel-change which we find in *Kraft—Kräfte, alt—älter, mochte—mächte, das Haus—die Häsuer, der Traum—er träumt, fuhr—führe*. In different forms with the same stem we have on the one hand vowels of a dark colouring, *a, o, u*, on the other vowels of a light colouring, *ä, ü, ü*, and the history of the language shows that the darker vowels are the original. If we compare the Nhg. forms *Kraft* and *kräftig*, *Bauer* and *bäuerisch*, *Rom* and *römisch*, *Thor* and *thöricht*, *Rohr* and *Röhrich*, *kosten* and *köst-
lich, Rühm and rühmlich, Graf and Grüfin, we may obtain some indication of the origin of this lighter colouring; we find that in each case the lighter vowel is followed by i. This i was then really the cause of the lighter colouring as early as in the Old High German time. In the first set of examples the Nhg. forms do not show an i, but all these words originally had i in their final syllables; thus Krüfte is in the earliest Ohg. krafti; älter, Ohg. eltir; möchte, Ohg. mohti; Häuser, Ohg. häüsir; träumt, Ohg. troumit; fähre, Ohg. fuori. Umlaut may therefore be defined as the change of a vowel under the influence of i in a following syllable.1

In some cases it might appear as if the Umlaut, having once existed, had been lost again, as if a so-called Rückumlaut had taken place; e.g. in brannte, rannte, nannte, sandte, from brennen, rennen, nennen, senden, in schon and fast, which were originally the adverbs of schön and fest (see p. 45). But it is only apparently the case. We are accustomed to regard certain forms of the same word as the original forms, and certain others as derived from them; thus we usually regard the present tense of a verb and the singular number of a noun as the fundamental forms, and the adverb as a derivative from the adjective. This view is frequently at variance with the facts; and so in this case: brannte, etc., schon, fast have retained the original unchanged vowel, Ohg. branta, etc., scôno, fasto; whilst in brennen, etc., Ohg. brannian, etc., schön, Ohg. scôni, fest, Ohg. fasti, the vowel has changed by Umlaut.

The Umlaut of a and au are each expressed by two different signs; that of a by ä or e, that of au by äu or eu. The signs ä, äu are chosen when the connection with forms which have a, au as their stem-vowel is still felt, e.g. Band—Bänder, Wahl—wählen, Haus—Häuser, Traum—träumen; whilst e, eu are used when the connection is no longer apparent, e.g. streng, Ohg. strangi, leugnen, Mhg. lügnen, Ohg. lüginon. It may even happen that the connection is felt in some derivatives, but not in others from the same root; e.g. to fahren belongs die Fährte,

1 Examples of Umlaut in English are, old—elder, As. eald—ieldra (-ra=Got. -izu); foot—feet, As. fôt—fôt; cow—kine, As. cu—cy; mouse—mice, As. mûs—mûs, etc. Though the Anglo-Saxon does not show i in the following syllable, yet this i must have existed in an earlier period of the language, comp. O. Sax. fôti, 'feet'; bôci, As. bêc,' books.'
der Führmann, but also der Ferge, ‘ferry-man,’ and fertig (orig. = ‘prepared for the journey;’ die Fahrt); to Schlacht belongs der Schlüchter, ‘butcher,’ but also das Geschlecht, which originally meant the same as Schlag (comp. Menschenschlag, ‘race of men’).

A vowel-change of a contrary kind to Umlaut, but yet agreeing with it fundamentally, is the so-called Brechung. Very frequently we meet with e, ä in some words, and i in others of the same stem, e.g. gebüren and gebiert, Erde and irden, Herde and Hirte. Here again we see the influence of the vowel of the final syllables; these words were in Ohg. respectively gabaran—gabirit, erda—irdin, herta—hirti. In each case e was the original sound of the stem-vowel, comp. ge-büren and L. fero, Gk. φέρω, and this e remained when the final syllable contained the vowel a, whilst it changed into i when the final syllable contained i. From this we may safely draw the following conclusion: if we have cognate forms like Gebirge and Berg, Gefülde and Feld, the forms Berg, Feld must originally have had a in their final syllable. This change of e to i took place during the common Teutonic period. Formerly an erroneous view prevailed to the effect that i was the original sound, and that, when a followed, it was ‘broken’ to e.

This ‘broken’ e, corresponding to the original Indo-European e, ought to be carefully distinguished from the sound which resulted by Umlaut from a. In general these two sounds are not distinguished in pronunciation; but in many dialects, e.g. the Swabian and Alemanic, they are pronounced differently; the sound of Brechung -e is broader, and approaches that of a, whilst Umlaut -e is more ‘pointed,’ approaching the sound of i, e.g. mer gübe = wir geben (Brechung -e; comp. i in er gibt), but mer hebe = wir heben (Umlaut -e; comp. a in erhaben, the old participle of erheben).

The old view concerning the influence of a in changing the vowel of the preceding syllable, which has been shown to be false in the case of e and i, is correct as regards the change of u and o, or ü and ö (old u, when followed by i, having changed during the Ohg. period to ü). The forms wir wurden—geworden, ich würfe—geworfen, für—vor were in Old High German würdon—gawordan, wurfi—gaworfan, furi—fura; and the law may be put as follows: original u in the root-syllable remained unchanged, when the final syllable contained the vowels i and
u (i.e. when i followed, u afterwards changed to ii); it was 'broken' to o, when the following syllable contained the vowel a. This change also belongs to the common Teutonic period. Brechung was prevented, when u was followed by a nasal consonant, e.g. gefunden, gesungen, Ohg. gafundan, gasungan.

Not only was u 'broken' when it stood alone, but also when it combined with i to form the diphthong iu. In the earlier New High German fliegen was conjugated du fleugst, er fleugt, but wir fliegen, ihr flieget, etc.; this corresponds to Mhg. flingest, flinget—fliegen, flieget, and Ohg. flingist, flingit—fliogan, fligat; arithmetically expressed, it is—

iu : io :: u : o

In this change of u—o, iu—io (ie) we again have the means of drawing conclusions as to the former final syllables. Fülle beside voll points to an older form fulli, and voll must have lost a final a; similarly siech, comp. Seuche, Ohg. siuhhi.

An apparent case of Brechung is the peculiarity of Middle German dialects of changing u to o and ü to ö in certain words, where there could be no question of the influence of a following a. Examples are Sommer, Mhg. sumer; Sohn, Mhg. sun; Sonne, Mhg. sunne; König, Mhg. künic; Mönch, Mhg. münch.

The changes called Umlaut and Brechung contributed largely towards altering the German vowel-system. The remaining differences in the stem-vowels belong to the sphere of so-called Ablaut. Examples of Ablaut are: Grab—Grube (Mhg. gruobe)—grübeln (Ohg. grübilon); Binde—Band—Bund; Sitz—Satzung; Brecher—Brachland—Bruch; Schneide—Schnitt; fliessen—Floss—Fluss. This kind of vowel-change is quite independent of the nature of the following end-vowels; it was already fully developed in the pre-historic period of the Indo-European language, and affected both the Greek and Latin, e.g. pello—pulsus, tollo—tuli, sēmēn—sātus; āγω—νγον, τρέπω—τρότος, λείπω—ελιπόν—λοιπός, φεύγω—φυγή.

The cause of the process of Ablaut is to be sought in the Indo-European accent. In general the strongly accented syllables have developed fuller vowels, whilst the less accented syllables had lighter and shorter vowels. In order to avoid needless repetition, we refer the student for the details of the laws of Ablaut to the chapter on verbal inflexions.
If we compare German substantives and adjectives with their etymological equivalents in Latin, e.g. Halm—calamus, Wind—ventus, Fisch—piscis, Haut, Mhg. hât—cutis, Joch, Got. juk—jugum, Hals—collum (from colsum), Horn—cornu, we are struck by the want of endings of the German words. This was not their original condition; but after the Teutonic had split up into its different language stocks, there was an early tendency, before the time of the earliest literary records, to weaken the unaccented final syllables. After the dropping of the final s or m, most of the vowels were suppressed.

We saw above (see p. 18) that the transition from Old High German to Middle High German is marked by a weakening of the fuller final syllables. A similar process took place in the development of Middle High German to New High German. We may say des Tages or Tags, dem Tage or Tag, des Werkes or Werks, dem Werke or Werk, but as a rule des Landtags, dem Landtag, des Handwerks, dem Handwerk, not Landtages or Landtage; similarly, des Königs, dem König, not Königes, König.

Again, beside Friede, Heide we have the derivatives friedlich, heidnisch, and from nieder, Himmel we have niedrig, himmlisch. In each case an original e has dropped away, and these words are almost always so constructed that the syllable which has the principal accent (Hochton) is either followed first by a syllable with the secondary accent (Tiefton) and then by an unaccented e, or first by an unaccented e and then by the secondary accent, thus e primary or primary e. The law would therefore be as follows: e preceding or following the Tiefton is suppressed. Examples are the present participles, e.g. lebend, Mhg. lebende; Lehrer, Mhg. léraere; Wirtin, Mhg. wirtinne; Weisung, Mhg. wísunge; Herzog, Mhg. herzoge; Häuslein, Mhg. hiuselin; Jüngling, Mhg. jungelinc.

In words of only two syllables New High German wavers between retaining and dropping this unaccented e, the retention or non-retention being evidently determined by the quality of the syllable which follows in the sentence.

This kind of phonetic mutilation even extends to syllables with full vowels, which originally had the Tiefton. Thus Jungfer and Junker are weakened forms of Jungfrau and Jungherr; Nachbar was in Mhg. náhbâre = der Bauer in der Nähe, 'the near-dweller' (the fundamental meaning of bauen is 'abide'); Schultze is Mhg. schultheize, a doublet therefore of Schultheiss;
in Zweitel, Drittel, the derivative syllable -tel is the substantive Theil, and in the earlier New High German we find Urthel, Vorthel beside Urtheil, Vortheil. The existence of the double forms Urthel—Urtheil, Vorthel—Vortheil, may be explained either by the fact that the second syllables have a stronger or weaker accent according to the position of the word in the sentence, or by the supposition that Urthel, Vorthel are the correct phonetic developments of Urtheil, Vortheil, and that the fuller forms were restored by analogy of the simple Theil. The dialects have advanced still farther in this kind of weakening, especially in Upper German; thus in Hebel we find Arfel, Hampfel, Mumpfel for Armvoll, Handvoll, Mundvoll; Wingert is from Weingarten; Rechnig, Zitig are Alemanic forms of Rechnung, Zeitung.

By far the most important consonantal changes are those which are summed up as Sound-shifting, and which were considered in the introduction (p. 14), in consequence of their important bearing upon the grouping of the German dialects. The consonant changes not comprised in the laws of sound-shifting are of less importance than the vowel changes.

According to a law which operated as early as the common Teutonic period, no other consonant than a spirant may immediately precede t. This explains the forms mögen—Macht, pflegen—Pflicht, tragen—Tracht, geben—Gift (this word had originally the same meaning as in English, and in Mitgift, ‘marriage portion’), treiben—Trift. Forms like klagte, sagte, liebte, lobte are explained by the fact that a vowel formerly stood between the consonants gt, bt (Mhg. klagte, sagt, liebte, lobte) and that this vowel only dropped away long after the Teutonic period and when the operation of the above law had ceased.

Another law which was in operation during the earliest part of the Old High German period, before the date of the earliest literary records, was to the effect that w cannot stand in Auslaut, but changes to u or o, e.g. Ohg. melō, gen. melwes, Nhg. Mehl, ‘flour, meal.’ The final vowel afterwards became weakened to e, and the latter occasionally dropped away, whilst w changed in Inlaut after l or r to b. Thus we have in Nhg. Mehl, but Milbe, ‘mite,’ and Bav. Melberei = Mehltandlung; gar, ‘sufficiently cooked,’ but gerben, ‘tan, curry,’ orig. = ‘prepare.’ Sometimes this b of the Inlaut was transferred to the Auslaut, and we obtain double

In Middle High German we meet with many more similar cases, in which a consonant is different according as it stands in *Auslaut* or *Inlaut*. In New High German the majority of these differences have been removed by the process of analogy, but in isolated cases we may still observe the effects of the rules. Firstly, every media in *Inlaut* changes in Middle High German to a tenuous in *Auslaut*, e.g. Mhg. *tac*—*tages*, sanc—*sanges*, liet—*liedes*, lop—*lobes*. In New High German the consonant of the *Inlaut* has been transferred to the *Auslaut*, comp. Tag, Sang, Lied, Lob. As regards the change of *ng* and *nk*, the old state of things is still observed in Northern Germany, where they pronounce Gesank (*Gesang*)—*Gesanges*, Ich gink (ging)—*wir gingen*. In very isolated cases the proceeding was reversed, and the consonant of the *Auslaut* was transferred to the *Inlaut*, e.g. Nhg. das Mark—*des Markes*, ‘marrow,’ Mhg. marc—*marges* (the original *g* is preserved in the verb *ausmergeln*, ‘enervate’); der Wert—*des Wertes*, Mhg. wert—*wertes*, comp. the cognate Würde; Welt, plur. Welten, is in Mhg. werlt—*werlde*. In Low German territory, where *g* in *Inlaut* was pronounced as a spirant, it changed to *ch* in *Auslaut*; this explains the parallel existence of Menge and manech, mancher for the older forms maneck, maneger, Mhg. maneck—*maneger*.

Secondly, Mhg. *h* in *Inlaut* corresponds to *ch* in *Auslaut*, e.g. Mhg. *sehen*—*sach*, *schuoch*—*schnoches*. In New High German the sound of the *Inlaut* has almost in every case been transferred to the *Auslaut*, as sah, Schuh. But the change has been purely preserved in Nhg. *hoch*—*höher*—*höchst*, and in part in *nah*—*näher*—*nichtig* and the adverb nach; beside Schmach we find schmühren; *rauh*—*rauhes* is Mhg. räch—*räthes*, the form with *ch* still exists in Rauchwerk, Rauchwaaren, ‘rough wares, furs,’ the old. nom. *schuoch* = Schuh is preserved in the proper name Schuchardt, Mhg. *schuoch-worhte*, ‘shoemaker.’

In German as well as in other languages we may observe a tendency to pronounce two consonants immediately following one another with the same organs of speech; by this means the articulation is considerably facilitated. The two sounds are partly or wholly assimilated to one another. In ordinary conversation the words *anbeissen*, *einbrechen* are pronounced as if
spelled ambeissen, eimbrenchen; but very frequently in studied pronunciation, and almost always in orthography, the original forms of the prefixes are restored by analogy from forms in which the particles are naturally presented in their original forms, e.g. anhalten, anlaufen, anstossen, einatmen, einlegen, eintränken, etc. The assimilation has prevailed in some cases where the etymological relations of the different parts are no longer obvious, e.g. empfangen, empfinden for entfangen, entfinden; empfehlen for entfehlen, comp. befehlen; Imbiss, ‘snack,’ for Inbiss (einzbeissen); Himbeere, ‘raspberry,’ for Hindbeere, ‘berry eaten by the hind;’ Homburg for Hohenburg, Schaumburg for Schauenburg; Wimper, ‘eye-lash,’ for Windbraue = die Braue die sich wendet, bewegt. Complete assimilation of two sounds has taken place in Eiland, ‘island,’ for Einland, ein = ‘solitary,’ comp. allein; in Grummet for Grünmahd = Gras welches grün gemäßt wird; in Hoffart, ‘haughtiness,’ for Hochfahrt; in Leopold, Leupold, for Leutbold = volkskühn, comp. Leuthold.

Two phenomena may be here noticed, which are met with in the early Mhg. period; firstly, r in Auslaut of a word dropped away before a consonant, and remained before a vowel, e.g. damit, davon, but darin, darum; womit, wovon, but worin, varum; ehe and eher; hie and hier. Secondly, the sound-groups ag, eg have changed under certain conditions to ei, as in the Upper German dialectical forms seit = sagt, treit = trägt; Nhg. Magd and Maid, Hag and Hain (comp. Vogt and the proper name Voit); Getreide, ‘grain,’ meant orig. ‘that which is carried,’ Mhg. getregede, and ‘verteidigen, ‘defend,’ Mhg. vertagedingen, is from Mhg. tagedine = Gerichtstag; Reinhard and the diminutive form Reineke are derived from regin, an old word meaning ‘counsel.’ Examples of the same change are numerous in English, e.g. rain, As. regn; twain, As. twegen; sail, As. segel; laid, As. leged; lain, As. legen, etc.

In Middle as well as in New High German we may observe that n and s in Auslaut of syllables frequently develop a d or t. e.g. gelegentlich from gelegen, öffentlich from offen; entzwei, entlang from Mhg. enzwei, entlang = in zwei, in lang; Jemand, Niemand, Mhg. ieman, nieman = je (ein) Mann, nie (ein) Mann; zusammt for zusammt = zusammen; einst, mittelst, selbst, Mhg. eines, mittels, selbes, adverbial genitives of ein, Mittel, selb; Papst, Mhg. babes, Gk. πάπας; jetzt, dialectical jez, Mhg. ie ze = immer zu.
Examples of this are also common in English, e.g. sound, Oe. soun; amongst, Oe. amonges; amidst, Oe. amyddes; betwixt, Oe. bitwix; riband, F. ruban; parchment, F. parchemin, etc.

A change which commenced during the Mhg. period on Middle and Low German territory and afterwards extended to Upper Germany, is the gradual disappearance of h between two vowels, e.g. Stahl, zehn, Bühl (pronounced Stál, zén, Bühl), Mhg. stahel, zehen, bühel. The two short syllables were contracted into one long syllable, and the letter h remained in the orthography; hence this letter came to be regarded as a sign of length, and was used as such in words which did not originally have it.

To the High German, and not the Low German, territory belongs the change of older rs to rsch. To Lg. Bars, 'perch,' corresponds Hg. Barsch; and It. (Lomb.) verza, 'cabbage,' is found again in the German substantive Wirsing and Wirsching.

On the other hand, the assimilation of chs to ss is peculiar to Low German; thus we find in Fritz Reuter die Ossen for Ochsen; Voss for Fuchs; wassen for wachsen. The Low German, therefore, finds it necessary, when speaking High German, frequently to substitute chs for his own ss or s; occasionally, too, he does this in the wrong places; thus the proper name Wasmuth (from was, 'sharp,' connected with wetzen) has been transformed into Wachsmuth.

The Mhg. sound-group tw had a two-fold development. In High German territory it changed to zw, in Low German to kw (qu). Thus quer is found again in Zwerchfell, 'diaphragm,' and in the Ug. überzwerch, 'athwart,' Mhg. twerch; and quängeln or quengeln is related to zwingen, Mhg. twingen.
CHAPTER IV.

THE INFLEXIONS OF NEW HIGH GERMAN.

I. The Substantive.

The New High German Substantive Declension offers a great diversity of forms, especially in the relation of the cases of the singular to each other, and in that of the plural to the singular. The different cases of the plural differ little among themselves; either all the cases of the plural are alike, as when the nominative ends in -n or -en, e.g.

N.A. die, G. der, D. den Drachen, Ohren, Bauern, Wurzeln, or, only the dative has a form different from that of the other cases, e.g.

N.A. die, G. der Tage, Wörter, D. den Tagen, Wörttern.

We may classify the Nhg. substantives into the following types of declension, according to the formation of the genitive singular and the plural:—

I. The genitive singular takes -es or -s; this class comprises only Masculine and Neuter nouns.

(a) the plural takes the ending -e:

1. Tag    Tage
2. Gast   Gäste
3. Ding   Dinge

(b) the plural takes the ending -er:

Huhn    Hühner

(c) the plural takes the ending -n:

Ende    Enden
(d) the plural takes no additional ending:

1. Eber  Eber
    Wagen  Wägen
2. Käse  Käse
3. Gebirge  Gebirge

II. The singular remains uninflected; this class includes all the Feminine nouns.

(a) the plural takes the ending -e:

Kraft  Kräfte

(b) the plural takes the ending -n or -en:

1. Klage  Klagen
2. Saat  Saaten

(c) the plural takes no additional ending:

Mutter  Mütter

III. All the cases of the singular and plural differ from the nominative singular by the addition of -n or -en to the latter; this class comprises Masculine nouns only.

(a) the nominative singular ends in -e:

Bote  Boten

(b) the nominative singular has no ending:

Graf  Grafen

If we compare the above types with those of Middle High German, we may note two points of difference: firstly, the multiplicity of forms in Nhg. has developed out of a greater simplicity in Mhg.; secondly, different types of Mhg. meet together in one and the same Nhg. type. In the above tables the types Tag, Gast, Huhn, Käse, Gebirge, Kraft, and Bote only agree altogether with their corresponding Mhg. types.
A. Masculine and Neuter Substantives.

i. Vowel Stems.

(1) o-Stems and i-Stems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>der Tag</th>
<th>die Tage</th>
<th>der Gast</th>
<th>die Gäste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O.H.G.</td>
<td>tac</td>
<td>tages (-as)</td>
<td>tage (-a)</td>
<td>tage (-as)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sing. N.A.</td>
<td>tac</td>
<td>tages</td>
<td>tage</td>
<td>tage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>taga</td>
<td>tage</td>
<td>tage</td>
<td>tage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>tagum</td>
<td>tagen</td>
<td>tagen</td>
<td>tagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. N.A.</td>
<td>gesti</td>
<td>geste</td>
<td>gesten</td>
<td>gesten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>gaste</td>
<td>geste</td>
<td>gesten</td>
<td>gesten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>gestim</td>
<td>geste</td>
<td>gesten</td>
<td>gesten</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be seen in the above paradigms that the Mhg. and the Nhg. forms are the same, and that the terminations are the same in both types. But in Ohg. the two types differ altogether in the formation of the plural; *gast* differs from *tac* in having -i in the second syllable throughout the plural, and this -i at once explains the *Umlaut* (*Gast*->*Gäste*). In the pre-Ohg. period, before the date of the earliest literary records, the two types differed also in the formation of the cases of the singular, thus:

N. *tago-s* der Tag A. *tago-m* den Tag

*N. *gasti-s* der Gast A. *gasti-m* den Gast

Compare with these the Latin types:

N. lupu-s A. lupu-m
turri-s turri-m

Now the stems of these words were respectively *tago-, gasti-* (cf. *lupo-, turri-*), and the inflexions were -s, -m, etc. They are termed Vowel-stems: the first (*Tag*) is called o-stem, the second (*Gast*) i-stem. (The earlier forms of the accusative and dative
plural *taga, *tagum, were *tagons and *tagom, as that of *lupus was *lupos.)

In Mhg. the two types had become alike, except for the modification of the root-vowel in the second; hence it is conceivable that the two paradigms became mixed. In a very few cases words belonging to the class of *i*-stems lost their *Umlaut* in Nhg.; such are: — *Lachs*—*Lachse*, Mhg. *lahs—lehse*; *Luchs*—*Luchse*, Mhg. *luhs—lühse*; but, by far the majority of words belonging to the class of *o*-stems have followed the type of *Gast*—*Güste*; thus *Hof*—*Höfe* was in Mhg. *hof—hove*, Ohg. *hof—hova*.

Some words still have double forms, as *Schachte* and *Schächte*, *Drucke*, but *Abdrücke*, *Eindrücke*; the forms without *Umlaut* are the earlier forms, which have survived side by side with the newer forms.

The earlier forms without *Umlaut* still survive in proper names, like *Adelshofen*, *Königshofen*, in which *-hofen* is the old dative plural (hence *Königshofen = in den Höfen des Königs*), and others ending in *-kon*, which occur frequently in the neighbourhood of the lake of Zurich, e.g. *Pfäffikon*, *Sissikon*, *Zetzikon*, in which *-ikon* is a contraction for *-ic-hofen*.

**DIALECT.**—The dialects have advanced still more in the direction of *Umlaut*, e.g. Alem. and S. Franc. *die Aerm = die Arme, die Düg = die Tage.*

| der Eber | die Eber |
| der Wagen | die Wagen |

The difference between this type and that of *Tag* is simply due to phonetic causes. All words with derivative endings (*er, *el, *en,* etc.*) belong to the class of *o*-stems, and were declined in Mhg. like *tac*, thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mhg.</th>
<th>des tages</th>
<th>die tage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>eben</em></td>
<td><em>eberes</em></td>
<td><em>ebere</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>himel</em></td>
<td><em>himeles</em></td>
<td><em>himele</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>wagen</em></td>
<td><em>wagenes</em></td>
<td><em>wagene</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But, according to the law stated on p. 83, the *e* of the inflexion, following upon a syllable with a secondary accent

---

1 The sounds *a* and *o* of the terminations were pronounced very much alike in Teutonic; *o* may have changed to *a* before disappearing. This would explain the *Brechung* in words like *Berg*, *Feld* (see p. 81), Teut. Nom. *bergos* or *bergas*, Acc. *feldom* or *feldam.*
...not upon that which had the principal accent (Hochton), dropped away; therefore, Mhg. ebêres, hâmêles, wâgênes became Nhg. Ebers, Himmels, Wagens, and Mhg. êbère, etc., became Nhg. Eber, etc. In consequence of this the nominative and accusative of both numbers became alike, and it was but natural that a new means of distinguishing the plural from the singular should be adopted, where possible. This was done by Umlaut; hence der Hafen, Hammer, Nagel, Ofen, Vater, Vogel, etc., form their plurals in Nhg. die Häfen, Hämmer, Nägeln, Oefen, Väter, Vögel, etc., in place of the Mhg. plurals havene, nagele, etc. In other words, these nouns have followed the type of i-stems.

Here again, we also have in Nhg. double forms for the plural (but only words ending in en), e.g. die Bogen and die Bögen, die Laden and die Lüden, die Wagen and die Wügen; the older forms without Umlaut have survived side by side with the newer forms.

das Ding die Dinge

This type of neuter nouns was closely related to the type Tag—Tage in the older language; the only difference between the two in Mhg. was in the nominative and accusative plural, Mhg. die tage, but diu dicc, diu kint, diu kleit, diu swert, diu werk, diu wort, etc., without final e. In the Mg. dialect the type dicc had followed tac in the formation of its plural as early as the Mhg. period; from that dialect it extended to the others, so that in Nhg. all nouns of the type Ding take e in the plural, with certain exceptions, viz. neuter nouns of weight, measure, and number when used after numerals usually take no inflexion in the plural, e.g. zwei Pfund, drei Mass, sechs Loth, zwölf Buch Papier, mit zwanzig Paar Schuhen, wie viel Stück. The analogy of these neuter substantives was then followed by masculines, e.g. vier Fuss, fünf Schuh, sieben Zoll, and even a few feminines, e.g. zehn Mark, so und so viel Last, drei Ohm Wein.

The earlier Nhg. still retains traces of the old uninflected neuter nouns; compare Luther's Bible: 'Kinds Kind werden deine Werk preisen,' 'Und seine Brüder neideten ihn, aber sein Vater behielt diese Wort.'

das Huhn die Hühner

The termination er, Ohg. ir, was not originally a plural inflexion, but formed part of the stem of the word; it corre-
sponds to the Indo-Eur. *es*, in Gk. γένος—γένεο-, in which *s* changed to *r*, cf. L. genus—gener-is. In the earliest Ohg. the declension of a word of this type would be as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sing. N.</th>
<th>Plur. N.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kalp</td>
<td>kalbir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>kalbir-es</td>
<td>kalbir-o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>kalbir-e</td>
<td>kalbir-um</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>kalp</td>
<td>kalbir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the nominative singular the *s* and the preceding vowel had disappeared; in the genitive and dative singular the *ir* would in course of time appear unnecessary. Hence, very few traces of this syllable are found even in the earliest Ohg. records; the words *ahir*, Nhg. *Aehre*, ‘ear of corn,’ and *trestir*, Nhg. *Trester* (s. and pl.), ‘grounds of grapes,’ have it throughout, and *kalbir* appears once for the dative singular. Subsequently the declension was as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>O.H.G.</th>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. N.</td>
<td>kalp</td>
<td>kalp</td>
<td>Kalb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>kalbes</td>
<td>kalbes</td>
<td>Kalbes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>kalbe</td>
<td>kalbe</td>
<td>Kalbe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>kalp</td>
<td>kalp</td>
<td>Kalb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. N.</td>
<td>kelbir</td>
<td>kelber</td>
<td>Kälber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>kelbir-o</td>
<td>kelber(e)</td>
<td>Kälber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>kelbir-um</td>
<td>kelber(e)n</td>
<td>Kälbern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>kelbir</td>
<td>kelber</td>
<td>Kälber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The syllable *ir* having disappeared in the singular, it appeared as a characteristic ending of the plural, and, as such, it was transferred by analogy to the plural of words which did not originally belong to the same class.¹


¹ The old genitive singular still survives in the proper name *Kälbersbach*, Ohg. *Kelbiris-bach*. 
Haus had double plural forms, diu rint, diu häs, and diu rinder, diu hiuser, Nhg. die Rinder, die Häuser.

Some of the early forms of the plural have survived in proper names of places, e.g. Berghaupten, Rosshaupten, Degerfelden, Rheinfelden, in which -haupten, -felden are old dative plurals = Nhg. Hauptern, Feldern. The old dative of the uninflected plural häs still survives in the proper names Rheinhausen, Schaffhausen, Sangershausen.

A few substantives, as Band, Ding, Land, Wort, still form two plurals: die Bande, Dinge, Lande, Worte, and die Bänder, Dinger, Länder, Wörter. The forms with -er are the more usual; the forms with -e (which represent the old uninflected plurals) have a more archaic character, and are used in chosen and poetic language.

The type Huhn—Hühner has been followed in Nhg. by a small number of masculine nouns, e.g. der Geist—die Geister; der Leib—die Leiber; der Wald—die Wälder; der Mann—die Männer.

The old dative plural Mhg. walden survives in the proper name Unterwalden = unter den Wäldern.

Dialect.—The dialects have as usual gone in advance of High German in the formation of new forms by analogy; and so we find plurals like die Hälser = Hälse, die Steiner = Steine.

(2) jo-Stems.

das Gebirge die Gebirge

Ding, Wort, etc., belong to the class of o-stems. The real ending was originally -m; cf. Teut. nom. and acc. sing. *thingo-m, *wordo-m, and Lat. verbo-m (from *verdho-m). As Wort corresponds to verbum, so Gebirge corresponds to odium, exordium, etc. The o of the stem was originally preceded by i (j), Teut. *gabirgio-m, *gabirgiō, Ohg. daz gabirgi, plur. diu gabirgi, Mhg. daz gebirge, plur. diu gebirge. Hence, this is called the class of jo-stems.

In Nhg. very few substantives belong to this type; all are compounded with the prefix ge-, as, Gebilde, Gesilde, Gefüge, Gelände, Geschmeide, Gewölbe, and as they have a collective meaning, it is of little importance to distinguish the plural from

1 Mann had in Mhg. an uninflected form in the nom. and acc. plural; cf. Nhg. hundert Mann; in Nhg. it has a weak plural, die Mannen = vassals, besides the usual Männer.
the singular. After the model of this type were also formed Gelage, beside the older form Gelag, and Gestade, Mhg. gestat. That they did not originally end in i is shown by the want of the Umlaut.

Many words formerly belonging to the type Gebirge—Gebirge have followed the model of Ding, since they were inflected like the latter, except in the nominative and accusative singular. The early Nhg. still had the older forms ending in -e, and they are even heard this day in archaic style; such are das Glücke, Mhg. gelücke, das Gemüthe, Kreuze, Stücke, beside the ordinary Nhg. forms das Glück, Gemüth, Kreuz, Stück. Gemüth now forms its plural like Huhn, die Gemüther, and in some dialects even Stück has followed this type, die Stücker.  

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{der Käse} & \text{die Käse} \\
\end{array}
\]

*Käse* is the only masculine representative of the class of jo-stems in Nhg.; all the rest have gone over to other types.

\[\text{ii. Consonant Stems.}\]

\[(a)\] Masculines.

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{der Bote} & \text{die Boten} \\
\text{O.H.G.} & \text{M.H.G.} & \text{N.H.G.} \\
\text{Sing. N.} & \text{boto} & \text{bote} & \text{Bote} \\
& \text{botun} & \text{boten} & \text{Boten} \\
& \text{botin} & \text{boten} & \text{Boten} \\
& \text{botin} & \text{boten} & \text{Boten} \\
\text{Plur. N.A.D.} & \text{botun} & \text{boten} & \text{Boten} \\
& \text{botono} & \text{boten} & \text{Boten} \\
\end{array}
\]

It will be seen that the inflexions of the Mhg. and the Nhg. are alike, but those of the Ohg. differ considerably from them. The inflexions of the Teutonic, before the working of the *Auslautgesetze*, will have been as follows:—

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{Sing. N.} & \text{*boto} & \text{G.} & \text{*botin-is} & \text{D.} & \text{*botin-i} \\
\text{Plur. N.} & \text{*boton-es} & \text{A.} & \text{*boton-as} \\
\end{array}
\]

1 In the phrase *ein Stück er sechs* = *etwa sechs,* Stücker is, however, not this dialectical form of the plural, but is simply a contraction for Stück oder, hence = *ein Stück oder sechs,* cf. H. Sachs, *du hast ein Buhlschaft oder sieben,*
The stem ends in \(-n\), hence this class is called the class of Consonantal \(n\)-stems; it corresponds to the Lat. \(\text{homin-}\)–\(\text{homin-is}\)–\(\text{homin-i}\)–\(\text{homin-es}\), etc. The class of \(n\)-stems is usually termed by grammarians the Weak Declension, whilst the vowel stems are termed the Strong Declension.

**der Graf**    **die Grafen**

In Nhg. the words originally belonging to the type \(\text{Bote—Boten}\) are divided into two classes; under certain circumstances the \(-e\) of the nominative singular became mute, namely, when it followed upon the \(\text{Tiefton}\) or secondary accent (see the law on p. 83): thus Mhg. \text{schultheiße, steinmetze, trühsauèze}\ became Nhg. \text{Schultheiss, Steinmetz, Truchsess}. Moreover, words employed as titles, as Mhg. \text{gräve, fürste, herre}, frequently stood immediately before proper names, and, in consequence of their enclitic character, lost their \(\text{Hochton}\), which rested upon the following proper name, and took the \(\text{Tiefton}\); the final \(e\) became mute, according to the above law of accentuation; e.g. Mhg. \text{hère Wärter, gräve Heinrich}, became Nhg. \text{Herr Walther, Graf Heinrich}. Thus we gain the type \(\text{Graf—Grafen}\), which was also followed by other substantives, e.g. \text{Bür, Gesell, Held, Mensch, Narr, Ochs, Schenk, Thor (= gate)}.

The number of substantives belonging to the type III, or the Weak declension is now much smaller than it was in Old High German. The sub-type III. (b), \(\text{Graf—Grafen}\), agreed in the nominative singular with the types \(\text{Tag, Gast}\), and in consequence many nouns belonging to the former followed the declension of the latter and became strong. In a number of cases the type \(\text{Tag}\) has been only partially followed, e.g. \text{der Bauer—des Bauers and des Bauern—die Bauern, der Nachbar—des Nachbars and des Nachbarn—die Nachbarn; der Mürz—des Mürzes—dem Mürz, beside the archaic forms des Mürzen—dem Mürzen, which are still found in the compounds Mürzenbier, Mürzenschnee, Mürzenstaub.}

In other cases the change has been complete, e.g. \text{der Herzog—des Herzogs—die Herzöge, der Mond—des Mondes—die Monde, der Schwän—des Schwanes—die Schwäne, Mhg. der herzoge—des herzogen—die herzogen, der måne, der swane, etc. The old weak genitives have survived in compounds, e.g. \text{Herzogenbuchsee, Herzogenstand, Mondenschein, Schwanenhals.}
If we compare type I. (d) 1 with type III., we shall see that they agree in their inflexions in all cases except the nominative and genitive singular:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sing. N.</th>
<th>Wagen</th>
<th>Bote</th>
<th>Plur. N.</th>
<th>Wagen or Boten</th>
<th>Gen.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>Wagens</td>
<td>Boten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>Wagen</td>
<td>Boten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Wagen</td>
<td>Boten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The consequence was that very many words of the type Bote followed the type of Wagen; in other words, their nominative singular took an additional n, and their genitive singular an additional s. Thus, Mhg. der balke, der boge, der brâte, der brunne, der dâme, der garte, gen. des balken, des bogen, etc., became in NHg. der Balken (beam), der Bogen (bow), der Braten (roast meat), der Brunnen (well), der Daumen (thumb), der Garten (garden); gen. des Balkens, des Bogens, etc.

The older forms are found in isolated compounds, e.g. Wildpret or Wildbret = Wildbraten; Ug. Wingert, Mhg. wingarte, Nhg. Wein-garten, Engl. vineyard, and in the proper name Schönbrunn.

In a small number of words the old nominative singular ending in -e is still used side by side with the form in -en, e.g. der Friede, Gedanke, Glaube, Name, etc., and der Frieden, Gedanken, etc. The double forms Franken (franc), Lumpen (rag), Tropfen (drop), and Franke (Frank), Lump (ragamuffin), Tropf (armer Tropf = poor devil), Mhg. franke, lump, tropf are noteworthy; the three former denote things, the latter living beings. We may make the more general observation, that of the masculine nouns which formerly belonged to the Weak declension, those which have taken -n in the nominative and -s in the genitive singular are all words denoting things, whilst those which have retained the old nominative forms without -n are almost exclusively expressions denoting persons or living beings; compare with der Balken, etc., der Affe (ape), Ahne (ancestor), Bote (messenger), Buhle (lover), Bürger (bail), Drache (dragon), Erbe (heir), Fink (older, Finke = finch), Falke (falcon), Ferge (ferry-man), Gatte (husband), Hase (hare), Jude (Jew), etc. This different treatment of names denoting living beings and names denoting things is perhaps to be explained by the fact that the former
are much more frequently used as subjects, and consequently in
the nominative singular, than the latter. The more frequently
a form is used, the more easily does it resist change by analogy.

Though so large a number of words originally belonging to
type III. have followed the Strong declension a number of words
have been won over from the Strong to the Weak. Some words
of the type Tag, Gast have, beside their old plurals ending in
-e, other plural forms in -en, e.g. die Maste and die Masten, die
Sinne and die Sinnen, die Stiefel and die Stiefeln. Beside die
Männer there is also die Mannen; der Hirte (orig. a jo-stem,
like Küse, Ohg. hirti) now follows Bote. Many words of the
o or jo-class joined the type Bote only for a time, and then went
over, like Mhg. balke, boge, brätte, etc., to the type Eber or Wagen;
thus Mhg. der rücke—des rückes—die rücke (a jo-stem, like Küse)
became der Rücke—des Rücken—die Rücken afterwards der Rücken
—des Rückens—die Rücken.

The original type is preserved in the compound words Hundsrück,
Rückkehr, rückwärts, hinterrücks, 'from behind;' zurück (older zu-
rücke); Mhg. der nutze—des nutzes is Nhg. der Nutzen—des Nutzens.
The older form survives in the expressions Eigennutz, sich zu Nutze
machen, zu Nutz und Frommen.

(b) Neuters.

das Ende die Enden

The modern German Weak declension comprises only mascu-
line and feminine substantives; the old consonantal n-stems
numbered words of all three genders. To the Neuters belonged
Mhg. daz herze, daz öre (Ohr), daz ouge (Auge); their declension
coincided in part (namely, in the nominative and accusative
singular and the dative plural) with the type Gebirge, and so also
with Mhg. daz ende, daz erbe, daz hemde; cf.

Sing. N.A. ouge ende
   G. ougen endes
   D. ougen ende

Plur. N.A. ougen ende
   G. ougen ende
   D. ougen enden
In consequence of the two paradigms agreeing in three cases, a compromise took place; *ouge* followed the declension of *ende* in the singular, and *ende* followed *ouge* in the plural. Thus we have the Nhg. paradigm:

Sing. N.A. Auge     Ende
    G. Auges  Endes
    D. Auge  Ende

Plur. N.A. Augen    Enden
    G. Augen  Enden
    D. Augen  Enden

*Herz* has retained the old dative form *Herzen*, but in the genitive it has taken an additional -s, *des Herzens*.

**B. Feminine Substantives.**

**die Klage**  **die Klagen**

In Nhg. the majority of feminine nouns follow the weak declension, *i.e.* they have either no termination or the ending -e throughout the singular, and -en throughout the plural; thus:

Sing. N.A. die  
    G. der } Saat  Zunge  Klage
    D. der

Plur. N.A. die  
    G. der } Saaten  Zungen  Klagen
    D. den

*Zunge* and *Klage*, which are declined alike in the modern language, belonged originally to different classes; *Zunge* was one of the class of consonantal n-stems, and was declined in Ohg. as follows:

Sing. N. zunga   Plur. N. zungûn
    G. zungûn  G. zungôno
    D. zungûn  D. zungôm(-ôn)
    A. zungûn  A. zungûn

(the primitive inflexions have in nearly all cases disappeared; -ûn represents the ending of the stem). *Klage* was one of the
class of vowel a-stems, corresponding to Lat. *mensa*, Gr. χύπα, and was declined in Ohg. as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sing. N.A.</th>
<th>Plur. N.A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>klaga</td>
<td>klagâ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. klagâ</td>
<td>G. klagôno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. klagu</td>
<td>D. klagôm(-ôn)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Mhg. the two paradigms have several cases (namely, the nominative singular, and the genitive and dative plural) alike, as the following table will show:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sing.</th>
<th>N. zunge</th>
<th>Plur.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>klage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>zungen</td>
<td>klage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>zungen</td>
<td>klage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>zungen</td>
<td>klage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plur.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. zungen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. zungen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. zungen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. zungen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From these two paradigms a new one arose, the type *Klage—Klagen*, in which the type of *klage* was followed in the singular and that of *zunge* in the plural. It will be easily seen why the forms of *klage* instead of the forms of *zunge* were preserved in the singular; they offered the advantage that they marked the distinction between the genitive and dative singular and the corresponding cases of the plural. On the other hand, the nominative and accusative plural *klage* was given up in favour of *zungen*, since *klage* was at the same time the form of the nominative and accusative singular.

Like *Zunge*, were formerly declined *Frau, Erde, Gasse, Harfe, Heide, Hölle, Mitte, Mühle, Sonne*, etc.

Remnants of the old singular forms in *-en* are found in poetry, e.g. *'Festgemauert in der Erden' (Schiller), 'Röslein auf der Heiden' (Goethe)*; in proverbs and stereotyped phrases, e.g. *'Es ist nichts so fein gesponnen, es kommt endlich an die Sonnen,' 'auf Erden,' 'zu Ehren,' 'unser lieben Frauen,'* and in compound nouns, e.g. *Erdenleben, Erdensohn, Gassenbube, Harfenton, Höllenthal, Mühlenbach, Sonnenlicht*.

The type *Klage—Klagen*, comprising as it does two older declensions, includes a large number of words; and this number
has been added to from other parts; thus, \textit{die Rippe}, \textit{die Grütze} (groats, porridge), \textit{die Wette}, which were formerly neuter, belonging to the type \textit{Gebirge} (\textit{jo-stems}), Mhg. \textit{daz rippe}, \textit{daz grütze}, \textit{daz wette} have come over to this type.

Again, \textit{die Grille}, \textit{die Imme} (bee), \textit{die Schlanze} were formerly masculine, belonging to the class of \textit{n-stems}, Mhg. \textit{der grille}, \textit{der imbe}, \textit{der slance}. A few nouns belonging to this type were originally masculine plural forms, e.g. \textit{die Schläfe} (temple), plur. \textit{die Schläfen}, Mhg. \textit{der släf}, plur. \textit{die slefe}, in Nhg. sometimes antiquated \textit{der Schlaf}; \textit{die Socke} (sock), Mhg. \textit{der soc}; \textit{die Tücke}, Mhg. \textit{der tuc}; \textit{die Woge}, Mhg. \textit{der wác}. The reason of this change of gender and declension is to be found in the fact that the old nominative plural of these words, \textit{die Schläfe}, etc., agreed with the singular \textit{die Klage} in having the termination -e. In a few other cases words have joined the type \textit{Klage} in consequence of the agreement of their singular forms with the plural of this type; thus \textit{die Waffe}, plur. \textit{die Waffen}, was originally a neuter noun, Mhg. \textit{daz wäfen}; cf. Luther, ‘\textit{ein gute Wehr und Waffen},’ and \textit{das Wappen}, which is simply the Lg. form of the same word.

\textbf{Dialect.}—A number of nouns which have joined the type \textit{Klage—Klagen} are retained in their original masculine gender in the dialects, e.g. Ug. \textit{der Backen} = \textit{die Backe}, \textit{der Socken} = \textit{die Socke}, \textit{der Schneck} = \textit{die Schnecke}, \textit{der Trauben} = \textit{die Traube}, \textit{der Zacken} = \textit{die Zacke}, and S. Franc. ‘\textit{einem einen Tuck} (= \textit{Tücke}) anhun.’

\begin{table}[h]
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\textbf{Sing. N.A.} & \textbf{G.} & \textbf{D.} & \textbf{Plur. N.A.} & \textbf{G.} & \textbf{D.} \\
\textit{kraft} & \textit{krefti} & \textit{krefti} & \textit{krefti} & \textit{kreftio} & \textit{kreftim (-in)} \\
\textit{kraft} & \textit{krefte, kraft} & \textit{kreft} & \textit{kraft} & \textit{kret} & \textit{kreften} \\
\textit{Kraft} & \textit{Kraft} & \textit{Kraft} & \textit{Kräfte} & \textit{Kraften} & \textit{Kraften} \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textit{Kraft} belonged originally to the class of \textit{i-stems}, and was declined as follows,---

\begin{table}[h]
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\textbf{Sing. N.A.} & \textbf{G.} & \textbf{D.} & \textbf{Plur. N.A.} & \textbf{G.} & \textbf{D.} \\
\textit{kraft} & \textit{krefti} & \textit{krefti} & \textit{krefti} & \textit{kreftio} & \textit{kreftim (-in)} \\
\textit{kraft} & \textit{krefte, kraft} & \textit{kreft} & \textit{kraft} & \textit{kret} & \textit{kreften} \\
\textit{Kraft} & \textit{Kraft} & \textit{Kraft} & \textit{Kräfte} & \textit{Kraften} & \textit{Kraften} \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\footnote{1 This word is still preserved in its original gender in the abusive phrase, “\textit{das alte Ripp}” (applied to scolding wives).
It will be seen that in Mhg. there were double forms for the genitive and dative singular, whilst in Ohg. there was a single form. How is the Mhg. form *kraft* to be explained? It was borrowed from another declension, that of *Nacht*, the stem of which ends in a consonant (*t*-stem), and which was declined in Ohg. as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sing. N.A.</th>
<th>naht</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>naht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>naht</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plur. N.A.</th>
<th>naht-o</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>naht-un</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cf. Lat. *nox* (*nocis*)—*noc-tis*—*noc-t-i*—*noc-t-em*; the Ohg. had lost all its inflexions in the singular. From this declension *kraft* borrowed its second genitive and dative forms, and in return *naht* borrowed its plural form from *kraft*, *nehte* = *krefte*, Nhg. *Nüchte*, *Krüfte*. The genitive and dative singular form *kraft* subsequently triumphed over the form *krefte*, since the latter coincided with the form of the genitive plural.

Remnants of the old genitive and dative forms of the type *krefte* have survived in compound words, e.g. *Bräutigam* for *Bräutegam* (*-gam* is an old word meaning ‘man’) = the man or husband of the bride, Mhg. *der briute*; *Bürgemeister* (the earlier form of *Bürgermeister*) = *Meister der Burg*; in the proper name *Mügdesprung* = *Sprung der Magd*; in the adjective *behende* (nimble), Mhg. *bi hende* = *bei der Hand* (at hand).

*Hand*, however, primitively belonged, not to the class of *i*-stems, but to that of *u*-stems, and had a dative plural without *Umlaut*; this dative plural still survives in the phrases *zu Handen, von Handen gehen, abhanden kommen*, *vorhanden* (= *vor den Händen*). Similarly, the old dative plural of *Nacht* has survived in *Weihnachten*, Mhg. *ze den wihen nahten*¹ = *in den heiligen Nächten*.

**die Saat**  
**die Saaten**

The type *Kraft* now agrees with the type *Klage* in being invariable in the singular. Some nouns belonging to the latter type, from different causes, lost the termination *-e* in the singular, e.g. *die Frau*, Mhg. *diu frouwe*. These singular forms now agreed with the type *Kraft*; the consequence was

¹ *Nahten* might, however, be looked upon as a form of the *-i* declension, *Umlaut* having been checked by the group *ht.*
that the words belonging to the latter type formed new plurals after the model of Frau—Frauen, e.g. die Burg, pl. die Burgen, Mhg. pl. die bürge; die That, pl. die Thaten, Mhg. pl. die taete; die Saat, pl. die Saaten, Mhg. pl. die saete. There were now two singular forms, one with and the other without the ending -e, corresponding to the single plural form ending in -en; the consequence was that in the singular the type Kraft, Burg, and the type Klage became mixed; thus die Blüthe, Eiche, Leiche, Stute were in Mhg. diu bluot, eich, lich, stuot (cf. die Gluth, Mhg. diu gluot, die Zeit, Mhg. diu zit).

die Mutter  die Mütter

This type, to which belong only Mutter and Tochter, stands quite isolated; these two words, together with others denoting relationship, Vater, Bruder, Schwester, formed a class of consonantal stems (r-stems), and primitively had the same inflexions as Bote (cf. Lat. pater—pat(e)r-ís—pat(e)r-i—pat(e)r-em), which had, however, disappeared in the Ohg. period (Ohg. N.A. fater, G. fater, D. fater.)

The masculine words vater, brüder took Umlaut in the plural in Mhg., and were followed in later Mhg. by muoter, tohter, pl. müeter, töhter. Schwester could not take the Umlaut, and so formed its plural after the weak declension, Schwester.

II.—The Pronoun.

The pronouns differ from the substantives not only in the forms of their terminations, but also in more general respects.

The Personal pronouns of the 1st and 2d persons are declined as follows in Middle and New High German:—

1 Words like Blüte, etc., were probably at first genitive or dative singular forms of Mhg. bluot, etc., which were also used in the nominative. This would also explain double forms like Fahrt—Fährte, Statt—Stätte.

2 In later Ohg. and in Mhg. fater, vater also followed the o-stems, and took -es in the genitive; the old uninflected form of the genitive remains in compounds, Vaterherz, etc.
1st Person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. N. ich</td>
<td>ich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. mir</td>
<td>mein, meiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. mir</td>
<td>mir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. mich</td>
<td>mich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. N. wir</td>
<td>wir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. unser</td>
<td>unser, unserer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. uns</td>
<td>uns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. (unsich), uns</td>
<td>uns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2d Person.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. N. dü</td>
<td>du</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. din</td>
<td>dein, deiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. dir</td>
<td>dir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. dich</td>
<td>dich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. N. ir</td>
<td>ihr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. iuwer</td>
<td>euer, eurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. iu</td>
<td>euch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. iuch</td>
<td>euch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The genitive forms mein, dein have taken the additional ending -er in New High German, after the analogy of unser, euer; the older forms are found in poetry and isolated expressions, e.g. 'Vergiss mein nicht.' Similarly, the lengthened forms unserer, eurer are sometimes used for unser, euer, e.g. 'Dem ist es ein löfflicher Stolz, Eurer werth zu sein,' Goethe.

In the primitive Indo-European languages there existed everywhere, besides the singular and plural, also a special form for the dual number. The substantives had lost this dual form in the earliest period of Teutonic, but the dual survived among the pronouns until after the separation of the Teutonic branch, and is found in the different dialects. Thus in the Old Saxon poem of the Heliand occur the forms wit = we two, git = you two (Nom.) (which would in Old High German have been *wiz, *iz), unk = us two, ink = you two (Acc.) In Old High German the dual seems to have gone out of use at an early period, as only one example of a dual is found in the literary remains; but a dual form of the
second person has been preserved to the present day in the Bavarian dialect, where it has even superseded the old form of the plural; thus Bav. es, ös = ihr, enk = euch.

It is characteristic of the personal pronoun—as in Greek and Latin—that the plural forms have for the most part different stems from the singular forms, thus meiner—mir, but wir—uner; deiner—dir, but ihr—euer. The development of language tends in the direction of partly removing these differences. Thus in various German dialects we meet with mir, mer for wir, and dir, der for ihr; these forms arose by transferring the initial sounds of the singular forms meiner—mein—mich, du—deiner—dein—dich to the plural.

It is a noteworthy fact that in German (as in English) the boundary line between the dative and the accusative of the pronouns has frequently disappeared. In the earliest periods of Low German there existed for the dative and accusative plural of the pronouns of the first and second person only one form apiece; thus uns = nobis and nos, iu = vobis and vos. The dative of the singular is mi, thi, older *mis, *this (= High German mir, dir; here the final s had changed to r); the accusative should be mik, thik. But this distinction vanished at an early period; in some Low German dialects mi, di, in others mik, dik are used for both the dative and accusative cases, just as there was only a single form in the plural. This want of two distinct case forms explains the frequent confusion made between mir and mich by Low Germans when speaking High German (e.g. by Fritz Reuter’s Onkel Bräsig, and by the people of Berlin). Low German has gone still farther; after the model of the first and second persons, the dative of the third person ihm, em is used for the accusative (comp. E. him for As. him and hine).

The distinction between the two cases of the plural also vanished in High German. The Mhg. language still possessed double forms for the second person, Dat. iu, Acc. iuch; but in New High German the accusative euch has quite superseded the dative. A consequence of this is that the half-educated frequently confound the cases when using the third person plural as the mode of address, and say, e.g. ‘ich habe Ihnen ja gar nicht erkannt.’

The personal pronoun of the third person is as follows in Middle High German and New High German:—
Singular.

M. H. G.                        N. H. G.
Masculine N. ēr           er
G. (ēs) sin     sein, seiner
D. im            ihm
A. in            ihn
Feminine N. sie        sie
G. ir           ihrer
D. ir            ihr
A. sie           sie
Neuter N. ēz        es
G. (ēs) sin     sein, seiner
D. im            ihm
A. ēz            es

Plural.

N. A. sie (Neut. also siu) sie
G. ir           ihrer
D. in            ihnen

The older form of the genitive singular of the masculine and neuter, ēs, was superseded at a very early period by the genitive of the reflexive pronoun sin = sein. Traces of the old form are found sporadically, e.g. 'Sie haben 's kein Gewinn,' Luther; 'ich erinnere mich 's recht gut,' Schiller; and in the ordinary expressions ich bin es satt, zufrieden, etc. (for the verb sein can have no accusative depending upon it). The genitive sein has been superseded by the longer form seiner, and Mhg. ir by ihrer, and the dative plural Mhg. in by ihnen.

The Reflexive pronoun is as follows:—

M. H. G.                        N. H. G.
Sing. G. sin     sein, seiner
D. (im)           sich
A. sich           sich
Plur. G. (ir)     (ihrer)
D. (in)           sich
A. sich           sich
In Middle High German the corresponding forms of the personal pronoun had taken the place of the old genitive plural and the dative in both numbers (comp. Got. G. seina, D. sis, A. sik for both numbers). In New High German the accusative sich is used for the dative; the older dative is found in Luther: ‘Und Gott schuf den Menschen ihm (=sich) zum Bilde;’ ‘Unser keiner lebt ihm selber, unser keiner stirbt ihm selber.’

The Possessive pronouns were originally, as in Latin and Greek, formed from the genitive of the personal pronouns, Mhg. min = mein, din = dein, sin = sein, unser = unser, iuwer = euer, ir = ihr. They were declined in Middle High German after the strong adjective declension (see p. 108), with the exception of ir, which was invariable. From the fourteenth century it was declined like the rest. Sin was originally formed from the reflexive pronoun (cf. L. suus from sui), but in Old High German used both reflexively and non-reflexively.

The Demonstrative pronouns of New High German differ from those of Middle High German chiefly in the forms of the genitive, dessen = Mhg. des, deren = Mhg. der, derer = Mhg. der, denen = Mhg. den. The shorter forms survive in deshalb, deswegen, and the proverb ‘Wes Brot ich ess, des Lied ich sing.’ Similarly the genitive form of the Interrogative pronoun wessen has taken the place of the older form wes; comp. ‘Wes ist das Bild und die Unterschrift?’ Luther. The later forms have arisen under the influence of dissyllabic pronouns, as dieser, jener, perhaps also under that of the adjectives.

III.—The Adjective.

The adjective is sometimes inflected, and sometimes used without any termination. The uninflected forms, e.g. gut, öde, exactly agree as to their formation with the nominatives and accusatives of the vowel substantive stems, e.g. with Tag, Käse; in both an original termination has fallen away, and a word like lang corresponds exactly with L. longus.

The inflected forms are again of two kinds: (1) After the

1 Sein is sometimes found referring to a feminine subject, e.g. ‘Sein Thor kennt jede Kuh,’ Fischart’s Gargantua.
definite article they have a consonantal stem, and are declined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M.</th>
<th>N.</th>
<th>F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. N.</td>
<td>der gute</td>
<td>das gute</td>
<td>die gute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>des guten</td>
<td>der guten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>dem guten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>den guten</td>
<td>das gute</td>
<td>die gute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. N.</td>
<td>die, G. der, D. den, A. die guten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The masculine inflexion corresponds exactly with the type der Bote—des Boten—dem Boten—den Boten. In the feminine and neuter the adjective has preserved the Old German types of the consonantal declensions, which the substantives have lost in New High German; compare with das gute—des guten, etc., Mhg. daz ouge—des ougen; and with die gute—der guten, etc., Mhg. die zunge—der zungen (see p. 100). So far there is no fundamental difference between the adjective and the substantive; this was their original condition in the Indo-European tongue, as preserved in Greek and Latin.

(2) When not preceded by any distinct case-ending: guter Wein—gutes Weines—gutem Wein—guten Wein, etc. This manner of inflexion arose by immediate imitation of the pronominal declension of the third person. Compare the Ohg. paradigms of dēr and guoter:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M.</th>
<th>N.</th>
<th>F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. N.</td>
<td>dēr—guoter</td>
<td>daz—guotaz</td>
<td>diu—guotiu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>dēs—guotes</td>
<td>dēra—guotera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>dēmu—guotemu</td>
<td>dēru—guoteru</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>dēn—guoten</td>
<td>daz—guotaz</td>
<td>dia—guota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. N.</td>
<td>die—guote</td>
<td>diu—guotiu</td>
<td>dio—guoto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.</td>
<td>dēro—guotero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>dēm—guotém</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>die—guote</td>
<td>diu—guotiu</td>
<td>dio—guoto</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The few changes which (without taking account of the weakening of all unaccented vowels to e) have taken place in the adjective since the Old High German time go hand in hand with those of the pronoun; the nominative singular of the feminine diu—guotiu, which by the phonetic laws should be
Nhg. *deu—gute*, has been changed for the accusative form *die—gute*, and similarly the neuter plural *dui—gootiu* has given place to the corresponding masculine and feminine forms *die—gute*.

The pronominal inflexion of adjectives was called by Grimm the Strong declension, and the consonantal inflexion, like that of the substantive, he called the Weak declension.

Instead of *gutes Weines*, New High German has adopted the form *guten Weines* for the sake of euphony; the strong genitive survives in a small number of expressions, e.g. *gutes Muthes, gerades Weges, keinesfalls, keineswegs*.

IV.—THE VERB.

The verbs were divided by Jacob Grimm into two classes: Strong and Weak verbs.

Weak verbs were so called because they form their past tense by the aid of exterior means, *i.e.* by the addition of the syllable *-te*, e.g.

\[ \text{lehre} \rightarrow \text{lehrte} \]

The strong verbs form their past tense by an interior change, *i.e.* by Ablaut or change of the stem-vowel, and without the addition of any syllable, *e.g.*

\[ \text{gebe} \rightarrow \text{gab} \]

Both classes of verbs form their past participle by the addition of a suffix to the stem; the weak verbs take the suffix *-t* or *-et*, the strong verbs the suffix *-en*, *e.g.*

\[ \text{gelehr-t} \rightarrow \text{gegeb-en} \]

Weak Verbs.

The weak formation—which is that of the majority of verbs—is the simpler. In the weak verbs the root-vowel does not change; the same vowel runs through the whole verb. An apparent exception is *frage—frägst—frägt*, which forms are used beside *frage—fragst—fragt*; these forms with *ü* arose through the
influence of strong verbs such as trage—trägst—trägt, schlage—schlägst—schlägt, just as the preterite frug, which is used beside frage, Mhg. fragete, arose through the influence of the same verb; trug, schlug.

In the weak verbs a slight difference may be noted in the formation of the preterite: some verbs form this tense with the termination -te, others with -ete, thus lehr-te, lieb-te, but bild-ete, fürcht-ete; the latter ending is common to all verbs whose stems end in a dental consonant, d or t. In Middle High German we also find both endings -te and -ete, and the latter form became in New High German, by the rules of phonology, -te (see p. 84). But if in bild-te, fürcht-te we want the present stem bild-, fürcht- to be distinctly heard when followed by the preterite ending -te, nothing remains but to insert an e. In Middle High German the difference in termination has a different origin. In place of the present uniformity, we there find a greater variety. In Old High German three classes of weak verbs are distinguished, according to the ending of the stem:

1. ð-stems, e.g. salbôn
2. ð-stems, ” frâgên
3. i-stems, ” *legian
   ” *lêrian

(Compare the three weak conjugations in Latin am-âre, tac-ére, and aud-êre.) The first two classes formed their preterite tense as follows:

Ohg. salbôn—salbota Mhg. salben—salbete
“ frâgên—frâgêta ” frâgen—frâgete

In the i-stems a distinction must be made between the verbs which have a short syllable in the stem, as *legian, and those having a long syllable, as *lêrian. The former retained the i of the stem in the preterite; the latter discarded the i in accordance with a general phonetic law of the older language, whilst in the present stem it remained longer; thus—

Ohg. *legian—legita Mhg. legen—legete
” *lêrian—lêta ” lêren—lêrte

Thus we see that the Mhg. verbs which form their preterite in -ete represent the two Ohg. classes of ð-stems and ð-stems and
part of the class of *i*-stems, whilst those having *-te* in the pre-
terite represent the remaining portion of the class of *i*-stems. 
In New High German the first *e* of *-ete* has vanished throughout
in accordance with the phonetic law (see p. 84). In certain
verbs, namely, those whose stems end in a dental sound, it has
been re-inserted for the sake of euphony.¹

Some verbs of the class of *i*-stems, which had a long stem-
vowel, underwent a more radical change in consequence of the
loss of *i* in the preterite. If the vowel of the stem was *a*, *o*, or
*u*, the final *i* of the stem in course of time caused *Umlaut* in
the present, whilst in the preterite the original vowel remained
(the *i* having there vanished before its influence upon the pre-
ceding vowel commenced). Thus, e.g., Ohg. *brannian—branta*
became *brennen—branta*, Nhg. *brennen—brannte*; and this
difference in the stem-vowel has remained in a number of weak
verbs, as *nennen—nannte*, *kennen—kannte*, *rennen—rannte*,
*senden—sandte*, *wenden—wandte*. In the past participles the
same changes took place on the whole as in the preterite, *gebrannt*, *gekannt*, etc. In Middle High German the number of
these cases of so-called *Rückumlaut* (see p. 80) was much greater
than in New High German, e.g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M. H. G.</th>
<th>N. H. G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>decken—dacte</td>
<td>decken—deckte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>setzen—sazte</td>
<td>setzen—setzte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smecken—smaacte</td>
<td>schmecken—schmeckte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beswaeren—beswärte</td>
<td>beschweren—beschwerte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>losen—löstie</td>
<td>lösen—löste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hoeren—hörte</td>
<td>hören—hörte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>büezen—buozte</td>
<td>büssen—büste</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In New High German the vowel of the present has in every
case been transferred by analogy to the preterite; some adjec-
tival participles show traces of the old state of things, e.g.
*gedackte* (= *gedeckte*) Orgelpfeifen; *lieblich gedackt*; *getrost* is an old
participle of *trösten*; in *abgeschmackt* we have an old participle
of *schmecken*. *Wohlbestallt* is from the old *bestelle—bestalte—*

¹ In country dialects, in which the pronunciation is not so studied,
the dental of the stem and that of the ending are usually blended, e.g.
‘*und das fürcht (=fürcht't)* sich so,' Auerbach; comp. *hätt* for *hält*,
Ohg. *heilt/.
bestalt; from the past forms has been formed in New High German the verb bestallen; similarly from the Mhg. sich erboesen —erböste—erbost (Nhg. adjective erbost) has been newly formed sich erbosen. The adjectives gelahrt, durchlaucht, erlaucht are formations from lehren, durchleuchten, erleuchten, after the model of other participles which had the so-called Rückumlaut.

To the weak verbs belong also bringen, denken and dünnen (see p. 121).

To the weak verbs belongs also haben; it was of the same class of verbs as fragen, Ohg. habén—habéta—gehabet, Mhg. häben —hábet—gehábet. There were in Middle High German, besides the forms with a short vowel ich hábe, etc., contracted forms with a long vowel, ich hán, du hást, er hát, wir hán, ir hát, sie hánt; ich háte, etc. In New High German the contracted forms du hást, er hát—ich hatte, etc., have survived in the second and third persons singular of the present indicative and in the past tenses; but the vowels of the contracted forms have become short, whilst those of the uncontracted forms have become long, ich hábe but du hást. In the imperfect subjunctive haben offers the only example of a weak verb with Umlaut, ich hätte, Mhg. háete.

Strong Verbs.

Present Tense.

The strong verbs are characterised by a far greater variety of vowel-change than the weak verbs; here we find the three vowel-changes called Umlaut, Brechung and Ablaut.

In the weak verbs the stem terminated in one and the same vowel in all the forms of the present tense in Old High German, so that there could be no question of any changing influence of the terminations upon the stem. In the strong verbs, however, the final consonant of the stem-syllable was immediately followed by the different personal endings. The present indicative of the verb tragen was conjugated in the earliest Old High German as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sing.</th>
<th>Plur.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. trag</td>
<td>1. tragamèes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. tragis</td>
<td>2. tragat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. tragit</td>
<td>3. tragant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The vowels of the termination in the second and third person of the singular necessarily caused *Umlaut* of the stem-vowel, as may be seen in the following paradigms of the same verb in its subsequent stages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O.H.G.</th>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. 1.</td>
<td>tragu</td>
<td>trage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>tregis</td>
<td>tregest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>tregui</td>
<td>treget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. 1.</td>
<td>tragames</td>
<td>tragen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>traget</td>
<td>tragen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>tragant</td>
<td>tragent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This *Umlaut* is found in all the strong verbs with the exception of a few, such as *ich habe, du haust, er haut; ich komme, du kommst, er kommt*, beside the less correct forms *du kommst, er kommt*.\(^1\)

In the present subjunctive no change of vowel takes place, as the vowels of the terminations were the same for all persons.

The difference in the terminations which caused the *Umlaut* in verbs was also the cause of the so-called *Brechung* (see p. 81). There is a regular change between *e* and *i*; we find *i* in those parts which have *Umlaut*, and *e* in those parts which have no *Umlaut*, among the verbs which are capable of changing by *Umlaut*; thus *du gibst, er giebt, gieb, but wir geben, ihr gebt, sie geben*, etc.

The following table will show the conjugation of *nehmen* in the different periods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O.H.G.</th>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. 1.</td>
<td>nimu</td>
<td>nime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>nimis</td>
<td>nimest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>nimit</td>
<td>nimit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. 1.</td>
<td>nemames</td>
<td>nemen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>nemat</td>
<td>nement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>nemant</td>
<td>nement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Through the influence of the vowel *i* of the terminations, the original *e* of the stem syllable had changed to *i* in the second

\(^1\) A good many of the dialects have gone still farther in removing the *Umlaut* after the analogy of the forms without *Umlaut*, e.g. *ich trage, du tragest, er trägt for du trägst, er trägt; ich laufe, du laufsch, er lauft for du läufst, er läuft.*
and third persons of the singular; in the first person the vowel also changed by analogy, the primitive Teutonic form having been *nemu, *gebu, *lesu. In New High German the old conjugation has been restored unconsciously, again by analogy, namely, of verbs like tragen; after the model of trage, trägst, trägt, tragen, etc., Mhg. nime, nimest, nime, nemen, etc., became Nhg. nehme, nimmst, nimmt, nehmen, etc.¹

In the verbs with stems ending in a combination of a nasal with a nasal or another consonant (mm, nn, nd, ng, nk) there is no Brechung; every e preceding the above sound-group had already changed to i in pre-historic times, even when the vowel a followed in the next syllable, thus ich beginne, du beginnst, er beginnt, wir beginnen, etc.; ich finde, du findest, er findet, wir finden, etc.

Parallel with the change of e and i by Brechung is that of Mhg. ie and iu, Ohg. io and iu (see p. 82), in verbs of the Ablaut series fliegen—flog—geflogen. This verb was conjugated as follows in the present tense in the different periods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>O.H.G.</th>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. 1.</td>
<td>fliugu</td>
<td>fluge</td>
<td>fliege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>fliugis</td>
<td>flingest</td>
<td>fliegest, fliegt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>fliugit</td>
<td>fluget</td>
<td>fliegt, fliegt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur 1.</td>
<td>fliogames</td>
<td>fliegen</td>
<td>fliegen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>fliogat</td>
<td>flieget</td>
<td>flieget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>fliogant</td>
<td>fliegent</td>
<td>fliegen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Nhg. forms fliege, fliegest, fliegt are analogy formations; the earlier forms fleugst, fleugt are still found in Luther’s Bible, and occasionally in the poetry of the last century, e.g. ‘wie ein Vogel dahin fleugt, der aus dem Nest getrieben wird;' ‘in ein Land, darinnen Milch und Honig fleusst (=fliesst),’ Luther; ‘Ergeuss (=ergiess) von Neuem Du, mein Auge, Freudentränen,’ Klopstock; ‘Der König sendet mich hierher und beut (=bietet) der Priesterin Dianens Gruss und Heil,’ Goethe; ‘Das wird seine Beute, Was da kriecht und fliegt (=kriecht und fliegt),’ Schiller.

¹ Some of the Upper German dialects still have the vowel i in the first person, ich gib, ich bis, ich nimm, etc.; whilst in other dialects of Upper and Middle Germany analogy has caused a uniformity of vowels throughout the tense, as ich gebe, du gebsch, er gebt; du nemmsch, er nemmt, etc.
The perfect tense of strong verbs was originally formed by two means: firstly, by Reduplication, i.e. by prefixing a syllable consisting of the initial sound and the vowel e; secondly, by so-called Ablaut (see p. 82). Most Greek verbs and some Latin verbs form their perfect by both means, e.g. Gk. τρέφω—τερπόφα, L. pello—pepuli. The cases in which both Reduplication and Ablaut are distinctly present in the same verb are very rare in Teutonic. Even in Gothic the reduplicated syllable is preserved in its purity only in a very few cases, and in the majority of these few cases the Ablaut is lost from phonetic causes. In Gothic léton—lailót¹ (let) we see both reduplication and Ablaut, but the verbs haldan—haihald (hold), haitan—haihait (heissen) and hlaupan—haihlaup (laufan) have reduplication but no Ablaut. In consequence of contractions and other changes these perfect forms uniformly have the diphthong ie in Middle High German, and the vowel i (written ie) in New High German, whatever be the vowel of the present tense. The past participle, which in strong verbs is generally subject to Ablaut (comp. L. pello—pulsus, vello—vulsus, sero—satus), has in the reduplicating verbs the same vowel as the present. The following Nhg. verbs belong to this class (the Mhg. forms are usually the same as the Nhg.):

1 halte—hielt, Ohg. hialt—gehalten; so also fallen, fangen, hangen, gehen, Ohg. gangan.

2 blasen—blies, Ohg. blias—geblasen; so also braten, lassen, Mhg. läzen and län, rathen, schlafen.

3 rufen—rief, Ohg. riof—gerufen.

4 heissen—hiess, Ohg. hiaz—geheissen.

5 laufen—lief, Ohg. biof—gelaufen; so also hauen, stossen.

To the class of reduplicating verbs formerly belonged scheiden—schied—geschieden, Mhg. scheiden—schiet—gescheiden, and bescheiden, the old participle of which, Mhg. bescheiden, is now used as an adjective only. To this class also belonged falten, salzen, spalten, the old participles of which, gefalten, gesalzen, gespalten, are still used (see p. 121).

¹ The vowel of the reduplication in Gothic is always ai, which was pronounced as a short ē; in the stem of haitan, however, ai is a diphthong.
By far the greater number of strong verbs no longer had any independent reduplication syllable even in the earliest period of Teutonic capable of being investigated. To make up for the loss of reduplication, however, Ablaut becomes more marked. The reduplicating verbs have only two different vowels in the stem; the Ablaut verbs, as a rule, have three; moreover, the preterite of these verbs had in the earlier periods of the language a different vowel for the singular and the plural, like Nhg. ich ward—wir wurden. The change of vowels in Ablaut is no arbitrary one; not any vowel may alternate with any other, e.g. a cannot appear in the same root with ei; only certain vowels may appear with certain others in the same stem. The vowels which stand in relation with one another in this manner are comprised together as Ablaut series. We give the different series in their Middle High German and New High German forms.

I. Class.—Vowel of the present stem, a:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mhg.</th>
<th>trage</th>
<th>truoc</th>
<th>truogen</th>
<th>getragen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nhg.</td>
<td>trage</td>
<td>trug</td>
<td>trugen</td>
<td>getragen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second and third persons of the present tense a changes to e (ä) by Umlaut, Mhg. trage, tregest, treget, Nhg. trage, trägst, trägt.

To this class formerly belonged the verbs heben, schwören and stehen, which originally had a in the present stem (see below, p. 118); also mahlen, ‘grind,’ Mhg. maln—muol—gemaln, of which the participle gemahlen is still used; this verb is to be distinguished from the weak verb malen, ‘paint,’ Ohg. målēn.

II. Class.—Vowel of the present stem, e or i;

(a) the stem-syllable ends in a double consonant:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mhg.</th>
<th>ich binde</th>
<th>bant</th>
<th>bunden</th>
<th>gebunden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wir binden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nhg.</td>
<td>ich binde</td>
<td>band</td>
<td>banden</td>
<td>gebunden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wir binden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mhg.</td>
<td>ich wirfe</td>
<td>warf</td>
<td>wurfen</td>
<td>geworfen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wir werfen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nhg.</td>
<td>ich werfe</td>
<td>warf</td>
<td>warfen</td>
<td>geworfen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wir werfen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(On the change of e and i in the present tense, and of u and o in the past participle, see p. 81.) The Mhg. form of the Ablaut has in no case been purely preserved in New High German; the difference in the forms of the preterite has been removed in New High German. In by far the larger number of verbs the form of the singular has prevailed, comp.

Mhg. ich bant
wir bunden

Nhg. ich band
wir banden

so also Mhg. fant—funden, gelanc—gelungen, half—hulfen, spranc—sprungen are in Nhg. fand—fanden, gelang—gelangen, half—halfen, sprang—sprangen. The older forms of the plural are found in the early New High German, as in Luther's Bible: 'Sie nahmen Jesum und bunden ihn,' and the old plural of sang has been preserved to this day, through the influence of the rhyme, in the proverb: 'Wie die Alten sungen, so zwitschern die Jungen.' In a smaller number of verbs the vowel of the plural has passed over to the singular, not indeed in its Mhg. form u, but in the Middle German form o (see p. 82), comp.

Mhg. ich smalz
wir smulzen, Mg. smolzen

Nhg. ich schmolz
wir schmolzen

so also Mhg. glam—glummen, swal—swullen are Nhg. glomm—glommen, schwoll—schwollen. In a single case a and u have survived in New High German: ich ward and ich wurde—wir wurden, Mhg. ich wart—wir wurden; the disyllabic form wurde is to be explained by the influence of the weak preterite.

(b) The stem-vowel is followed by a single liquid or nasal consonant, or preceded by a combination of a mute + liquid:

Mhg. ich nime
wir nemen

Nhg. ich nehme
wir nehmen

Mhg. ich spriche
wir sprechen

Nhg. ich spreche
wir sprechen

This model was already followed in the older language by a few verbs which had no liquid consonant, e.g. fichte—gefochten
followed *flihte—gesflohten, and *stechen—gestochen followed *brechen—*gebrochen, *sprechen—*gesprochen. In these again the difference between the singular and the plural of the preterite has disappeared in New High German; Mhg. *ich sprách—*wir spráchen is Nhg. *ich sprách—*wir spráchen. In a number of verbs even the difference between the preterite and the past participle has vanished, e.g.:

Mhg. schire schar schåren geschoren
Nhg. schere schor schoren geschoren

Similarly Mhg. (more especially Middle German) *pflige—*pflac—*pflagen—*gepflogen is Nhg. *pflege—*pflog—*pflogen—*gepflogen. In New High German the verbs schwören and heben, which originally belonged to the type of tragen, have formed their parts after the model of *scheren and *pflogen; comp.

Mhg. swere swuor gesworen
Nhg. schwöre schwur or schwor geschworen

Similarly Mhg. hebe—*huop—*gehaben is Nhg. hebe—*hub or *hob—*gehoben. The vowel of the present stem of these verbs changed from *a to *e by Umlaut, the original forms having been *swariu, *habiu; these forms show an exterior resemblance to the present of the *i-class of weak verbs (see p. 110). The original vowel of the participle *gehaben has survived in the adjective erhaben, the old participle of erheben, now superseded by the form *erhoben.

(c) The stem vowel *e (i) is followed by a single consonant, which is neither a liquid nor a nasal, and is not preceded by a combination of these with another consonant:

Mhg. ich gibe
del giben
del geben
gåp gåben gegeben
Nhg. wir geben
ich gibe
gåh gåben gegeben

The difference between the singular and the plural of the preterite has again been removed in New High German; Mhg. *gåp—gåben is Nhg. *gåb—gåben. The old quantity has been preserved in many cases in Low German; thus we find in Fritz Reuter the forms gaww (gab), lagg (lag), satt (sass). The verbs
bewegen and weben formerly belonged to this class, but now follow the type of pflegen, heben, comp.

Mhg. wibe  wap  wâben  geweber
Nhg. webe  wob  woben  gewoben

So also Mhg. bewige—bewac—bewâgen—bewegen is Nhg. bewege—bewog—bewogen—bewegen. The old participle of weben is preserved in some dialects, e.g. in the expression gewebene Strümpfe.

To this class belong the past forms of the auxiliary verb sein, var—waren—gewesen, Mhg. was—wâren—gewesen (see below, p. 125).

III. Class.—Vowel of the present stem, i:—

(a) Mhg. schribe  schreip  schriben  geschriben
Nhg. schreibe  schrieb  schrieb schon  geschrieben

(b) Mhg. dîhe  dêch  digen  gedigen
Nhg. gedeihe  -dich  -dienen  gedienen

The vowel i in the present stem changed to ei (see p. 76). In the preterite the diphthong ei of the singular has become lost entirely, and its place taken by the vowel of the plural and of the past participle. This class has taken up some verbs which were formerly weak, as gleichen, weisen, preisen, Mhg. glîchen, wisen, prisen, which are derived from the adjectives gelich, wis =weis (cf. weis machen) and the substantive pris.

IV. Class.—Vowel of the present stem, iu alternating with ie:—

Mhg. ich fliege
  wir fliegen  flouc  flugen  geflogen
Nhg. ich fliege
  wir fliegen  flog  flogen  geflogen

Mhg. ich bûte
  wir bieten  bôt  buten  geboten
Nhg. ich bûte
  wir bieten  bot  boten  geboten

The development of this series of verbs in New High German is similar to that of the preceding series; except that as in Class
II. α, the singular of the preterite has taken the vowel, not of the plural (u), but of the Middle German form with o.

To this class belong also saufen—soff, saugen—sog, Mhg. sūfen, sügen, in which the present tense differed from that of flüge, etc., as early as the pre-Teutonic period, whilst the remaining forms corresponded with those of the latter verb.

The Preterite Conjunctive has Umlaut in the strong verbs; this Umlaut is easily explained by a comparison with the older forms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>O.H.G.</th>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. 1.</td>
<td>nāmi</td>
<td>naeme</td>
<td>nähme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. nāmis</td>
<td></td>
<td>naemest</td>
<td>nähmest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. nāmi</td>
<td></td>
<td>naeme</td>
<td>nähme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. 1.</td>
<td>nāmīm</td>
<td>naemen</td>
<td>nähmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. nāmit</td>
<td></td>
<td>naemet</td>
<td>nähmet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. nāmīn</td>
<td></td>
<td>naemen</td>
<td>nähmen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ablaut is that of the plural of the preterite indicative. In most cases this tense has been re-formed after the Nhg. preterite, *e.g.* Nhg. band—bünde, Mhg. band—bünde; but the older form has been retained in a number of verbs, as half—hülfe, stand—stünde, starb—stürbe.

**Grammatical Change.**

Together with the changes of Ablaut we may observe in a number of verbs a change of consonants, *e.g.* ziehen—zog—gezogen, leiden—litt—gelitten. This change is due, like that of Ablaut, to a primitive difference in accentuation; Verner’s law (see p. 7) showed that according to the position of the accent an Indo-European tenuis changed in Teutonic to a spirant or a media, viz. k to h or g, t to th or d (cf. E. seehe—sodden). After the second or High German Sound-shifting th and d changed respectively to d and t. The Ohg. forms show the change more completely than the modern verbs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ziuhu</td>
<td>ziehe</td>
<td>snīdu</td>
<td>schneide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zóh</td>
<td>zog</td>
<td>sneid</td>
<td>schnitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zugúm</td>
<td>zogen</td>
<td>snitúm</td>
<td>schnitten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gizogán</td>
<td>gezogen</td>
<td>gisnitan</td>
<td>geschnitten</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The change of \( d - t \) is also preserved in \( \text{leiden} - \text{litt} - \text{gelitten}, \) \( \text{sieden} - \text{sott} - \text{gesotten}, \) cf. E. \text{seethe} - \text{sodden}. In Middle High German the verbs \text{schlagen} and \text{gedeihen} also had the change \( h - g \), Mhg. \text{slahe} - \text{sluogen} - \text{geslagen}, \text{dike} - \text{digen} - \text{gedigen}. The old form \text{slahe} is preserved in the words of the song (Aennchen von Tharau), ‘Und käm alles Wetter gleich auf uns zu schlähn’; the present form \text{schlagen} arose through analogy of \text{tragen}. The old participle Mhg. \text{gedigen} has survived as an adjective, \text{gediegen}, ‘pure, massive.’ In the S. Franconian dialect analogy has also transformed the present stem \text{ziehen} into \text{ziege}.

Corresponding to the change of \( h - g \), \( d - t \) is that of \( s - r \), which survives in New High German \text{erkiese} - \text{erkor} - \text{erkoren} and \text{war} - \text{waren} - \text{gewesen}, Mhg. \text{was} - \text{waren}, cf. E. \text{was} - \text{were}. In Middle High German it was also found in \text{friuse} - \text{fruren} - \text{gefroren}, Nhg. \text{friere} - \text{froren} - \text{gefroren}, and in \text{verliuse} - \text{verluren} - \text{verloren}, Nhg. \text{berlie} - \text{berlore} - \text{berloren}; the \( s \) survives in \text{Friesel}, ‘a kind of fever,’ \text{Verlust}, ‘loss.’ The corresponding English verbs have preserved the \( s \) sound, \text{choose}, \text{freeze}, \text{lose}; the \( r \) survives in \text{forlorn}, Oe. \text{for-loren}.

A similar consonantal change is found in several weak verbs, which is, however, unconnected with the so-called grammatical change just described; thus, \text{bringen} - \text{brachte}, \text{denken} - \text{dachte}, in accordance with the phonetic law that only a spirant is suffered immediately to precede \( t \) in Teutonic. According to another law, \( n \) disappeared before \( h \), Mhg. \text{bringen} - \text{brähte}. In Mhg. \text{mich dähtet} - \text{mich dächte}, E. \text{methinks}, several analogy changes have taken place; firstly, the \text{Umlaut} of the present extended to the preterite, whence Nhg. \text{dächte}, then a new preterite \text{dünkte} was formed from \text{dünken}. Finally, from \text{däuchte} was formed a new present, \text{däucht} or even \text{däuchtet}.

A number of verbs now have both strong and weak forms side by side, e.g. \text{salze} - \text{salzte}, but \text{gesalzen}. This mixture of forms was not original, it arose in different ways:

1. Originally strong verbs have been attracted to the weak conjugation by force of analogy, comp.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Mhg. salze} & \quad \text{sielz} & \quad \text{gesalzen} \\
\text{Nhg. salze} & \quad \text{salzte} & \quad \text{gesalzen}
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly Mhg. \text{spalte} - \text{spielt} - \text{gespalten} has become Nhg. \text{spalte} - \text{spaltete} - \text{gespalten} or \text{gespalten}. \text{Falten} and \text{schaben}, ‘scrape,’
were also strong; from them we have the participial adjectives gefalten and abgeschaben, 'shabby, threadbare.'

2. Originally weak verbs have become strong; this is much less frequent; comp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mhg. er fråget</th>
<th>frågete</th>
<th>gefråget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nhg. er fragt or</td>
<td>fragte or</td>
<td>gefragt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

frågt—frug were formed after the model of trägt—trug, schlägt—schlug. Similarly, after the model of erschrak, ich steckte has become ich stak; and dingte—gedingt changed to dang—gedungen under the influence of sang—gesungen, etc. The dialects have many other examples to show of weak verbs becoming strong; thus the past participles of bedeuten, leuten are in the southern dialects beditte, gelitte, probably after the model of reiten—geritten.

3. The older language possessed side by side a strong and a weak verb, which resembled each other very nearly in their present tense, and so became one in New High German. In frequent cases the strong verb had an intransitive, and the weak verb a transitive meaning. Thus from the two Mhg. verbs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ich erlische} & \quad \text{erlasch} & \text{erloschen} = \text{be extinguished} \\
\text{wir erlöschen} & \quad \text{erlaschte} & \text{erleschet} = \text{extinguish} \\
\end{align*}
\]

became in Nhg.:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ich erlöseche} & \quad \text{erlosch} & \text{erloschen} \\
\text{wir erlöschen} & \quad \text{erlöschte} & \text{erlöscht} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly the Mhg. pairs of verbs erschricke—erschrak—erschrocken, 'am frightened,' and erschrecke—erschreckt—erschrecken, 'frighten,' are in Nhg. erschrecke—erschrak and erschreckte—erschrocken and erschreckt; Mhg. swille—swal—geswollen, 'swell,' and swelle—swalte—geswelt, 'cause to swell,' are Nhg. schwelle—schwoll and schwelle—geschwollen and geschwellt. In Middle High German both pairs of verbs contained e in the infinitive, in the plural of the present indicative, and in the present con-
junctive; only in the strong verbs this e, which alternates with i, was a Brechung—ë, whilst in the weak verbs it was Umlaut —e. There was therefore a difference of pronunciation in Middle High German.

A similar confusion has taken place as regards the two verbs laden, 'load,' and laden, 'invite,' preterite lud, p. p. geladen. In the earlier New High German we also meet with the preterite ladete. They were originally quite distinct, without any connection whatever; comp. Ohg.

| hladan | hluod | gehladan |= load |
| ladôn  | ladôta| giladôt  |= invite |

IRREGULAR VERBS.

Past-present Verbs.

These verbs, ich muss, ich kann, ich darf, ich mag, ich soll, ich weiss offer a remarkable mixture of strong and weak forms. It strikes one at once that these verbs have not, like other verbs, an inflexional termination in the first and third persons of the present tense. This want of termination is only met with in the preterites of the strong verbs, and therein lies the solution of the problem; these six verbs which are used with a present meaning were originally preterites, and are therefore termed Praeterito-praesentia or Past-present verbs. This phenomenon of the present tense of a verb becoming lost, and its perfect remaining with a present signification, is met with in Latin and Greek, comp. coepi, 'I begin,' novi, 'I know,' odi, 'I hate;' oîða, 'I know.' To the Greek oîða the German weiss exactly corresponds. In these verbs the change of meaning noticed in the Introduction (see p. 62), the confounding of cause and effect, has taken place; weiss probably signified originally 'I have seen,' and we may suppose it to have had a present tense *wize, and to have been conjugated like Class III.; thus

* wize weiz wizzun Giwizzan

Weiss offers the only New High German example of the old preterite form of that Ablaut series, for Mhg. meit, reit, schreip, treip, etc., have been replaced by the analogy forms mied, ritt, schrieb,
trieb, etc. Similarly ich muss, Mhg. muoz, belongs to the type Mhg. trage—truoc—getragen; and for ich kann, darf, mag, soll (Ohg. skal, E. shall) we might suppose old present stems *kinnan, *derfan, *megan, *skelan, conjugated after the types II. b and II. c.

After the original perfect forms had quite lost their relations to past time, there arose a new system of forms, corresponding to the preterites of weak verbs, to designate the past time.

Wollen.

The verb wollen was originally a conjunctive (optat.) with an indicative meaning, Goth. viljaun; the forms of the singular of the present indicative in Old High German still partially resemble conjunctive forms, but in the plural they resemble the forms of the weak verb:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>O.H.G.</th>
<th>M.H.G.</th>
<th>N.H.G.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sing. 1.</td>
<td>willu</td>
<td>wil</td>
<td>will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>wili</td>
<td>wilt</td>
<td>willst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>wili</td>
<td>wil</td>
<td>will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plur. 1.</td>
<td>wellemes</td>
<td>wellen</td>
<td>wollen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>wellet</td>
<td>wellet</td>
<td>wollet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>wellent</td>
<td>wellent</td>
<td>wollen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From this verb a new weak preterite was formed in the same manner as from the past-present verbs, Ohg. volta, Mhg. volte, wolde, Nhg. wollte; and a new conjunctive, Ohg. welle, Mhg. welle, Nhg. wolle.

Anomalous Verbs.

In the two verbs gehen and stehen, not only two different kinds of inflexion, but also two different stems are blended. The present tense had in the older language double forms, Mhg. gēn, gān; stēn, stān; from the second forms with ā come the Low German gahn, stahn and the modern Upper German goh, stoh. The preterite of gehen, gieng is formed as if from a present stem gangen (cf. fangen—fieng); this present stem did actually exist, and is still preserved in some dialectical forms. This verb belongs to the reduplicating class. The preterite of stēn was in Middle High German stuont, formed from a present stem stanen
(cf. trage—truc), which still survives in dialect. But the preterite, Nhg. ich stund—wir stunden, is only now used in antiquated style. This changed to ich stand—wir stunden, after the model of the type bant—bunden (Class II. a); when in the latter type the singular and the plural were made alike, stand—stunden also became stand—standen. On Low German territory analogy has worked in a different direction: after the model of stán—stunt was formed gán—gung and fangen—fung, as we may read in Fritz Reuter.

Another anomalous verb is thun—that, the changes in which are of a different character. The preterite of Ohg. tuon was teta; here the first syllable te was really a reduplication, whilst ta was the stem, which probably at first had the same form as the present stem. The change in the vowel arose simply from the circumstance that in the preterite the stem was not accented, and thus became weakened. Ohg. teta is Mhg. tete and Nhg. thät, a form which is antiquated and found in Volkslied and some of the poets, e.g. ‘das ich zum Kränzchen pflanzen thät,’ Bürger; ‘aus der Tiefe thät ihn mahmen ein wunderbarer Gesang,’ Uhland. The plural of teta was Ohg. tátun, a form which is difficult to be explained, Nhg. thaten; after this form was formed a new singular, Nhg. that.

Sein.

Not fewer than three different roots have combined to form the paradigm of the auxiliary verb sein: (a) bin, bist are of the same root as the Latin fui, fore, futurum; (b) a root es, which is found in Latin est, eram for exam, and German ist, and a shorter form of the same root s, in sei, sind, cf. Lat. sim, sunt; (c) finally a root wes, which is not represented in the Latin verb. To this root belongs the preterite Mhg. was—wären, cf. E. was—were, Nhg. war—waren (the old form of the preterite is preserved in the words of the song, ‘so dir geschenkt ein Röslein was, so thues in ein Wasserglas’); also the old infinitive Mhg. wesen (cf. Nhg. verwesen, ‘decay’), now used as a substantive only, and the old participles abwesen, anwesend, now used as adjectives only. In sie sind, Mhg. sint, we have the only New High German verb which has preserved the old termination of the third person plural, comp. L. sun—t, aman—t; this termination has even been transferred to the first person, wir sind, Mhg. sin.
Past Participle.

The prefix *ge*- did not originally belong to the participle, comp. Got. binda = *ich binde*—pp. bundans = *gebunden*. In Old High German the participle appears sometimes with and sometimes without the prefix; in Middle High German, and especially in New High German, the prefix becomes the rule. Even in New High German it is frequently found without the prefix, e.g. 'es ist das Heil uns kommen (= gekommen) her,' Luther; 'das Werk zuletzt is doch vollendet blieben (=geblieben),' Goethe; 'wie seid ihr so jung geblieben, und *ich bin worden* (= geworden) so alt,' Uhland; we now say *ich bin alt geworden*, but *ich bin geschlagen worden*; *gezeugt* but bezeugt. What is the origin of the prefix? There was in the old language a large number of verbs, which beside their simple forms had also compound forms with the prefix *ge*, like the modern pairs *bieten*—*gebieten*, *brauchen*—*gebrauchen*, *leiten*—*geleiten*. The difference in meaning between the simple and the compound word was hardly more than a difference of degree; the prefix *ge* was a strengthening particle, and denoted the completion of the action. The compound form, as may well be imagined, was used especially in the past participle, and thus the syllable *ge* by degrees appeared as a characteristic feature of that form. A large number of verbs have remained free from this prefix, i.e. those verbs which are already compounded with an inseparable prefix. The reason of this is obvious; as it was contrary to the laws of euphony to join one such prefix to another, verbs like *gebeleben*, *geverstehen*, *gezerreissen* could not be formed, and could consequently not form any participles *gebelebt*, etc. Of simple verbs few participles without *ge*- have survived beside *worden*; beside *geschaffen* we find *rechtsaffen*, 'honest, upright'; beside *getrunken* the adjective *trunken*, 'drunk'; in the expressions *ich habe ihn kommen lassen*, 'I have ordered him to come;' *wer hat dich gehen heissen*? 'who has commanded you to go?' *er hat nicht schlafen können*, 'he has not been able to sleep,' etc.; *lassen*, *heissen*, *können* are all participles without *ge*, but appear to us as infinitives. By reason of this, other infinitives have come to be used in a similar manner, by analogy, e.g. *ich habe ihm arbeiten helfen*, 'I have helped him to work;' *er hat dich tanzen lehren*, 'he has taught thee to dance.'
CHAPTER V.

SYNTAX OF NEW HIGH GERMAN.

Syntax, if we leave out of account the question of the arrangement of words and sentences, is essentially only a branch of the science of change of meaning. Whether a preposition is followed by one or the other case, depends upon the meaning of those cases; whether certain verbs take an indicative or conjunctive in the dependent clause, depends upon the general differences of meaning between the two forms of the verb; the reason why the German says *eine fromme Frau* and not *eine frommer Frau* is that he has been accustomed to associate with the nominative *frommer* the conception of a male being. The meaning of the different forms of a word changes with its different terminations; hence the greater part of what appears in ordinary school-grammars as syntax may be called the science of the meaning of inflexional syllables, whilst our former chapter on change of meaning (see Introduction) dealt in every case with the changes of meaning of the stem-syllable.

But some of those changes in the meaning of the stem-syllable also come within the sphere of syntax: namely, all the changes in consequence of which a word steps out of the class, to which, according to the system of the grammarians, it originally belonged, into another. When an adjective becomes a substantive, e.g. *Füirst*, 'prince,' which was originally the superlative of *vor* and meant the same as its English equivalent *first*, a similar change of meaning takes place as when *Korn*, E. *corn*, meaning any kind of grain, is used in the sense of a particular kind. The process by which the substantive *Mann* became an indefinite pronoun *man* = 'one' (compare the French *on* from L. *homo*) is the same as that by which the meaning of *scheinen*, 'shine,' became weakened to that of 'seem.'
I. Classes of Words.

The most primitive classes of words are Substantives and Adjectives, Numerals, Pronouns, Verbs; none of these four classes can, in the present state of our knowledge, be traced back to another.

Substantives and Adjectives form one class; every substantive at first designates only a single quality ascribed to an object, e.g. L. *fluvius*, meaning originally ‘that which flows’ (from *fluo*), and new substantives are continually being formed from adjectives. The reverse case, that of substantives becoming adjectives, is much more rare; in the few examples which New High German offers, e.g. *angst*, *feind*, *leid*, *noth*, *schade*, *schuld*, their substantive origin may be clearly recognised in the fact that they cannot be used attributively and have no comparative.

Between the classes of the verb on the one hand and the three remaining classes there seem to be no transitions. But there are several Pronouns which have come over from a different class, e.g. the above-mentioned *man* from the substantive *Mann*; similarly *jemand* and *niemand* are compounds of the same word and the adverbs *je* and *nie*, Mhg. *ie-man*, *nie-man* (comp. the L. *nemo* from *ne* and *homo*, and the E. *nobody*); *jeglicher* was originally a compound of *je* and the adjective *gleich*, Mhg. *ie-gelich*; and in the early New High German we find the adverb *sō* frequently used as a relative pronoun, e.g. ‘Bittet für die, so Euch beleidigen und verfolgen’ in Luther’s Bible.

Amongst the class of Pronouns not all kinds are equally primitive. The interrogatives *welcher*, *wer*, *was* have in colloquial speech, beside their interrogative function, also an indefinite signification = ‘some, somebody, something’ ( = *etwelcher*, *etwas*), like the Greek *τίς* and the L. *quis*, which also possessed both meanings. The interrogative use was doubtless not the more primitive; if we say to a person ‘*du suchst etwas*’ we show a desire to know the object of his search, and the sentence may be taken as equivalent to an interrogation.

Like the interrogative pronouns the Relative pronouns were not original, but derived from another class of words. As
persons in their every-day talk use only independent sentences in their ordinary conversation, so all subordination of clauses was preceded by co-ordination; all words which now serve the purpose of connecting subordinate sentences must originally have had different functions. Among these connectives the relative pronoun der, die, das was originally purely demonstrative. A sentence like es war der, der gepredigt hat would have been in Old High German iz was, der bredigota (es war, der predigte); in an earlier period of the language the form of the sentence must have been es war der—er hat gepredigt, and in a still earlier period, when the pronoun er before the verb was superfluous, das war der—hat gepredigt, Ohg. iz was der—bredigota. Here the pronoun stood originally at the end of the first clause, not at the beginning of the second. When afterwards it became necessary specially to designate the subject of the verb, der came to be regarded as belonging to the following verb; and a sentence like das ist der Mensch, der mich geschlagen hat was previously das ist der Mensch, der hat mich geschlagen. Here the relative belonged from the beginning to the clause in which we now find it, and we have consequently to suppose a twofold origin of the relative der. The relatives wer, was, welcher developed out of the interrogative pronouns, though in a somewhat different manner, during the New High German period.

The Adverbs are, so far as we can know their origin, for the most part stereotyped case-forms; in them are frequently preserved old meanings of cases which no longer live in the nouns or pronouns themselves. Thus in einst, Mhg. eines, genitive of ein, we find a genitive of manner; similarly in flux or flugs, 'quickly,' the genitive of Flug, meaning 'in flight;' and in mittelst, for mittels, 'by means of,' the genitive of Mittel. A Dative plural is contained in allenthalben for earlier allen halben, 'on all sides,' from the Ohg. substantive halba, 'side;' and in weiland, 'formerly,' originally wilen, dative plural of wil, Nhg. Weile, 'time' (comp. the E. dialectical whiles). An old accusative case is contained in je and nie, Ohg. io, nio = nio; io or the earlier form eo corresponds to a Gothic aiw, the accusative singular of aiws, 'time' (comp. Gk. aiów, L. aevum). The adverb nicht, Ohg. niowiht, is compounded of nio and the nominative or accusative of wiht, 'thing.' The old substantive character of nicht is still apparent in the phrases 'hier ist seines
"Bleibens nicht;" 'Wünsche dir nicht seiner feinen Speisen' (Luther), where nicht has a partitive genitive depending upon it (comp. the E. nought and not, As. ná-wiht, and the L. ni-hilum, nihil).

The Prepositions are closely connected with the adverbs. Numerous examples are still found in German of both prepositional and adverbial meanings being united in the same word; compare durch—hindurch—durchgegangen, um—darum—umgefallen, wider—dawider—widerreden, etc. Historical observation shows that in each of these cases the adverbial meaning was the original. In former ages, in fact, language needed no prepositions; the cases sufficed to express the most various relations (comp. the expression den ganzen Tag = the whole day, where duration of time is expressed by the simple accusative case). A transition stage between adverb and preposition is found in expressions like den Tag über, die Nacht durch. Of many prepositions we may still clearly follow the formation from other classes of words within the most recent periods; the preposition zu was in the ninth century exclusively an adverb; kraft, laut, wegen have formed since the Middle High German period from the dative combinations in Kraft (comp. Lessing 'in Kraft allein des Rings'), nach Laut, von—Wegen, dative plural of Weg (comp. the old expressions von Rechts wegen, von Amts wegen).

In their turn prepositions in conjunction with certain caseforms have helped to form adverbs; entlang, entzwei are compounds of in lang, in zwei; neben, 'beside,' of in eben, orig. = 'on a level with'; überall, 'everywhere,' is the same as über alles; sintemal, 'since, whereas,' is compounded of sint dem mal = seit dem Mal, 'since that time.'

Conjunctions, like prepositions, are a class of words, the need of which has only gradually arisen in the life of language. In common speech little use is made of these means; the same may be said of the language of poetry and emotion, which by a kind of atavism approach as it were an earlier state of nature. Thus in Old High German sie stand und weinte could be expressed by stuont weinota (stand weinte).

The Conjunctions used in Co-ordination can be traced to pronouns or adverbs. Their function is either to indicate a sentence to come or to refer back to a preceding one. Several conjunctions may be used for both purposes, e.g. so, which was
originally an adverb; if we say *so ist die Sache vor sich gegangen*, ‘thus the affair happened,’ the account in question may have gone before, or may follow. The pronouns *der, dieser, jener* are of a like nature; they originally indicated something which could be literally pointed at (demonstrative); now they can be used to indicate something gone before or to come in speech. Some conjunctions may point in both directions, backwards or forwards, namely, those particles which, while conceding something, at the same time hint at an objection or restriction. They are all words which originally indicated acquiescence or confirmation, e.g. *gewiss, allerdings, ja, wohll, freilich* (comp. *ja freilich*), *zwar, Mhg. ze wäre, ‘in truth.’* The conjunctions *entweder* and *weder* point to something to come; *entweder* is Mhg. *eintweder*, ‘one of two, either,’ comp. *er wollte entweder siegen oder sterben*, which was properly *er wollte eins von beiden—siegen oder sterben*. *Weder* is the Mhg. *ne-weder*, meaning originally ‘neither of two,’ comp. *weder heiss noch kalt*, which meant originally *keins von beiden—heiss noch kalt*. The pronoun *er, sie, es* refers only to something gone before; it has, in fact, only a conjunctonal force in German, except when it stands for a pronoun of the first or second person (see p. 55). *Aber* and *sondern* are adverbs which point backwards; *aber* meant originally ‘again,’ comp. *aber und aber, abermals, ‘again.’* From being reiterative it became contradictory; if a person repeats the action of another, or replies after another has spoken, it is often in order to contradict. The connection may be observed in *entgegen*, ‘reply’ (comp. *E. again, against, and gainsay*), and *Wortwechsel, ‘dispute,* lit. ‘exchange of words.’ *Sondern* is connected with *besonders* (comp. *E. asunder*), and means ‘excepted.’ These particles were originally not purely contradictory; they rather admit that a statement is partly true, after denying that it is wholly true; comp. the expressions ‘*nur das ist richtig*’ and ‘*das aber ist richtig,*’ which mean about the same thing.

From these co-ordinative conjunctions proceeded a great part of the Subordinative conjunctions. The conjunction *dass, ‘that,* originally formed part not of the subordinate but of the principal clause. The sentence *ich weiss, dass er lebt* was originally *ich weiss das—er lebt; ich wiinsche, dass er komme* was originally *ich wiinsche das—er komme.* The conjunction *ehe* stood from the beginning in the subordinate clause, as an adverb referring
backwards, at least in so far as it followed upon a principal clause which was negative; the sentence *ich kehre nicht heim, ehe ich ihn finde* was at first *ich kehre nicht heim—ehe (= vorher, ‘first’) finde ich ihn.* When the principal clause is positive, the case is different. In the older language it is followed by the conjunctive mood in the subordinate clause; the words of Christ to Peter would stand as follows: ‘*du lougenst min, e danne der han kraeje (du verleugnest mich, ehe denn der Hahn krähe).*’ In the co-ordinative form the sentence would run as follows: *du verleugnest mich vorher, dann wird (or dann mag) der Hahn krähen.* We have seen above that the relative pronoun may also be supposed to have had a double origin. In order to understand how these and similar independent clauses became transformed into subordinate clauses, we must consider that the arrangement of the words as we now find them in the subordinate sentence was formerly also possible in the principal clause.

Certain conjunctions require not a double but at least a threefold independent clause in order to understand their development. The sentence ‘*da Herodes sah, dass er betrogen war, ward er zornig*’ would formerly have stood as follows in the co-ordinative form: *da sah Herodes, dass er betrogen war; er ward zornig,* and the adverb *da* at the head of the first clause referred back to something which had gone before. The causal *nun,* ‘now that, since,’ may be explained in the same manner; comp. *nun dem so ist, so wollen wir,* etc., ‘since that is so, we will,’ etc., which was originally ‘*nun ist dem so; so wollen wir,*’ etc., and this *nun* must necessarily have been preceded by some assertion. The conjunctions *seit, indem, nachdem* arose in like manner, the last two only during the New High German period.

One class of conjunctions never served to join co-ordinate clauses; in fact they have in themselves no connective force, but obtained this force only through the accidental circumstance of standing at the head of a clause which afterwards developed into a subordinate clause. To this class of connectives belong those pronouns which introduce a subordinate interrogative clause. The sentence *er fragt, was vorgeht* developed out of *er fragt: was geht vor?* Of the same kind is the conjunction *ob,* which in the older language not only introduced interrogative clauses, but also had the meaning ‘if.’ Both are founded upon the same idea, and *ob* was probably once an adverb with the
meaning of vielleicht, etwa, 'perhaps, perchance,' comp. 'wenn du Gott bist (Mhg. obe du got bist), so sage es uns;' which could even now be turned vielleicht bist du Gott; so sage es uns, and this is obviously almost equivalent to sage uns, ob du Gott bist. In obgleich, obshon, obwohl, on the other hand, the concessive meaning did not develop immediately out of that of the adverb, but out of the conditional meaning of ob; the same change is found in auch wenn, wenn auch, wenn gleich, wenn schon.

The conjunction geschweige dass stands isolated; geschweige was originally a complete sentence, i.e. the first person singular of the obsolete verb geschweigen, 'be silent,' and the conjunction was equivalent to ich geschweige davon, dass.

The youngest of all the classes of words is the Article. In the Indo-European epoch it had no existence; the Gothic language knows a definite but no indefinite article; the latter first appears in Old High German. The definite article developed out of the connective pronoun der, die, das. Der Mann meant originally 'that man,' i.e. the man just spoken of or about to be mentioned; only gradually it came to be used to indicate something already known. The indefinite article arose from the numeral ein, or, more correctly speaking, is identical with it, for there is no essential difference in the meaning of the two. One characteristic feature, however, the article has developed which the numeral does not possess: it implies that the object indicated by the article stands in no kind of connection with what has gone before, and by reason of this feature it might be called the contrary of a conjunction.

The Interjection is usually placed last among the classes of words by the grammarians. In respect to their signification, however, the interjections are not words but whole sentences: if we utter an exclamation of pain, such as 'Oh!' we mean as much as if we said 'how painful!' or, 'you are hurting me.' Thus the interjections may be placed, with regard to their meaning, on a line with those cases in which we use single words for complete sentences, the remainder of the sentence being easily supplied by the mind of the hearer, e.g. endlich! 'at last!' still! 'silence!' trauin! 'faith!' (Mhg. truwein = in Treuen, 'in truth'), ja, nein. Ja probably meant originally 'that (is so).' Comp. Provençal oc, 'yes,' from L. hoc. Nein is from Ohg. ni ein = nicht eines.
II. The Meaning of Inflectional Forms.

a. The Noun.

The Indo-European language possessed, besides a Nominative, Genitive, Dative, Accusative (and Vocative), also an Ablative, like Latin, a Locative, and an Instrumental case. The Ablative and Locative cases were the first to perish in the common Teutonic period, and their functions had to be taken over by the remaining cases. The Instrumental case was unknown in Gothic, but survived in the earlier stages of the West-Teutonic languages, though only in the singular. When this case was lost the surviving cases were still more burdened. The Accusative has remained free from mixture; the Genitive has perhaps adopted a small part of the Ablative function; the Dative is the great reservoir into which meanings of the most diverse kinds have flowed together. In 'einem etwas geben' we find a real old Dative; after the prepositions aus, von the Dative represents the old Ablative; auf, bei, in originally were followed by the Locative, and mit by the Instrumental.

But whilst being thus enriched in their meanings, certain cases have also suffered numerous losses, more especially the Dative and Accusative. The Dative, or the cases which it superseded, and the Accusative were able in the Indo-European language to express certain local relations without assistance from other words. In course of time, when the meanings of the cases became more and more manifold, local adverbs were added, in order more distinctly to emphasise the different functions, and these adverbs developed into prepositions (see p. 130). In the epoch in which we first meet with monuments of the German language, this process was almost concluded; the Instrumental was almost alone in being able for a time to dispense with prepositions. Thus in the 'Heliand,' an old Saxon poem of the early part of the ninth century, we find the expressions froust bifangan, 'encompassed by the frost;' thurstu endi hungru bithwungan, 'subdued by thirst and hunger.' Isolated cases are found of the Accusative used in a similar way, e.g. Mhg. er fuor vart unde berc, 'he went through forest and mountain.' During the later periods, in which the
development of the language may be followed in the literary works, the Genitive is the case which suffered most losses. Thus the Genitive of Manner has become lost; only a few traces of it remain in adverbial expressions (see p. 129). Such expressions as ‘gebet dem Kaiser, was des Kaisers ist, und Gott, was Gottes ist’ are no longer used, but must be turned was dem Kaiser, was Gott zukommt, gehört; this Genitive is now only found in a few phrases like du bist des Todes, ‘you are a dead man.’ Another relic of an older and freer use of the Genitive is seen in sich Rats erholen, ‘take counsel;’ this construction is more frequent in poetry, e.g. ‘es schenkte der Böhme des perlenden Weins.’ This Genitive is still used with verbs like ermangeln, erwähnen, geniessen, but it has an antiquated ring, and the Accusative is preferred. Again, the Mhg. ein glas wozzers, ein stücke brôtes is in Nhg. ein Glas Wasser, ein Stück Brot. In the two last mentioned cases the syntactical change may be accounted for by a formal change. The Nhg. pronoun es (Gen. sein) was in Mhg. ez, of which the Genitive was es, as in Mhg. ich bin es sat, ich bin es müede. (Similarly etwas gutes, nichts gutes contain an old Genitive—Mhg. etwas quotes, niht schoenes; the Nominative and Accusative were Mhg. quotes, schoenez.) At the end of the Middle High German period this final z was pronounced as s. These Genitives were not to be distinguished from the corresponding Accusatives and Nominatives, and were ultimately conceived as one or the other of these two cases. Thus, es geniessen, in which es was taken for an Accusative case, became the model for das Glück geniessen, and etwas gutes for etwas Brot, ein Stück Brot, etc.

In the German dialects of to-day the Genitive has almost entirely perished. The principal remnant of it is formed by apparent plurals, such as Pfarrers or ’s Pfarrers, Müllers or ’s Müller, i.e. the family of the Pfarrer, ‘parson,’ or of the Müller, ‘miller;’ by apparent adjectives, as in the expressions Münchner Kindl, ‘a native of Munich,’ Wiener Würste, ‘Vienna sausages.’ Here we have Genitive plural forms of personal names, das Kindl der Münchener, die Würste der Wiener. These combinations date from an earlier period, in which it was still possible to place a Genitive without an article before the substantive accompanying. The Genitive is now replaced by two constructions:
(a) By the preposition von with the Dative—comp. 'vom Menschelebe soll der dornig Freudebaum ein Abbild sy' (Hebel) = der dornige Freudebaum soll ein Abbild des Menschenlebens sein. This construction, which appears already in Old High German, probably had its origin in the Partitive Genitive. Er izzet des brôtes and er izzet von dem brôte were used indiscriminately, and as they were regarded as equivalent, the Ablative construction with von took the place of the Genitive when there could be no question of an activity to proceed from.

(b) By a kind of Possessive Dative, as meinem Vater sein Haus. This is a real old Dative, and originally stood, not with the substantive, but with the verb. Instead of meines Vaters Haus hat er gekauft one could say meinem Vater hat er sein Haus abgekauft, or, with a slightly different arrangement of words, er hat meinem Vater sein Haus abgekauft. In the latter sentence the Dative is mentally connected closely with the following substantive, through unconscious association with the expression meines Vaters Haus. After the model of this sentence one then said, er hat meinem Vater sein Haus gekauft, in which the Dative cannot be brought into connection with the verb.

In Low German we may observe a slight tendency to do away with the Dative also; in its place appears an with the Accusative. This is not uncommon in Dutch, and is the rule in the Romance languages (comp. Fr. à from Lat. ad). Thus in Fritz Reuter we find the sentence 'an Lowise kunn sei von ehren Fund nicks nich seggen' = der Luise konnte sie von ihrem Fund nichts sagen.

If we consider the terminations of the noun only with respect to their case meanings, the substantive and adjective show no difference. The terminations of the adjective, besides corresponding to the different cases, possess a particular shade of meaning which is foreign to the substantive. The adjective has double terminations, the so-called strong and the weak (or consonant) terminations, and besides an uninflected form, i.e. properly a form which has lost its inflexional termination (see p. 107). The weak endings have a definite meaning, i.e. they attribute the quality to a definite individual, and therefore stand after the definite article and definite pronouns. The strong inflexion, on the other hand, indicates qualities of something indefinite, and is joined to the indefinite article and
the like. The uninflected form is neuter, and can have both meanings; it is now only used predicatively. This was, however, not always the case; the uninflected form could once be used attributively. It could be placed after the substantive, as in Röslein rot, still used in old poetic style; or between the article and substantive, as in Mhg. ein guot kint, daz wilt swin (das wilde Schwein). The latter construction explains the existence of the numerous compound words, of which the first member is an adjective, e.g. Gelbschnabel, Grünspecht, Rundkopf, Wildschwein, etc.

Not only the uninflected forms were used attributively in Old German, but the strong forms of the adjective could also be used predicatively, e.g. Mhg. daz glas ist vollez = das Glas ist voll, and attributively when following the substantive, e.g. Mhg. ein glas vollez wazzers = ein Glas voll Wasser. Here we have a key to the strange Nhg. expression, as eine Schüssel voller Kirschen; this voller was originally the nominative singular of the masculine, and was correct in ein Tisch voller Kirschen = plenus cerisiarum. When this position of the inflected adjective was no longer possible, voller was taken to be a genitive, and it is now used after substantives of all genders, singular or plural. The old nominatives halber and selber, now used almost adverbially, are to be explained in a similar manner.

b. The Verb.

The most important feature about the different forms of the verb is the signification of the Tenses and Moods. In the verb as in the noun we may observe the phenomenon that extension and restriction of meaning constantly go side by side.

The Indo-European tongue possessed not less than four or five different tense-forms, viz. Present, Future, (Imperfect,) Aorist, Perfect. In place of this wealth the Teutonic had and has only two simple forms, the Present and a Preterite. In the earliest German period the Present form united in itself the meanings of the present and future, but it could not as now be used in narrative. In Old High German, however, the need was felt of more particularly indicating the Future; for this purpose the verb sollen was used, and joined to the Infinitive, Ohg. ich skal (soll) lesan = ich werde lesen, comp. E. I shall read. An action
was declared to be impending by a desire being expressed that it should occur; the cause is put for the usual effect (see p. 62). At the end of the Middle High German period another periphrastic form appears, which has somewhat of a Future character, i.e. werden, with the participle, e.g. er wirt sehende (er wird sehend), an analogous expression to er wird alt. From this and similar constructions arose the present mode of forming the future tense. Not, however, by dropping the participial ending; but rather it had become customary in other cases to use the participle and infinitive as equivalent to one another: er gät suochende (er geht suchend), er kumt bitende (er kommt bittend) meant about the same thing as er gät suochen, 'he goes to seek;' er kumt biten, 'he comes to beg.' It is thus conceivable how the infinitive replaced the participle after werden, er wird sehen for er wirt sehende.

The development of a new form for the Future having somewhat relieved the Present tense-form, the latter became more capable of taking over new functions; and so we find that since the fifteenth century it has been used as a Past tense in order to lend more vividness to the narrative.

The German Preterite, which in its form corresponds to the Indo-European Perfect (see p. 115), takes in the earliest period the place of the Indo-European Imperfect, Aorist, and Perfect. But from the Old High German period it began to lose the particular meaning which originally belonged to it; the Present perfect, or the completion of an action, was rendered by combinations of sein or haben with the past participle. The periphrastic form with sein is sufficiently clear; ich bin gekommen is equivalent to ich bin ein gekommener, 'I am one (who is) come.' The different combinations with haben are not all of equal origin. They could at first be formed only of verbs, which were capable of being used in the passive, e.g. 'er hat ihn gefunden,' which meant 'he has (or possesses) him found,' the cause of the possession being usually the previous action of finding. Thus er hat gefunden could be regarded as equivalent to er fand; after this model was afterwards formed with a neuter verb, er hat geschlafen besides er schlief.

In the modern German dialects the use of the Preterite has become still more restricted. On the entire Upper German territory the Preterite is used only in the Conjunctive mood; in the Indi-
cative mood it has been replaced by periphrastic forms with \textit{haben} or \textit{sein}. The beginning of this development coincides with the time in which the historical Present was first used in German, the second half of the fifteenth century.

The different tense-forms may be used without distinction in the principal or dependent clauses. With respect to the moods, however, the case is different in the present state of things. All subordination originally goes back to a co-ordination, and so one might think that there could be no difference in the meaning of the moods in the principal and the dependent clause. This is contradicted by the facts. In the first place, one or the other mode of use has disappeared in the principal which still survives in the dependent clause. Secondly, a certain mood can and could, under certain circumstances, be used in the dependent clause in cases in which it could never have been used in the principal clause; by the power of analogy a Conjunctive, for example, came to be used as a sign of formal dependence, where the meaning of the sentence in itself would require an Indicative.

German possesses, if we leave out of account the Imperative, which is properly an interjection, and the Infinitive and Participle, which are nouns, only two moods, the Indicative and Conjunctive. The latter formally corresponds to the Greek Optative; \textit{er grabe} is Gothic \textit{grabai} = Gk. \gamma\rho\alpha\phi\omicron\upsilon\iota; but it unites the meanings of the Greek Conjunctive and Optative. The Indo-European Optative again having a double meaning, a wishing and a presuming, we might say that the German Conjunctive has a threefold task. But the wishing function of the Optative is closely related to the Imperative force of the Conjunctive, and may be considered together with the latter, the more so as they cannot be strictly separated in German. In clear distinction from them stands the presuming force of the Optative.

The Conjunctive of command and desire can be used both in independent clauses, e.g. \textit{er gehe}, 'let him go;'; \textit{küme er doch}, 'O that he would come!' and in dependent clauses. In the dependent clause it stands especially after verbs of desire and command, e.g. \textit{ich befehle, dass er gehe}. Here the Conjunctive was absolutely necessary from the beginning. Now the Indicative is frequently used, especially if the sentence is in the pre-
sent, e.g. *ich wünsche, dass er geht* (but only, *er wünschte, dass er ginge*). The Indicative is here due to analogy of constructions like *ich höre, sehe, weiss, dass er kommt*. The subordination in *ich wünsche, dass er gehe* may be explained by an original co-ordination *ich wünsche das—er gehe*, as we have seen when considering the conjunctions (see p. 131).

In Conditional sentences, in which the conjunction is omitted, e.g. *käme er, er wäre willkommen*, 'if he came, he would be welcome,' the Conjunctive may be explained as having had originally an imperative or optative force, comp. *käme er (doch)! er wäre willkommen*, 'O that he would come! he would be welcome.' We have shown, when we were considering the conjunctions, that conditional sentences are closely related to concessive, so that the sentence ' *sei dem auch so, ich bleibe dabei*,' 'though that be so, I stand by my assertion,' may have stood originally for ' *sei dem auch so!* ich bleibe dabei,' 'let that be so!' etc.

If we examine the above examples we cannot but remark on the slight difference which exists between the signification of the Present conjunctive and that of the Past conjunctive. We should expect the former to contain a distinct reference to present time, and the latter to the past. But it appears that neither in Indo-European nor in Teutonic did a real temporal difference exist between the Conjunctive (or Optative) of the Present and the same form of the Perfect.

With respect to the Potential Optative, the presumptive force now only appears distinctly in one use, namely, the hypothetical assertion. Here the Past conjunctive alone is used, with a distinct reference to the present, both in the principal and in the dependent clause, e.g. *ich könnte es thun*, 'I could (might) do it;' *ich weiss, dass er es thun könnte*, 'I know that he could do it (if he would).’ By a peculiar transference this hypothetical conjunctive is occasionally used, even when no condition is implied; when, for example, persons have reached a certain point of their journey, they remark ' *da wären wir,* 'here we are.' The unexpressed thought seems to be somewhat as follows: *da sind wir; es wäre schön, wenn wir schon weiter wären.* At the moment when the fact (of having reached the point in question) is being stated, the mind thinks of the following conditional clause, and the assertion of the fact assumes the hypothetical form.

The potential Present Conjunctive continues now only in the
secondary clause, i.e. in the dependent assertion (oblique oration) and in the indirect question, e.g. er glaubt, dass es heiss sei, 'he thinks it is hot;' er fragt, ob es heiss sei, 'he asks whether it is hot,' which point to an older construction, er glaubt, es ist wohl heiss; er fragt: ist es vielleicht heiss? In the earliest period of German er sei even in an independent sentence could stand for er ist wohl. In the oratio obliqua this Present conjunctive alternates with the Preterite Conjunctive without there being any general difference in the meaning of the two forms. In High German the Present Conjunctive is preferred on the whole; the Preterite is, however, always used when the Present Conjunctive is not to be distinguished from the corresponding Indicative tense-form, as in the forms of the plural; thus, we say er sagt (or sagte), sie hätten das Fieber, 'he says (said) that they have (had) the fever,' but we say er sagt (or sagte), er habe das Fieber, or more rarely er hätte das Fieber.

In the dialects this uncertainty is removed; the Low German and the Middle German dialects, as well as the South Franconian, the East Franconian and Austrian have chosen the Preterite Conjunctive, whilst the Alemanian and Bavarian dialects use only the Present Conjunctive.

These deviations and waverings were preceded by a fixed rule, coinciding with the so-called Consecution of Tenses in Latin; in Old German the Present tense in the principal clause was followed by the Present in the dependent clause, and the Preterite by a Preterite, e.g. er waenet, ez si=er wühnt, es sei, 'he thinks it is so,' but er wânte, ez ware=er wühnte, es wäre, 'he thought it was so.'

In order to comprehend this rule we must consider the manner in which dependent speech in general arose. The oratio obliqua is foreign to the simple language of the people. They repeat the words of another as if the observations contained in them were being made by themselves; thus when Paris declared in the Iliad, 'ich will alle die Schätze zurückgeben, die ich aus Argos mitgebracht,' 'I will return all the treasures which I brought from Argos,' the message, as delivered to the Achæans, would be as follows: 'Priamos gebot, den Ausspruch des Paris euch zu verkünden; er will alles zurückgeben, was er mitgebracht hat aus Argos.' If then we take a sentence as er bringt Botschaft, der Kaiser sei tot (Mhg. er bringet maere, daz der keiser tôt si), it must
in an earlier period have stood er bringt Botschaft; der Kaiser ist tot, or if the sentence is put in past time: er brachte Botschaft; der Kaiser war tot. If the purport of the message was clothed in the presumptive form, the Present Indicative was replaced by the potential Optative form, which, as we have seen, could formerly stand in the independent sentence. And after the model of er bringet maere, er sit tot, the Conjunctive was also used in the preterite form of the sentence; er brâhte maere, er was tot changed to er brâhte maere, er waere tot.

It may be observed in passing that the above origin of the oratio obliqua also explains the remarkable fact that the personal pronoun of the direct speech is changed in the indirect speech. Thus er wusste: ‘ich bin krank’ becomes in indirect speech er wusste, er waere krank; for this goes back to a simple sentence consisting of two independent clauses er wusste es, er war krank, for which we might even substitute the reversed order, er war krank; er wusste es.

The Old German rule of the Consecution of Tenses prevailed till the fifteenth century. When the Present came to be employed as a narrative or historical tense, usage began to waver. From a formal point of view, this tense should have been followed by a Present; but its meaning demanded a Preterite in the dependent clause. From the narrative Present this wavering passed over to the ordinary Present, and from the narrative present to the narrative preterite.
CHAPTER VI.

PROPER NAMES.

From a theoretical point of view the Proper names should not require to be treated in a separate chapter, for every proper name was formerly an ordinary noun, substantive or adjective, and is consequently subject to the same laws of formation and change as the noun. The transition from a common noun to a proper name is nothing but a restriction of application or meaning, such as takes place in all changes of meaning (see above, p. 57). But practically proper names have so many peculiarities, which for the most part are closely connected with their nature as such, that it will be advisable to consider them separately.

I. Personal Names.

The present custom, according to which every person has at least two names—a 'Christian' name and a surname—is a comparatively recent one. The old Germans, as a rule, possessed only a single name. This name was formed in a particular way—it was always compounded of two parts. This was also the case with Greek names, and dates, without doubt, from the Indo-European times. With this name the child was endowed with all the qualities which man was expected to possess, or which adorned woman. Thus, Albert or Albrecht, Ohg. Adalbreht, was = der Adelsglänzende, 'nobility-bright;' Gerbert = der Speer- glänzende, 'spear-bright;' Eckehart, from Ecke, 'edge of the sword,' and Hart, 'firm;' Friedrich, from Friede, 'peace,' and Rich, 'mighty;' Gottschall, from Gott and schalk, 'servant;' Not- burga, from Not, 'distress, need,' and Burg, 'shelter;' Sigelinde, from Sige, 'victory,' and Lint, 'serpent;' Gertrud, from Ger, 'spear,' and traut = vertraut, 'familiar.'

One is apt to become enthusiastic over the force and the
poetical ring of these old German names. But it would be a mistake to suppose that the old Germans themselves were, or could be, conscious of the poetry of all or even the greater part of these names. In many cases the words which formed the constituents of proper names had already perished in the living language, and consequently no meaning could be attached to them. The old Germans of historical times knew no more than we do what was the meaning of the first part of Ingeborg or Ingraban; they were quite ignorant of the fact that the names Anselm (= Anshelm), Ansgar, Oswald (= Answald), contained as their first part the word ans = 'god' (comp. the Asir of Norse mythology). On the whole, however, the Teutons strove to attach a certain degree of meaning to their names, for names of which both parts had become unintelligible are rare, and the obscure words are much less frequently found in the second part of the compound than in the first. The second part in proper names, as in all compound words, is the one which bears the principal meaning.

It might happen, too, that both stems of which proper names were compounded still formed part of the living language, but that their meaning was obscured by assimilation, weakening of vowels, and other changes taking place in the compounds which did not affect the simple words themselves. Thus the names, Ohg. Livpolt, Liutold, Mod. German, Leopold, Leuthold, were no longer felt to be compounded of liut (= people), bald, E. bold, and liut-wald = volkswaltend. Even when both components of a name were etymologically clear, the result might be an unintelligible whole, unintelligible even to modern scholars, e.g. Wolfrum, Ohg. Wolfraban = wolf-raven, which offers no satisfactory sense, or Hildegunde, both parts of which denoted 'battle.' Rutland = Roland was literally 'renown-land,' and Kunigunde = 'race-battle.' These names are in themselves not devoid of logical sense; but how should a man have been named 'land of renown,' or a woman 'battle of the races'? The explanation is to be found partly in the circumstance already mentioned, that frequently the single members of the compound name had become obscure. This gave rise to a feeling that it was not necessary for names to be quite transparent, but that it sufficed if newly formed names contained the ingredients of old-established ones. We may add another circu-
stance. The custom no doubt prevailed to a large extent of forming a child's name of a part of the father's and a part of the mother's name; thus *Hildegunde* might have been the daughter of *Hildebrand*, 'battle-sword,' and *Gundrun* (= *Guðrun*), 'battle-magician.' This custom was evidently based on the idea that a connection between things is best expressed by agreement of sounds. (In a Middle High German tale a maiden named *Engeltrut*, who has two suitors, *Engelhard* and *Dietrich*, prefers the former on the ground that his name harmonised better with her own.)

The Old German names had one disadvantage—that of being too long and inconvenient for everyday use, and therefore suffered considerable alterations. As nowadays *Charlotte*, *Elise*, *Johannes*, *Nikolaus* are abbreviated to *Lotte*, *Lise*, *Hans*, *Klaus*, by retaining the syllables which have the highest pitch, and are almost alone heard when shouted aloud, so also the Old German names had to suffer abbreviations. The shortened forms (pet names) arose in two ways:

1. One of the two components—in almost every case the second—was quite dropped; the abbreviated form ended in *o* or *i*, e.g. *Ingo* for *Ingraban*, *Kuno* for *Kuonrat*, *Folko* for *Volcwart*. If the first part of the name was itself a derivative noun, the suffix could be dropped in the pet form, e.g. from *Eberhard* was formed *Ebaro* or *Ebo*, from *Irminrich* (= *Ermenrich*), *Irmino* or *Irmo*, from *Raginbald*, *Ragano* or *Rago*. The termination *i* is still in living use, especially in Alemanic, where *Konrad*, *Rudolf* (Ogh. *hrut-wolf*, 'fame-wolf'), *Walther* (= *Waltend-Heer*) have the pet forms *Kuoni*, *Ruodi*, *Wälti*. We are able to assert that *Walther* is abbreviated into *Wälti*, but we cannot say with equal certainty that *Wälti* is in every case the short form for *Walther*, for it is evident that the same pet form may have been used for different names; thus *Gero* might be the abbreviation of *Gerbert* (= 'spear-bright'), *Gerhard* (= 'spear-strong'), *Gernot* ('spear-need'), *Gerwig* ('spear-battle'), or *Gerwin* ('spear-friend'), etc.

2. The initial sound of the second part of the compound is included in the abbreviated form; this formation produces fewer ambiguous forms. Thus *Sigbert*, *Sigbald* are abbreviated to *Sibo* (for *Sigbo*), New High German *Seib*; from *Sigfried* we have *Siffo* (for *Sijo*), from *Sigimar*, 'victory-famed,' and *Simmund*, 'victory-hand,' *Simo* (for *Sigmo*).
From names thus abbreviated we have a variety of derivative forms, which probably all originally had a diminutive meaning. The suffixes *-in*, *-ilo* are spread over the whole German territory, the suffix *-iko* belongs more especially to Low German territory, *-izo*, *-zo* to Upper German. Double derivative forms are also met with in *-ilin*, *-ildn*, *-liko*, *-zilo*, *-ziko*, *-zilm*. Thus the simple abbreviation of names compounded with *diet*, Ohg. *diot* = 'folk,' is *Dioto*. From this we find various derivative forms, e.g. *Diotin, Diotila* (whence the present names *Diedel*, *Thilo*, *Tilly, Till*), *Diotiko* (found in the modern names *Tiedge, Tieck, Deke*), *Diozo* (whence *Dietze, Diez*), *Diotilin, Diotikin, Diozilo, Dioziko, Diozilin*. A few abbreviations of this nature are still common beside the full names, as *Fritz* beside *Friedrich, Heintz* and *Heinrich, Kuntz* and *Konrad, Utz* and *Ulrich*.

To this Old German stock of names was added, after the introduction of Christianity, an abundance of foreign names, partly Hebrew, partly Greek, and partly Latin. Of these names similar abbreviations and diminutives were formed as from the Teutonic names; thus *Nikolaus* appears as *Nickel* and as *Klaus, Johannes* as *Johann, John, Jan, Hannes, Hans*, and *Hansel, Jakob* as *Jack, Jüggi, Jock, Jockel, Kob, Köbel*, and *Köbi*. In Bâle *Beppi* stands for *Johann Jakob*.

Until Middle High German times it was customary throughout Germany to be satisfied with one name. The origin of the present system of double names is immediately connected with the development of the freedom of the cities, the rise of commerce and the consequent increase of deeds of sale and contract among the citizens. They are first found in the towns, and from them penetrated slowly into the country districts. In the towns of Southern Germany and on the Rhine double names began to be used as early as the twelfth century, whilst in Middle and North Germany they do not appear until the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. In many parts of the country the serfs had no second name until the sixteenth century; the Frisians and the Jews did not generally adopt them until officially compelled to do so in the last and the present centuries.

For the first of the two names (personal names) the old German or foreign names continued to be used; the second names (surnames) were formed in a variety of ways. In one
respect the latter form a contrast to the former. The individual names were for the most part bestowed upon children by their own people; the more modern surnames were more especially created by strangers. Within the family the need of any other than the baptismal name is seldom felt.

The chief characteristic of family names is that they are handed down from one generation to another. This inheritance has not indeed always been as regular as we are apt to imagine; even in quite recent times examples may be shown of families having changed their names.

The manner in which names came to be inherited may be best understood from those names taken from residence, which, being fixed, continued as the cause of the name-giving for many generations. In some districts, e.g. in the Black Forest, it may be observed even to this day that the tenants or proprietors of a farm continue to take their name from the same, even when they change. As regards the form of family names, we may distinguish three modes of formation. Firstly, the name of the place is preceded by a preposition, e.g. Amthor (=an dem Thor), Aus'm Wörth (aus dem Wörth or Wert, 'island'), Imhof (=in dem Hof), Thorbeke (Lg. to der beke = Hg. am Bach, comp. E. beck), Ueberweg (=über Weg), von der Tann, Zumbusch (=zu dem Busch). Secondly, a derivative in -er is formed from the name of the place; of this kind are the numerous names ending in -bacher, -hauser, -häuser, -hafer, -röder, -reiter, -reuter (the three last named from roden or reuten, E. root, hence places which have been cleared for cultivation), etc. Thirdly, the name of the locality may have lost any outward sign of having been applied to a person, e.g. Berg, Busch, Stein, Strauch, Steinthal, Amerbach, Ollendorf.

According to their origin, these classes of family names are as numerous as are the different kinds of local names. One class of names may be easily mistaken. As nowadays inns and occasionally apothecaries' shops have signs, after which they are named, so in the Middle Ages it was the general custom—a custom still prevailing to a large extent in Switzerland—to name dwelling-houses after their signs. These signs might be animals, plants, or any other objects. Thus the inventor of the art of printing took his name Gensfleisch from the sign upon his house. A man of the name of Drach or Ochs would not be
so called on account of any peculiarity of disposition, but probably because he was born in a house 'at the sign of the dragon' or 'ox.'

Another cause of names being handed down from one generation to another was given when they were taken from trade or occupation, for these were inherited with great regularity from father to son. To this class belong the most common of the family names, e.g. Meier from Lat. major, 'steward of an estate,' Müller, Schmidt, Schneider, Schultze, Mhg. schult-heize. Many old industries and occupations, which have themselves died out, survive only in names of this kind, as Armbruster, 'maker of cross-bows;' Bogner, 'bow-maker;' Falkner, 'falconer;' Plattner, 'maker of Harnischplatten, i.e. breastplates,' Pfeilstickcr, 'maker of arrow-sticks.'

What was the origin of family names like Bischoff, Herzog, Kaiser, König, etc.? They may in part have been taken from house-signs; or they may have originated in the dramatic plays and processions, which formed a favourite popular amusement in the Middle Ages. A person who habitually acted the part of a prince, emperor, or pope on such occasions would be known by that title amongst his neighbours.

We should expect the principle of inheritance to have prevailed in such cases where the father's name was added to the baptismal name of the son. In former times, however, the son was frequently named after his grandfather instead of his father, so that the same name occurred only in every second generation. In order to explain the regular inheritance of this and the following classes of names we must assume them to have followed the model of the classes already discussed.

All the numerous old individual names may occur as family names. Sometimes the word Sohn, 'son,' was added to the father's name; this manner of forming surnames prevailed especially in Low German territory (comp. English and Scandinavian), as Mathisson, 'the son of Mathias,' Andresen, Hansen, or Jansen, 'the son of Andreas, Hans, or Jan.' Sometimes the father's name was put in the genitive, e.g. Ebers, 'the son of Eber or Ebor,' an abbreviation of Eberhard; Helmholz, formed by folk-etymology from Helmoldes, Helmolds, 'son of Helmwald;' Wilken, 'son of Wilke,' Ohg. Williko, a pet form of Wilhelm.

The most common method is the simple addition of the
father's name to the baptismal name, e.g. Robert Franz, Friedrich Friedrich, Hermann Paul, etc. A fourth kind of patronymic formation might be mentioned, viz. derivatives in -ing or -ung, e.g. die Karolinger, 'the descendants of Karl;' die Wölsungen, 'descendants of Wölse.' But it is difficult to determine how far this manner of formation was active at the time when double names began to be used, i.e. whether a Hartung or Henning was so named because he was the son of Harto (abbreviation of Hartmut or Hartwig) or Henno (= Heinrich), or because his father, who had only one name, was already called Hartung or Henning.

We find isolated cases of the mother's name being inherited. These names were perhaps given to posthumous sons. Thus Hilgard occurs as a family name, and Lieske may be the remote descendant of an Elisabet.

Of family names given on account of some quality of the mind or body it is conceivable that their inheritance would in individual cases follow as a matter of course; for especially physical peculiarities are occasionally transferred to one's descendants. But in general names of this kind, no doubt, became finally fixed after the model of the other categories.

The manner in which names of qualities are given to persons differs in no wise from the manner in which things in general are named from their characteristics; nearly all the different kinds of metaphors which appear in the latter are possible also in the former.

The classes of names already mentioned have in common that they rest upon some quality, etc., actually present. The great mass of names were thus formed, and for a good reason; the majority of names were not chosen by the persons bearing them, but bestowed by others, and such bestowal would have no meaning unless the name helped us to recognise the persons by indicating some prominent peculiarity. But when a person is in a position to choose a name for himself, the absence of this cause may give rise to the creation of what may be called fancy names. Such was the case at the time when the Jews were compelled to adopt family names; hence they gave themselves names taken from imaginary places, as Blumenthal, Löventhal, Rosenthal, or from some precious or brilliant object chosen at will, as Bernstein, 'amber,' Goldmark, Goldstein, Rubinstein, Saphir, etc.
The number of roots from which proper names can be formed causes a sufficient variety in the latter, and this variety is increased by other circumstances. In the first place by dialectical differences; names have a different development in different localities, and different words are used in different dialects for the same thing: thus *Hafner, Pötter, Töpper*, denote the same profession, *E. Potter*, similarly *Binder, Böttcher, Büttner, Fasser, Küfer, Scheffler, E. Cooper*. In the second place by the peculiar position which proper names occupy in language. We have seen (see p. 42) that not every sound of a spoken word is heard, but only a part of it, the remainder being supplied by the consciousness of the hearer. Now proper names always appear, irrespective of their different cases, in exactly the same function; they cannot combine into groups, nor have they any etymological support; still less is it possible to guess the form of a name by the context of the sentence. Thus, on the one hand, the correct understanding and consequently the correct reproduction of a proper name is very difficult, and, on the other hand, these names are greatly exposed to folk-etymological corruption and arbitrary distortion.

It follows from the above that the contrary of the formerly prevalent idea that proper names are more conservative in their development than other words is true. It is true that in names like *Bruno, Hugo, Otto* the Old High German full final syllables are still pronounced, whilst in other words they have long changed to *e*, or dropped off altogether. But these old names have been artificially preserved through Latin documents; the true popular and phonetically developed forms of those names are *Braun(e), Hauck, Ott(e)*, which now only appear as family names.

Transformations of proper names are sometimes made consciously, as when the Humanists translated their names into Latin or Greek, e.g. *Regiomontanus* (Johann Müller v. Konigsberg), or when names are concealed under anagrams, e.g. the author of the *Simplicissimus*, Hans Christoph von Grimmelshausen, who produced a number of pseudonyms out of his own name, *Samuel Greifnson von Hirschfeld, German Schleifheim von Sulisfor*, *Israel Fromschmidt von Hugenfels*.

After what has been said it is possible that the most various names may have sprung from one and the same root. But on
the other hand it is conceivable that names of a very different kind and origin have met together in one and the same form. A frequent case is that of names which may be, or which appear to be, of quite modern formation, from some substantive or adjective, whilst they are more probably to be explained as abbreviations of old names. Thus the name Rot might be quite modern, bestowed upon a man on account of the colour of his hair; or it may be the old pet form Rodo or Hrodo of the old names Hrodbert, Hrodger, Hrodhari, etc. (hrød = 'fame'). Bär and Wolf may be quite recent names adopted by Jewish families (the Jews frequently took the names of animals or birds), or they may be abbreviated forms of old German names, as Berwald, Berwin, Wolfgang, Wolfger, Wolfhard. Names like Dank, Eisen, Wald are not likely to be from the modern substantives, but rather from the old German Danko, Iso, Waldo, pet forms for Dankwurt, Isanhard, Walther, or other compound names.

The derivation of family names is, as we see, surrounded by considerable difficulties. Where the history of a family is not known, where we meet with the modern forms of a name only, without any documentary evidence of its previous forms, we must in many cases remain satisfied with conjecture, without any hope of a certain explanation.

II. Names of Places.

When we come to the consideration of the origin and explanation of names of places, there arises a difficulty which scarcely ever presents itself in the case of personal names: the names of places date in part from the period when Celts still lived upon German soil, and our knowledge of the old Celtic language is still extremely deficient. The Celtic is most strongly represented in the names of rivers and streams, as well as of inhabited places, less in the names of mountains and hills, and least in those of fields. The latter are for by far the most part a consequence of a more extended cultivation of forests and lands. Of certain Celtic origin are amongst others the names of the rivers Donau, Rhein, Main, Isar, and of the towns Breisach (older Brisiacum), Mainz (Moguntiacum—no connection, therefore, with the Main), Solothurn (Solodurum), Worms (Borbetomagus).
On the other hand, the investigation of names of places has an advantage which is absent in that of personal names. The latter are in part—like the Old German compound names—given to children immediately after birth; they could not, therefore, for the most part denote qualities which were present, but only those which were hoped for. Even though the persons did possess the characteristics denoted by their names, yet we have as a rule no means of knowing it. Places, on the contrary, we have immediately before our eyes, and are able to see in most cases what were the characteristics which gave rise to their names.

The name of a place may have reference (a) to its situation, e.g. Hochhausen, Hochheim, Berghausen, Bergheim, Thalhausen, Thalheim, Wertheim = das Heim auf dem Wert (island), Neckarhausen (Neckar, name of a river), Rheinheim; (b) from certain characteristics, such as the plants or animals which are found there, e.g. Aschbach (ash-brook), Birkenau (birch-meadow), Buchenbach (beech-brook), Haslau, i.e. Haselau (hazel-meadow), Ibenbach (yew-brook, Nhg. Eibe), Seligenstadt (a popular corruption, from Ohg. salaha, E. sallow, a kind of willow, Nhg. Salweide), Weidenau (willow-meadow), Auerbach (capercailzie brook), Bebra (older Biber-aha, beaver water), Habsburg, i.e. Habichtsburg (hawks-burg), Rossbach (horse-brook), Speßart (older Spehtes-hart, woodpecker-wood, Nhg. Specht), Ziegenhain (goat-grove); (c) from the use to which it has been put by man, the works which are carried on there. Thus names formed with the word ‘mill’ are very numerous, e.g. Mühlbach, Molenbeck, Mühlhausen, Mühlheim, or, with an older word for ‘mill,’ Kernbach, Kehrenbach, Kirnbach, from Ohg. quirn, Ags. cwyrn = a quern or handmill. The numerous names ending in -reut, -rode indicate that formerly the forest-land had been cleared or rooted out at that place. Places at which assemblages of the people were held are indicated by names like Detmold, formerly Thietmella (from Ohg. thiot, ‘people,’ and mahal, ‘speech’).

The three classes of names mentioned are of nearly equal antiquity. The individual names themselves may have been formed at very different periods, but the principle of formation dates equally in all cases from the earliest times in which names were given. Names formed from those of the possessors or inhabitants of a place are considerably more recent; their rise shows how the connection between the owner and the soil
became gradually more and more firm. In this class of names we meet again with all the old German personal names; comp. Bamberg (older Babenberg, hill of Babo, the abbreviated form of names beginning with *badu* = 'battle'), Diedenhofen, the farm of Dioto (see p. 146), Hersfeld = the field of Hariulf (= Heerwolf), Rüdesheim = the home of Rudolf; Witgenstein = the stone of Witiko (abbreviated form of Witekind, etc.) The possessor may be designated by his office or rank alone, comp. Bischofsheim, Herzogenhorn, Kaiserwörth (emperor's island), Königstein. In many cases a place is named, not after an individual possessor or inhabitant, but after a number; the name may be that of the tribe to which they belong, e.g. Sachsenhausen, Grosssachsen, and Liitzelsachsen (on the Baden Bergstrasse), or it may be formed from that of the ancestor, as in the case of the greater part of the names ending in *-ingen* (-ing), *-ungen*; or, lastly, it may be formed from the trade or occupation. This, the most modern category, is met with especially in the names of streets.

Not often, indeed, do we obtain information from historical sources as to the exact persons after whom places are named. But also in the case of names taken from the position of a place, its use, the character of its soil, etc., it may happen that the distinguishing features which suggested the name no longer exist. They may have suffered a change: where there was once swampy ground, and where the names terminate in *-bruch, -moos, -ried*, we may now find dry land; or places situated in pine-forest country may have names derived from 'beech' or 'oak,' showing that the latter kinds of trees have yielded to the former. The contradiction thus arising between the name and the thing named may even find expression in language; occasionally the old name is transformed to suit the new circumstances, so that we find names like Birkenücker, Birkenfeld, 'birch-field;' Eichenücker, 'oak-field;' Eschfeld, 'ash-field.'

In numerous cases the name did not proceed from the spot which now bears it. A name originally attached to a certain locality could easily extend to neighbouring places, whence we have the many names of villages whose names end in *-au, -bach, -feld, -wald*. But a name could be also transferred to far-distant regions, as when settlers bestowed the cherished name of their native place on a colony; thus *Frankfort-on-the-Oder* has very little connection with the race of the Franks.
Lastly, we find names of places, as well as names of persons, in which there is hardly any connection between the name and the thing, and where imagination has been allowed to run free, so that quite abstract words appear as names of places; in many of such cases the inscription or motto of a single house was the model followed. Names ending in -lust and -ruhe are numerous; and one may even meet with names of places as Aergerniss, Eintracht (comp. Concord), Gelegenheit, Missgunst, Unverzug.

By far the greatest part of local names are compound words, like the old German personal names. At the same time simple words are not uncommon, and it is just among the oldest names of places that they are most strongly represented. Many names, like the pet forms of personal names, give us the impression of being simple names, whilst in reality they have sprung from compound names. As the son of Dietrich could be shortly called Dietrichs, so also Dietrichs could signify the 'field or the house of Dietrich;' the second part of the compound was not expressed, because it could easily be supplied by the mind.

Another kind of ellipsis may be mentioned. Names of places appear to us as being in the nominative case; but only a small number of them were so originally, the remainder answered to the question 'where?' and stood in the dative case, with a preposition, generally the preposition zu. The preposition has survived in a few isolated cases, e.g. Andermatt = an der Matt, Zermatt = zu der Matt, 'by the meadow' (for other examples see p. 147). In the majority of cases the preposition has disappeared; but often enough the form of the name itself betrays its dative origin. Thus the names ending in -felden, -hausen, -hofen, -ingen, -lon (Ohg. löhun, dat. plur. of löh, 'grove'), -stetten, -walden are dative plural forms; the same case exists in a number of names of countries, as Baiern, Franken, Hessen, Sachsen, Schwaben, which are nothing else than the plurals of the names of peoples, originally ze den Baiern, ze den Franken, etc. Often the second part of the compound has now a nominative form, but the first part contains the dative of an adjective, e.g. Breitenfeld, Hohen twin, Homburg (=Hohenburg), Stolzenfels, Weissenburg or Wittenberg (Lg. witt = weiss).

Names of places, as well as personal names, are naturally subject to the ordinary phonetic laws. In them may be ob-
served especially the weakening of full compound forms and the assimilation of consonants, two phenomena which we can much less frequently postulate in the ordinary material of language; add to this that in the ordinary compounds the different parts are very often preserved more intact through the influence of the independent simple words. The old name Ruotboldisrode has become weakened to Ruperath, Markberteshusun is now Merkshausen, and Alahmuntinga has changed to Allmendingen. The syllable -ers now standing at the end of the first member of many compound local names may represent a variety of second members of old names of persons. Compare Herbersdorf from Heribrehtesdorf, 'village of Heribrecht (Herbert),' Elfershausen from Adalfrideshusum, 'house of Adelfried (Alfred);' Liggersdorf from Liutcartisdorf, Ollersbach from Adalgerisbach, Volkersdorf from Folchardesdorf, Einersheim from Einheresheim, Drommersheim from Truhtmaresheim, Ballersheim from Baldrolodesheim, Oggersheim from Agridesheim, Frankershausen from Francwardeshusun. The termination -sen of names of places is usually a weakened form of -hüsen (-hausen); but it may also be the remains of the ending -es-heim. The termination -ikon, so frequently found in Switzerland, especially near the lake of Zurich, is a weakened form of -ic-hofen from -inc-hofen; the first parts of the compound names therefore contain patronymic formations in -ing.

The simultaneous existence of older and younger forms of the same name may be observed to a greater extent among names of places than among names of persons. In an infinite number of cases the official name differs from that which lives in the mouth of the people. The authorities cling to the form of the name which is handed down in documents; at times, indeed, the official name is an artificial rendering into High German of the popular name, and a form is often produced which had no previous existence.

Dialectical differences in the vocabulary appear more distinctly in names of places than in names of persons; for the case of a local name not having been formed in the region in which it is found at present is much rarer than that of the bearers of a personal name having settled far from their original home.

Names terminating in -sten, -weil, or -weiler are characteristic of the Alemanic dialect; those ending in -wang of the
Bavarian and Alemanic. The Alemanic forms in -*ingen* are divided from the Bavarian -*ing* by the river Lech. Names in -*lar* are Middle and Low German; those in -*scheid* are Middle Franconian; those in -*ungen* are Thuringian and Hessian. To Low German soil belong the terminations -*brink*, -*büttel*, -*fleth*, -*hude*, -*koog*, -*kuhl*. Close statistical researches into the geographical distribution of certain ways of forming local names may enable us to prove many old tribal connections. Thus the investigation of names of places enters into the service of history, just as in other respects language is an auxiliary of the historian.
CHAPTER VII.

THE INFLUENCE OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES UPON GERMAN.

A nation's language reflects not only its intellectual development, but also a good part of its civilisation and history. Through its language we may especially trace how far it has come into contact with other nations, and the extent of their mutual influence upon each other. For there is probably no language which has not borrowed from other languages. And as it was granted to the German people less than to any other to develop freely according to its own proper nature, so the German language has had to suffer from its contact with the neighbouring languages in an especially high degree.

Contact between two languages may be of two kinds, immediate and literary. Immediate contact takes place when two tribes or nations have the same boundaries; or when part of a nation settles upon the territory of another nation, or when one nation makes occasional invasions upon foreign soil. The borrowing from the foreign language is in such cases imperfect and fragmentary, it takes place unconsciously or accidentally, or to satisfy a want; it is limited to single words, which in most cases express ideas till then strange to the language. The great majority of words thus borrowed are substantives, only a small part are verbs or adjectives; for a nation is more likely to meet with new objects or ideas in a foreign nation than with new qualities, new activities, or states.

The second kind of contact, literary contact, differs from the first kind in that it may extend not only to a contiguous people or peoples, but to an unlimited number of nations. Its influence is moreover much stronger. The adoption of the foreign tongue is conscious and intentional and much more complete; the elements borrowed from it are not limited to words which
enrich the language, but include words which are dispensable or quite superfluous. Not only substantives, but verbs and adjectives are taken over, and even the means of forming new words (e.g. the verbal ending -ieren and the suffix -lei in allerlei are borrowed from French). A loan of a different nature takes place, when a native word changes its meaning under the influence of a foreign word, or when a language borrows a syntactical form from another.

These two different modes of borrowing from a foreign tongue are exercised by different classes of society, and at different periods of their intellectual development. The adoption of foreign words through personal intercourse belongs to the people; the second mode of borrowing is limited to the circle of the cultivated, and the words thus borrowed only permeate by degrees to the lower strata of society. The first kind is the earlier in time, the second can only take place in a higher state of civilisation.

The German language has borrowed foreign elements from the earliest period in which it comes under our notice. But the farther back we trace the language, the less surely can we declare a word to be a loan-word, especially owing to the difficulty of determining which of two languages was the lender and which the borrower.

The oldest loan-words in the German language are names of metals and cultivated plants. Now, in the case of words like Silber, Engl. silver, and Hanf, Engl. hemp (cf. Gk. κάνναβις, Lat. cannabis), we may assert with tolerable certainty that they did not belong to the original Teutonic stock of words, but we are hardly able even to conjecture from what nations they were borrowed. They were introduced into the language before the separation of the Teutonic tribes. The relations of the Teutons with the Finns and Celts began at a later period, but still in pre-historic times; that they came into contact with the former at that early period is proved by the not inconsiderable number of Teutonic words in the Finnish languages. Some of these words, as they appear in Finnish, can only be derived from hypothetical forms of the German originals, earlier than the forms which have been transmitted to us. Traces of Finnish influence upon the Teutonic tongue are scanty and uncertain.

The relations between the Teutons and the Celts were closer
and more enduring; it was Celtic soil upon which the southern
and western Teutonic tribes settled. The old Celtic background
appears especially through names of rivers, mountains, and
places—as, Rhein, Main, and Donau (Danube), Melibocous and
Vogesen (Vosges), Mainz (Mayence) and Worms. The word reich,
on the face of it a genuine Teutonic word, offers a remarkable
proof of Celtic influence; the word meant at first not ‘rich’
but ‘mighty,’ a trace of which meaning still remains in the sub-
stantive Reich, ‘realm, empire.’ Reich is related to Lat. rex, but
for phonetic reasons can only have penetrated into Teutonic
from the Celtic (cf. Celtic names like Dumnorix, Vercingetorix);
hence the idea suggests itself that the Teutons were not quite
free from Celtic influence in matters relating to the State. Of
probable Celtic origin are also the words Düne, E. down; Falke, E.
falcon; Habicht, E. hawk; Pferch, ‘a pen or fold,’ cf. park.

Latin influence likewise commences in prehistoric times;
its beginnings may be traced to about the beginning of our era,
it end has not yet come. Latin influence has not been equally
great at all times, nor can one in every case determine whether
a word has come directly from the Latin or indirectly through
Romance sources. The earliest loan-words from Latin are of a
purely popular nature; they are partly the result of an old in-
tercourse between Italy and Germany, and in part were intro-
duced by Roman colonies in the south and west of German terri-
tory. Through the medium of the Romans the Teutons became
acquainted with a number of animals, plants, etc., e.g. the elephant,
Elefant, Ohg. helfant, Gk. ἐλέφας—ἔλεφαντος; the fabulous
dragon, Drachen, L. draco; and the peacock, Pfau, L. pavo; the
pear, Bärne, L. pīrum; the fig, Feige, L. fīcus; the cherry, Kirsch,
L. cerasum; the cabbage, Kohl, Engl. cauli-flower, L. caulis; the
pumpkin, Kūbris, L. cucurbita, Engl. cucumber; the lily, Lilie,
L. līlia; the almond, Mandel, Mlat. amandola, It. mandola; the
mulberry, Maulbeere, L. morus; pepper, Pfeffer, L. pīper; the
radish, Rettich, L. rādīx; and the rose, Rose, L. rosa. The words
Pflanze, E. plant, L. planta, and Frucht, E. fruit, L. fructus, are
themselves of Latin origin.

The more advanced civilisation of the Romans influenced
especially three arts. Firstly and more especially architecture,
in the vocabulary of which there are many loan-words, e.g. Kalk,
‘chalk,’ L. calx; Pflaster, ‘pavement,’ Gk.-L. ἐμπλαστρον; Strasse,
‘street,’ L. (via) strata; Platz, ‘square,’ L. platea, E. place; Mauer, ‘wall,’ L. murus; Pfosten, ‘door-post,’ L. postis; Pfalz, ‘gate,’ L. porta; Kerker, ‘dungeon,’ L. carcer; Keller, ‘cellar,’ Mlat. cellarium, from L. cella; Thurm, ‘tower,’ L. turris; Pfaulz, palatinate,’ L. palatium, E. palace; Ziegel, L. tegula, E. tile; Schindel, ‘shingle,’ L. scindula; Tüinche, ‘white-wash,’ L. tunica, and the verb tüinchen, ‘to white-wash.’ Secondly, the cultivation of the vine and horticulture, cf. the words Wein, L. vinum; Winzer, ‘vine-dresser,’ perhaps L. vinitor; Most, ‘must,’ L. mustum; Kelter, ‘wine-press,’ L. calcatorium, and keltern, L. calcitrare, ‘tread with the feet;’ pfropfen, ‘graft,’ L. propagare; impfen, ‘graft,’ L. putare, ‘cut,’ amputare; pelzen, ‘graft,’ L. pellis. Thirdly, the art of cooking and preparing food, cf. the words kochen, ‘cook,’ L. coquere; Speise, ‘food,’ Ital. Mlat. spesa for spensa, ‘expense,’ L. expensa; Butter, L. butyrum (the primitive German words were Schmer or Anke); Essig, ‘vinegar,’ L. acetum; Käse, ‘cheese,’ L. caseus; Oel, ‘oil,’ L. oleum; Sennel, ‘roll,’ L. simila, ‘wheat-flour;’ Senf, ‘mustard,’ Gk.-Lat. σίανα; Weiher, ‘fish-pond,’ L. vivarium. Many names of instruments, utensils, etc., come from Latin, e.g. Anker, ‘anchor,’ L. ancora; Kette, ‘chain,’ L. catena; Becher, ‘cup,’ Mlat. bicearium, from L. bacar or Gk. βίκος, cf. Ital. bicchiere, E. beaker; Kopf, in Ohg. = ‘cup,’ L. cuppa; Schüssel, ‘dish,’ L. scutella; Kiste, ‘chest,’ L. cista; Sack, ‘sack,’ L. saccus; Tisch, ‘table,’ L. discus (the original German word was biut, from bieten, hence ‘that upon which anything was offered’). The number of names of articles of dress and adornment from Latin is strikingly small; such are Krone, ‘crown,’ L. corona; Purpur, ‘purple,’ L. purpur; Spiegel, ‘mirror,’ L. speculum. Of words relating to political life we find only Kaiser, ‘emperor,’ L. Caesar. Of military terms the number is naturally small, since the Germans had no need to borrow them; almost the only words borrowed from Latin are Kampf, ‘battle,’ L. campus, and Pfeil, ‘arrow,’ L. pilum. On the other hand, the Germans borrowed a number of words appertaining to the arts of peace, of commerce, etc., e.g. Mark, ‘market,’ L. mercatus; Münze, ‘coin, mint,’ L. moneta; Meile, ‘mile,’ L. milia (passuum); Pfund, ‘pound,’ L. pondus; Zins, ‘tribute, interest,’ L. census; Zoll, ‘toll,’ L. telonium. A few words probably found their way into German through the export trade in geese and feathers to Rome, men-
tioned by Pliny, e.g. *Flaum,* ‘down,’ *L. pluma* (the Old German word is *Daune*); *Kissen,* ‘cushion,’ Mlat. *cussinnus,* from L. *culcita,* ‘mattress;’ *Pfühl,* ‘pillow,’ *L. pulvinus,* As. *pylwe; mausern,* ‘moult, change plumage,’ *L. mutare,* Fr. *muer.* The art of writing was advanced through contact with the Romans; the word *schreiben* itself is from the Lat. *scribere* (the primitive Teutonic word would be *writan,* ‘scratch,’ E. *write*); so also *Brief,* ‘letter,’ *L. breve,* ‘short writing, document,’ cf. E. *brief;* *Siegel,* ‘seal,’ *L. sigillum.* Words relating to the sciences are naturally few, the Teutons being too little advanced in civilisation to receive many sciences; a few words, such as *Arzt,* ‘physician,’ Gk.-L. *άρχιάτρος;* *Büchse,* ‘box,’ Gk. *πυγίς;* *Pflaster,* ‘plaster,’ Gk.-L. *ἐπιλαστρόν,* testify to the superior knowledge of medicine among the Greeks and Romans.

Christianity exercised a mightier influence upon the intellectual life of the Teutons than Roman civilisation. The new faith was introduced to the Germans from three different directions: the eastern tribes were converted by the Greek Church, whilst Irish and Roman apostles first preached the Gospel to the western races and in the interior of Germany. The Irish missionaries seem to have brought no new words into the language; the Church of Byzantium came into contact principally with the Goths, who became extinct at an early period. But it seems to have communicated to the other Teutonic nations a very important word, *Kirche,* ‘church,’ Gk. *κυριακόν,* ‘(house) of the Lord.’ The words *Pfaffe,* ‘priest,’ Gk. *παπάς;* *Pfingsten,* ‘Whitsuntide,’ Gk. *πεντηκοστή;* *Teufel,* Gk. *διάβολος,* may likewise have been introduced through the Goths, but *Papst,* ‘pope,’ Gk. *πάππας,* came into the language later, in the time of the Ottones, who had frequent relations with Byzantium. All words of later Greek origin came over not through immediate personal contact, but by literary contact.

The influence of the Roman Church was naturally much stronger; the words introduced by it bring us to historical times, that is, to the Old High German period. Most of the names of ecclesiastical buildings, furniture, vessels, etc., are of Latin origin, e.g. *Klause,* ‘cell,’ Mlat. *clausa;* *Kloster,* ‘monastery,’ Mlat. *claustrum,* cf. E. cloister; *Münster,* ‘cathedral,’ *L. monasterium,* cf. E. *minster;* *Schule,* ‘school,’ L. *schola;* *Altar,* ‘altar,’ *L. altare; Kanzel,* ‘pulpit,’ *L. cancellus,* ‘grate’ or ‘rails,’
cf. E. chancel; Kreuz, ‘cross,’ L. crux; Oblate, ‘wafer,’ L. oblata, from offerre, ‘that which is offered;’ Orgel, ‘organ,’ L. organum, Gk. ὀργανον; also names of ecclesiastical offices and functions, e.g. Abt, ‘abbot,’ Mlat. abbas, abbatem; Küster, ‘clerk, sexton,’ Mlat. custorem, L. custos; Messner, ‘sacristan,’ Mlat. mansionarius from L. mansio; Mönch, ‘monk,’ L. monachus, Gk. μοναχός; Nonne, ‘nun,’ Lat. nonna; Priester, ‘priest,’ L. presbyter, Gk. πρεσβύτερος, cf. O.E. Prester John; Probst, ‘provost,’ L. propositus; Sigrist, ‘sacristan,’ L. sacrista; of ecclesiastical rites and institutions, e.g. Feier, ‘feast, festival,’ Mlat. feria, L. feriae; Mette, ‘matins,’ Mlat. mattina, for L. matutina (hora); Vesper, ‘vespers,’ L. vespera; Messe, ‘mass,’ from L. missa (est concio), the words with which the priest dismissed the catechumens before the celebration; Segen, ‘blessing,’ L. signum, ‘sign,’ i.e. of the cross; Almosen, ‘alms,’ Gk.-Lat. ἐλεημοσύνη; Spende, ‘charity, alms,’ from spenden, Mlat. spendere, L. expendere, cf. Speise; opfern, ‘offer, sacrifice,’ L. offerre; predigen, ‘preach,’ L. praedicare; and of other ideas of the Christian religion, e.g. Engel, ‘angel,’ L. angelus, Gk. ἄγγελος; Marter, Pein, Plage, all three meaning ‘torment, torture,’ L. martyrium, Gk. μαρτύριον, L. poena, ‘penalty,’ and plaga, ‘blow,’ Gk. πλῆγμα; verdammten, ‘damn,’ L. dammari. In the case of words like Ohg. bijmüzgan, ‘admonish,’ L. monere, and tilón, ‘destroy,’ L. delere, it is difficult to determine whether they were introduced by Christianity or at an earlier period; they are noteworthy as expressing ideas not peculiar to the Romans.

Besides these words of popular origin introduced during the Ohg. period a number of expressions of a more learned and technical character found their way into the literature, especially into translations from Latin. These are of a minor interest.

In the Middle High German period we meet with new elements. The Crusades carry the Germans into the great stream of European life. They enter into closer contact with their southern neighbours; the superior culture, the brilliancy and refinement of French life, dazzle the German mind. The educated part of the nation turn their attention to French language and French literature; German lyrical poetry receives a fresh impulse from French models, and the Court epics are more or less freely-translated versions of the works of French masters. Men like Gotfrid von Strassburg, the author of
Tristan, go to the extent of bodily introducing French verses into their poetry. The consequence was that towards the end of the twelfth century the German language was inundated by a flood of French words; the language of the tournament and the chase, of gaming and dancing, of music and poetry, borrows its terms from the neighbouring nation, and the introduction of a number of objects of luxury necessarily brings with it their foreign names, together with many phrases of etiquette and politeness. Many of these only enjoyed a short life; they perished with the decay of chivalry. Others have remained to this day, such as Abenteuer, Fr. aventure; Banner, 'banner,' Fr. bannière; blond, 'fair,' Fr. blond; fehlen, 'fail,' Fr. faillir; Fei, 'fairy,' Ofr. feie, Fr. fée, cf. E. fay; fein, 'fine,' Fr. fin; Komtur, 'commander,' Fr. commandeur; Manier, 'manner,' Fr. manière; Palast, Mhg. palas, Fr. palais, 'palace;' Plan, 'plain,' Fr. plan; Preis, 'price, prize,' Fr. prix; turnieren, 'take part in a tournament,' Fr. tournier.

Some indication of the depth of French influence upon German at that time is offered by the fact that the Germans have adopted from the French language several means of forming new words; thus the Mhg. words jegerie, rouberie, vischerie = Jägerei, Räuberei, Fischerei, were formed by means of the termination -ie from German words, after the model of French loan-words like partie, vilanie, etc.; verbs in -ieren, as halbieren, marschieren, stolzieren, were formed after the model of French verbs ending in -ier, modern French -er; and the ending -lei in mancherlei, vielerlei is the French word loi, Ofr. lei, which meant among other things 'manner.'

Latin influence continues side by side with French influence during the Middle High German epoch, but it is not so strong as the latter. As we approach the period of the Renaissance and the days of Humanism, Latin again comes to the foreground. In the second half of the fifteenth century begins a great activity in the translation of Latin authors; in the sixteenth century the educated classes speak and write the language of Rome, and the native tongue is despised (the Emperor of the Holy Roman Empire spoke German only to his horse).

In the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries Latin is again superseded by French as the language of Court and fashion, not a little owing to the fault of the Humanists, who had accus-
tomed the Germans to hold their own language in contempt. The abundance of French words which now again flood the German language is swelled by loans from Italian, though in a far smaller degree; the Italian loan-words comprise chiefly musical terms and commercial expressions.

In the nineteenth century there is a considerable influx of words from English, principally expressions drawn from the sphere of political and social life, more especially sporting terms. The eastern neighbours of the Germans, the Slavs, contributed a small share of words, such as Dolch, ‘dagger,’ Boh.-Pol. tulich; Dolmetsch, ‘interpreter,’ Russ. tolmatsch; Droschke, ‘cab,’ Russ. droschki; Grenze, ‘boundary,’ Pol. granica; Hallunke, ‘scoundrel,’ Boh. holomek; Knute, ‘knout,’ Russ. knut; Kutsche, ‘coach,’ Hung. kotsi; Peitsche, ‘whip,’ Boh. bič; Petschaft, ‘seal,’ Boh. pečet; pomadig, ‘comfortable, slow,’ Pol. pomalu; Schöps, ‘ram,’ Czech skopec; Stieglitz, ‘goldfinch,’ Czech stehlec; Zobel, ‘sable,’ Russ. sobol. The Low German (Platt-deutsch) expression Schurrmurr comes from Slavonic, though it was originally a Persian word. We find many a word of Slavonic origin besides these in Low and Middle German dialects, e.g. the North Thuringian word doure, from a Slavonic word meaning ‘good.’ The loan-words from the other neighbouring nations, the Dutch, Danes, and Swedes, are quite inconsiderable.

All the loan-words which we have noticed till now have come from nations lying outside the boundaries of the country, but belonging to the same group of languages as the German; another set of words has been introduced from another family of languages, the Semitic, but by a race which lives scattered throughout the German people, namely, the Jews. The number of Hebrew words which have entered the literary language is not considerable; such are Gauner, ‘swindler;’ Jubeljahr, ‘jubilee;’ Kümmeblüttchen, ‘the three-card trick,’ from gimel, the Hebrew sign for g and 3, and Blüttchen, ‘a little card;’ Schacher, ‘usury;’ schüchten, ‘kill, butcher;’ but the different dialects show a large number of Hebrew words, e.g. acheln, ‘eat;’ beduch, ‘downcast;’ ganfen, ‘steal;’ Kanuf, a term of abuse; kapores, ‘broken;’ koscher, ‘clean, pure;’ Makkes, ‘blows;’ mauscheln, ‘chaffer;’ meschugga, ‘demented;’ Moos, ‘money;’ pleite, ‘bankrupt;’ Rebbich, Schmu, ‘profit;’ schmusen, ‘fawn, flatter;’ Schofel, ‘trash;’ Zores, ‘dispute.’
The languages which have been mentioned contributed loan-words immediately to the German language; but a number of words have entered the language at secondhand. Thus a considerable number of Arabic words came through the medium of the Romance languages, as *Alchymie*, 'alchemy;' *Almanach*, *Algebra*, *Alkohol*, *Admiral*, *Diwan*, 'divan;' *Douane*, 'customs;' *Havartie*, 'average;' *kalfatern*, 'to calc,' etc., and many names of new plants and new materials have been imported from every possible language. Many Greek words have come through Latin, and some Romance words through English. A curious fact is that words have been borrowed from Romance languages which the latter had originally taken from Teutonic, and not infrequently the original word and the same in its foreign garb exist side by side, e.g. *Balkon*, 'balcony,' from the Fr. *balcon*, which comes from Ohg. *balko*, 'beam;' Ger. *Balken; Fauteuil*, 'arm-chair,' a French word from Ohg. *faldestuhl*, orig. 'a folding stool' or 'chair;' Ger. *Feldstuhl*, a popular corruption of *Faltstuhl; Gage* (Fr.), 'wages, salary;' and *Wette*, 'wager;' *Garde* (Fr.), 'guards,' and *Warte*, 'watch-tower;' *Liste* (Fr.), 'list,' and *Leiste*, 'strip, border;' *Rang* (Fr.), 'rank, row,' and *Ring*, 'ring;' *Biwak*, 'bivouac,' is from Ohg. *biwahlt*, 'by-watch;' *equipieren*, *Equipage* are connected with *Schiff*; *garnieren* with *warnen*, 'warn,' orig. = 'prepare, equip;' *Loge*, 'box in a theatre,' with *Laube*, 'arbour.'

Foreign influence becomes less evident when not words themselves are taken over, but the manner of putting words together to form new ones. German words have at various times been formed in imitation of foreign words, partly in order to make the words intelligible, and partly, in more recent times, from a conscious endeavour to stem the tide of foreign expressions. In some cases a word is literally translated, in others its spirit is reproduced. Thus, in Ohg. times was formed a word *gomaheit* (gomo, 'man'), to reproduce the idea *humanitas*; Ohg. *armherzi*, after the model of L. *misericors*, Nhg. *barmherzig*; *missa*, 'mass,' was rendered by Ohg. *santo,* 'that which is sent;' *propheata* by Ohg. *forasago,* 'the foreteller;' *apostolus* by Ohg. *zwelfboto,* 'one of the twelve messengers;' *bibliotheca*, 'library,' by Ohg. *buohfaz,* 'book receptacle.' Ohg. *jungiero*, Nhg. *Jünger,* 'disciple, younger,' is probably only a free rendering of *discipulus.* In Ohg. works of translation the words 'wahrlich,' 'gewiss' are used
to render the Latin particles *autem, ergo, igitur, itaque, profecto, vero*, but they never became popular. The German Mystics created the words *ausflug, gegenwurf, widerwurf, understand* to render the words *emanatio, objectum, subjectum*, and in recent times appeared *Pfleyling* instead of *Alumnus, Volksherrschaft, Freistaat*, in place of *Demokratie, Republik, Drahtbericht, Zerrbild* for *Telegramm, Karrikatur, and erkenntlich, Zwischenfall* for Fr. *reconnaissant, incident*.

Many words were not indeed created under foreign influence, but adopted new meanings; in some cases the original meaning was lost. *Der Heide, 'heathen,' from die Heide, 'heath,' meant originally 'he who lived in the country,' and took its new meaning in imitation of L. *paganus*, from *pagus*, 'village;* *taufen, 'baphte,' probably meant at first only to 'dip.' In the sixteenth century *bürgerlich* adopted the meaning of 'polite' under the influence of L. *civilitas* or Fr. *civilement; zerstreut* took its present meaning of 'absent-minded, distracted' in imitation of Fr. *distray*, in Lessing's time; *einem den Hof machen* is a literal translation of Fr. *faire la cour à quelqu'un*; and *antworten*, used in the sense of 'answer to, correspond with,' shows the influence of L. *respondere*.

German Syntax was influenced especially by Latin, in a smaller degree by French. Of Latin constructions the so-called Accusative with the Infinitive enjoyed most favour; it was occasionally used by Ohg. translators, hardly at all in the Mhg. period, but very frequently from the second half of the fifteenth century, and was by no means confined to works of translation. In *Theuerdank*, an epic poem of the beginning of the sixteenth century, we find *nym (nimm) zu dir den Gesellen dein, den du weist verschwiegen zu sein,* = 'whom you know to be discreet,' or *Der Held antwortt: ich red on spot (ohne Spott), mich gewesen sein in grosser Not.' This construction continues in use till the eighteenth century, and we find it occasionally in Lessing, e.g. *die Theaterstücke die er so vollkommen nach dem Geschmacke seines Parterres zu sein urtheilte,* = 'which he judged to be, etc.' In the language of to-day this construction is no longer permitted; *ich sehe ihn kommen* is a genuine old German construction. But in the frequently-used constructions like *dein Bruder von dem ich urtheile, dass er reich ist,* = 'whom I judge to be rich,' for *den ich für reich halte, we*
may see an endeavour to render the Latin Accusative with the Infinitive.

The Latin so-called Ablative Absolute is a very convenient construction. The Teutonic language originally possessed something similar to it, but lost it at a very early period. Throughout the different periods of High German we observe attempts to reproduce it; the Old High German translators frequently made use of it. In Modern German French influence was added to Latin, and the strange construction was imitated in two ways; firstly by a kind of Accusative Absolute, e.g. 'dieses Geschäft berichtet, eilen alle Statthalter nach ihren Provinzen,' Schiller; 'das geschehen, hänge die Entscheidung von dem Könige ab,' Dahlmann; secondly, by means of the preposition nach and the dative, usually an ill-sounding construction, e.g. 'nach aufgehobenem Kloster,' Goethe; 'nach genommenem Abschiede von seinem Freunde,' Schiller; 'nach dem abgeschüttelten Joch der Römer,' J. Grimm.

On the whole, the influence of Latin syntax upon German has not been for good; to it must be traced the great predilection which the modern German shows for the insertion of sentences one within another, and for intricacies of style. Many other peculiarities of Latin construction have been imitated at various periods, but without taking root; at no time perhaps was this more the case than in the last century, at the time when works of science and learning were beginning to be written in German.

French influence upon the syntax has been less strong than Latin, by reason of the greater similarity of character which German and French have to one another than either of them has to Latin, in consequence of which French had less to offer which might be of profit. An imitation of French construction is seen in a sentence like von hier aus ist es, dass man den weitesten Blick über Paris hat, where a part of the sentence is brought into prominence by changing the order and adding es ist (c'est d'ici, etc.) It is doubtful whether the expression sein von, in attributing a quality, as Friedrich V. war von einem freien und aufgeweckten Geiste, vieler Herzensgüte, einer königlichen Freigebigkeit, is due to French or to Latin influence. French expressions are frequently imitated in newspaper German, though few of them are adopted by the people, e.g. Gefahr laufen (courir
risque), von langer Hand (de longue main). In South-west Germany the phrase es macht schön Wetter (il fait beau temps) is not uncommon.

Among the Germans of Austria expressions are not infrequently heard which betray the proximity of the Slavonic language.

Pronunciation and Accentuation of Loan-words.

Words of foreign origin may be treated in German in two ways: either they are made to adapt themselves to the German manner of pronunciation and accentuation, and become thoroughly naturalised, or they are preserved as far as possible in their original foreign shapes. Frequently one and the same word is treated now in one way now in the other.

The first mode of treatment was on the whole the earlier in point of time, whilst in later times the tendency was to pay more consideration to the foreign language. Thus the words adopted during the Old High German period took the form of native words; these foreigners are hardly to be recognised as such, and their removal would be neither needful nor possible. Of the words introduced in the Middle High German period very few have become naturalised; the majority of them still retain their original shape almost unchanged. The reason of this difference is not indeed that the language is less capable of making foreign words its own, but is explained by the difference mentioned above, between popular loan-words and words of learned or literary origin. A word which the man of education borrows from a foreign language in these days strikes him over and over again as a foreign word, and is repeatedly restored to its original shape if it begins to deviate from it. But if the word penetrates to the people then it will become naturalised as easily as it did fifteen hundred years ago.

The greatest contrast between German words and great numbers of words borrowed from Greek, Latin, and French lies in the accentuation. The Teutonic languages as a rule accent the first syllable, Greek and Latin accent now one now the other syllable, in French the accent is on the last syllable. In the Old High German time the native accentuation was transferred to loan-words; in this way alone could, e.g., the Latin words monastérium, monēta, palátium result in the forms Mün-
In the Middle High German time we find both German and foreign accentuation side by side; Fr. la bannière appeared both as bánier and as banier, whence came the double forms Nhg. Banner and Panier. In modern times the foreign accent is retained as a rule; some words have even a shifting accent, e.g. Proféssor—Professören, Atlas—Atlánten. It must not, however, be forgotten, in considering individual words, that words are not always borrowed immediately from the languages to which they originally belonged; thus the Germans accent the names Meneláus, Themistokles, Oédipus in the Latin manner, and not according to the Greek, Menélaus, Themistoklés, Oedípus. In words like Katholik, Musik, Protestánt, the French model has been followed; but words are not of infrequent occurrence which are accented sometimes as in Latin, sometimes as in French, e.g. Phrénómen—Phrénomén, Arithmétik—Arithmetik, Metaphysik—Metaphysik. Again, the words Antipathie, Politik, Mathematik, are accented on the last syllable, but their derivatives, antipáthisch, politisch, mathémáatisch, on the penultimate. When there are double forms like Státue and Statue, Physik and Physik side by side, it is doubtful whether the accentuation of the first syllable is of Latin origin, or whether the German manner has prevailed, for the latter case still frequently occurs in the present day, as in Lieutenant, Kárneval, O'cean, Schárlatan. Some words have an uncertain accent, as Adjektiv or Adjektív, Infinitiv or Infinitiv, Kávallerie or Kavallerie; sometimes we hear Büreau, Diner, Souper, sometimes Büreau, Dîner, Soupér. The North German has a preference for accenting the last syllable, the South German for accenting the root-syllable; the Swiss even go so far as to accent Coásaине, Hôtel, Párterre, on the first syllable. This difference of treatment of foreign words by North and South Germans is explained by the fact that the former attach more importance to correct pronunciation than the latter, and so take more pains to retain the foreign accent; according to their own ideas of correctness, of course, for as a matter of fact neither the North German’s strong accentuation of the final syllable, nor the more careless pronunciation of the Southerner, can do justice to the true French accent.

Similar difficulties present themselves in the reproduction of individual sounds. In general the German reproduces a foreign word with the sounds as they affect his ear; sometimes,
though less frequently, so as to give the individual letters their sound as in German, e.g. _Lieutenant_ (pr. _Loitentant_), and _Toast_, in which _o_ and _a_ are very frequently pronounced separately. But it often happens that the German has no sound corresponding to a foreign sound, so that a word can only be copied with difficulty or not at all. In such cases the word is pronounced with the sound which most nearly resembles the sound in question; in loan-words adopted by the people such is invariably the case, but the educated usually strive with persevering minuteness to master the strange sound. The Ohg. _w_ had a sound which differed considerably both from that of Modern German and from that of Latin _v_; so the Latin _v_ was frequently rendered by the sound of _f_, e.g. _Veilchen_, a diminutive of _Veil_, L. _viola_; _Vesper_, L. _vespera_; _Vogt_, L. _vocatus_; _Käfig_, L. _cavea_. Again, at one period of Old High German the language possessed no sound corresponding to the Latin long _ē_, and this sound is rendered in loan-words as long _ī_, e.g. L. _feria_ is in Ohg. _vīra_, Nhg. _Feier_; L. _creta_, is Ohg. _Criđa_, Nhg. _Kreide_. The same thing occurs to this day; thus the French mute _e_ is rendered by the German _e_, which differs somewhat from the former, as in _Gruppe_, Fr. _groupe_, _Rhone_, _Bagage_, _Gage_, etc.; the French nasal sound is frequently rendered by _n_, _ng_, or _m_, as in _Mansarde_, _Rang_, _Tambur_, Fr. _tambour_; the French liquid sounds (_n-mouillé_ and _l-mouillé_) present special difficulties; to _gn_ is usually given the sound of _ny_, as in _Champagne_, _Champanner_, and _l-mouillé_ appears sometimes as pure _l_, as in the South German pronunciation of _bouteille_, _fauteuil_ (Budél, _Fotöhl_), sometimes as _lch_, as in the mouth of the North German (_Butelch_, _Fotölch_), or again as _il_; thus _Detail_, _Email_ would rhyme in South German pronunciation with _Heil_, _Teil_.

In spite of differences of this kind, one is in the majority of cases enabled to know what was the sound of the foreign language to which a certain sound corresponds, and what stage of development a foreign word had reached at the time of its being borrowed; and thus the comparison of sounds offers a means of determining the period in which a word was borrowed. Latin _c_ before _e_ or _i_ was till about the seventh century of our era pronounced like _k_; afterwards like German _z_; from this we may conclude that words like _Keller_, L. _cellarium_; _Kerbel_, 'chervil,' L. _caerefolium_; _Kirsche_, L. _cerasus_; _Kiste_, L. _cista_, were
borrowed at an earlier period than, e.g., Kreuz, L. crucem, and Zins, L. census. Again, words like Panier, Fr. bannière; Rappier, Fr. rapière, must have been borrowed at an earlier period than Barière, Lisière, Fr. barrière, lisière, for the former correspond to an earlier French pronunciation with the accent on the i, -ière.

Not infrequently from the inflexion which a foreign word took in German we may form conclusions as to its mode of inflexion in the original language. Loan-words in general joined the class of words whose final and inflexional syllables mostly resembled their own, and at the same time took the same gender. Thus Latin words in -arium joined the class of Ohg. masculine nouns ending in -ari, and themselves became masculine, e.g. Ohg. kellari, Nhg. Keller, masc., from L. cellarium, neut., and Ohg. viari, Nhg. Weifer, masc., from L. vivarium, neut., joined the class of words like Ohg. lèrari, Nhg. Lehrer; Ohg. scribari, Nhg. Schreiber. Fr. le groupe, le rôle, etc., and all the substantives with the masculine ending -age became feminine in German, die Gruppe, die Rolle, die Etage, die Equipage, etc., after the model of die Bitte, die Gabe, etc. Attempts have been made, though unreasonably, to restore words to their original gender, e.g. der Rhône is sometimes heard on the part of purists instead of die Rhone, Fr. le Rhône. The gender of loan-words was often determined by other circumstances than formal resemblance, namely, by the existence in German of words with the same or a like meaning. Thus Kadaver, from L. cadaver, neut., followed the gender of der Leichnam; Mauer, L. murus, masc., that of die Wand; Nummer, L. numerus, masc., that of die Zahl; Libell, L. libella, fem., that of das Buch; Puder, Fr. la poudre, that of der Staub; the Viennese say die Tramway on account of die Pferdebahn, the German word for the same thing. Not always, however, did any definite words or classes of words offer themselves as models; in such cases the gender is often wavering, and it is difficult to fix a rule. Thus the French nouns which are used with the article le are in German sometimes masculine, sometimes neuter. In Middle High German these words more frequently retained their original gender than in modern times; compare the older loan-words der Harnisch, Fr. le harnais; der Palast, Fr. le palais; der Preis, Fr. le prix, with the later ones, das Bankett, das Bataillon, das Bouquett,
Dejeuner, Diner, Filet, Fort, Gilet, Journal, Palais, Regiment, etc., Fr. le banquet, le bataillon, le bouquet, etc.

A few loan-words are still used in two genders, e.g. der Chor and das Chor, der Moment and das Moment, der Parfüm and das Parfum. Words ending in -at were until the most recent times all treated as neuter words, because a great number of them had that gender in Latin (-atum); but of late the purists have endeavoured to restore such words as das Konsulat, das Patriarchat, to their original masculine gender.

When foreign words have once become living German words they are subject to the same fate as native words; their sounds change like the latter, and by comparing these changes we obtain a new means of determining their chronology. Thus L. pavo, planta, porta, have become in German, Pfau, Pflanze, Pforte; the sound p changed under the influence of the second Lautverschiebung to pf; these words must consequently have been adopted before the operation of that law had ceased. On the other hand, Pech, L. pix, picem; Pein, L. poena; Pilgrim, L. peregrinus, in which the sound p remained, only entered the language after the second Lautverschiebung had run its course. And as in native words, so also in loan-words we may observe the tendency to make them more intelligible by bringing them into connection with better known words or sound-groups; this was done either by composition with native words, e.g. Bibelbuch, Gk. βιβλίον, 'book,' and Buch, 'book;’ Damhirsch, L. dama, 'deer,’ and Hirsch, 'hart;’ Grenzmark, Pol. granica, and Mark, 'boundary;’ Maulesel, L. mulus, 'mule,’ and Esel, 'ass,’ in which both parts of the compounds mean about the same thing; or by folk-etymological transformation, e.g. Armbrust, L. arcu-balista; Odermennig, 'agrimony,' L. agrimonia; Goldcreme, E. cold cream, etc.

From the above it follows naturally that loan-words are liable to change their meanings as well as native words; thus dichten has a very different meaning from L. dictare, 'dictate;’ Pein, 'torment,' from L. poena, 'penalty;’ Pfütze, 'puddle, slough,’ from L. puteus, 'well.’
INDEX

a (orthography), 69
a-stems (nouns), 100
â, change to â, 79
ä (pronunc.), 70; (Umlaut), 80
Abdrücke, 91
Abend (afternoon), 57
Abendthuner, 46
Abenteuer, 46, 163
aber, 131
abermals, 131
aber und aber, 131
abgefeimt, 18
Abgeordnetenhaus, 61
abgeschaben, 122
abgeschmackt, 111
abhanden, 102
Ablative case, 134; absolute, 167
Ablaut, 82, 109, 112, 115, 116, 117
abprossen, 68
abrüsten, 68
Abstract ideas, expressed by concrete, 59
Abt, 162
abwehren, 52
abwesend, 125
Accentuation, cause of phonetic change, 7, 82, 83, 84; in Nhg., 71-75; of loan-words, 168, 169
Accusative case, 130, 134, 135; with Infinitive, 166; absolute, 167
acheln (dial.), 164
äch (echt), 46
Address, modes of, 54, 55
Adjectiv, 169
Adjectives (decl.), 107-109; (syntax), 128, 136, 137
Admiral, 165

Adverbs, 129, 130
Affe, 97
Affricate, 14
ag, change to ei, 86
Ahne, 97
Aehre, 93
ai, from ei, 77
albern, 62
Alchimie, 165
Alemanic dialects, boundary of, 17
Alemans, 10, 11, 12
Algebra, 165
Alkohol, 165
all (already), 27
alldieweil, 59
allein, 86
allenthalben, 129
allerdings, 131
allerlei, 158
Alliteration, 48, 66
Almanach, 165
Almosen, 162
Alp, 35
Alsace, 23
Altar, 161
älter, 80
alfränkisch, 60
Altmeister, 65
an (in Lg.), 136
Analogy, changes by, 41-45, 50, 63, 78, 85, 114, 121, 126
anerkennen (=erkenne an), 32
Angebinde, 60
Angles, 10, 11, 12
Anglo-Saxon, 10; poetry, 48
Angst, 59
angst, 128
Anke (butter), 160
Anker, 160
Anlaut, 5
Anreim, see Alliteration
Antipathie, 169
antipathisch, 169
antworten, 166
anwesend, 125
Arabic loan-words, 165
Arfel (dial.), 84
Argot, 54
Argwohn, 79
Arithmetik, 169
-arium, Latin words in, 171
Aerm (dial.), 91
Armbrust, 47
armherzi (barmherzig), 165
Article, 133
Aryan, see Indo-European
Arzt, 161
Aspirates, 4; shifting of, 5
Assimilation, 85
Association of ideas, 46, 48, 49
-at, gender of words in, 172
Atem, 79
Atlos, 169
Aetti (dial.), 26
au, from û, 20, 36, 76; from ou, 77;
Umlaut of, 80
äu (eu), from öu, 77; Umlaut of au, 80
auch wenn, 133
Auerochse, 77
auf, 134
aufgedunsen, 68
Auge, 98, 99
aus, 59, 134
Auslaut, 14
ausmärgehn, 85
Austrian dialect, 28; provincialisms, 31
b, shifts to p, 6; in Middle German, 15
Backe, 35
Baguge, 170
Balken, 97, 165
Baltic Sea provinces, 33
bammeln, 67
Band, 55, 94
Bangigkeit, 59
Bankett, 171
Banner, 163, 169
Bär, 96
Bariere, 171
barmen, 52
barmherzig, 165
Bars, Barsch, 187
Baschi (dial.), 60
basen, 60
Bataillon, 171
Bauer, 31, 96
Baum, Bäume, 77
Bauerngarten, 65
Bavarian dialect, boundary of, 17
Bavarians, 10, 11, 12
Becher, 160
Becken, 58
bedeuten, 122
Bedienter, 57
bedingen, 63
beduch (dial.), 164
befehlen, 59
(sich) befindlich, 32
beginnen, 114
begreifen, 59
behende, 102
bemühend (=peinlich), 31
bescheiden, 115
beschweren, 111
besonnen, 57
bestellen, 112
bewegen, 119
bh, shifts to b, 5
Bibelbuch, 172
Bible, Luther's translation, 29
bieten, 114, 119, 126
bimmeln, 67
bimunigdn (Ohg.), 162
binden, 116, 117, 120, 125
Birke, 38
Birne, 159
bitter, 58
bittersüß, 65
biut (Ohg.), 160
Bivak, 165
blasen, 115
INDEX

blätten (slang), 54
Blatter, 79
blaugrün, 65
blöben, 126
bleichen, 52
blond, 163
Blöter (dial.), 79
Bluet (dial.), 78
Blütche, 103
Blutigel, 47
Bogey, 97
Bohemia, 18
böhmische Dörfer, 60
Born, 36
Bote, 83, 96, 97, 98, 103, 108
Bouquet, 171
Bouteille, 170
Brandenburg, becomes German, 19
Braten, 97
braten, 115
braun, 77
Brätutigam, 68, 102
brechen, 118
Brechung, 81, 82, 91, 112, 113, 114, 123
brennen, 80, 111
Brief, 161
bringen, 112, 121
Brise, 35
Britain, language of, 10
Brot, 31
Bruder, 15, 103
Brunnen, 36, 97
Brunst, 57, 63
Brustkorb, 58
Buab (dial.), 78
Buch, 92
Buche, 38
Büche, 161
Bucht, 35
Bueb (dial.), 78
Bübi (dial.), 26
Bühl, 87
Buhle, 97
Buchfaz, 165
Bureau, 169
Burg, 103
Bürge, 97
Bürgermeister, 102
bürgerlich, 166
Burgundians, 10, 11, 12
Bursche, 61
büssen, 111
Butter, 35, 160
Butterbrot, 65
ch, Latin, 170
causeway (E.), 46
Celtic, proper names, 151 ; influence upon German, 158, 159
ch, from k, 15 ; represents three sounds in German, 69 ; in Auslaut, 85
Champagner, 170
Chancery, Imperial, 28, 29 ; Saxon, 28, 29
Change of meaning, 56-64
Charlemagne, 11, 12
d, Latin, 170
d, Latin, 170
chef (F.), 54
-chen, dimin. ending, 26
chl, 17
choose (E.), 121
Chor, 172
Christianity, its influence on German, 161, 162
cht, for ft, 36
Composition, 64-66
Compound words, accentuation of, 73-75
Concessive clauses, 140
Conditional clauses, 140
Conjunctions, 130-132
Conjunctive mood, 139-142
Consecution of tenses, 141, 142
Consonant changes, 84-87, 120, 121
Consonant stems, 95-98, 99, 108
Court language, see Schriftsprache
Cousine, 169
cray-fish (E.), 46
crida (Ohg.), 170
Czech, encroaches on German, 23
d, shifts to t, 6 ; in High German, 16 ; after n, s, 86
da, 132
Dack (in metaphor), 61
Dög (dial.), 91
Damhirsch, 172
damit, 86
Danish, 9
darin, 86
darum, 86
dass, 131
Dative case, 134, 136
Daumen, 97
daun, 161
davon, 86
decken, 111
Declension, 88, 109
dein, deiner, 55, 104, 105, 107
Dejeuner, 172
denken, 112, 121
Denkmal, 55
der (demon.), 107, 108, 131;
(relat.), 129; (art.), 133
der, dir (= ihr), 105
der- (for er-), 26
derschlagen (dial.), 26
des, dessen, 55, 107
deshalb, 107
dessegen, 107
Detail, 170
Deutschordensland, 19
dh, shifts to d, 5
di, diik (dial.), 105
Dialects, 25; how they arise, 41, 44
dichten, 172
Dickkopf, 61
dieser, 107, 131
dthen (Mhg.), 52
Diminutive terminations, 26
Diner, 160, 171
Ding, 63, 88, 92, 94
dingen, 122
Diwan, 165
Dolch, 164
Dolmetsch, 164
Dom, 7
dorp (Lg.), 6
Douane, 165
Double consonants, 70
dowre (dial.) 164
Drache, Drachen, 97, 159
Drahthericht, 166
dreizehn, 65
Drittel, 84
Droschke, 164
Drucke, 91
du, 104

Dual number, 104, 105
Düne, 35, 159
dännen, 112, 121
durch, 130
durchbläuen, 68
durchlaucht, 112
dürfen, 52, 123, 124
e, terminal sound in Nhg., 17, 18;
plural ending, 49; Umlaut of a,
80; change to i, 81, 118, 114;
French, 170
c (Lg.) = Mhg. ei, ie, 20; Latin, 170
c-stems (verbs), 110, 112
East Teutonic, 8, 10
Eber, 89, 91, 92
echt, 36, 46
Ecke, 57
eh, 86, 131, 132
eher, 86
ehr (dial.), 26
ei, from i, 20, 36, 76; pronunciation,
25, 70; change to ai, 77;
from ag, 86
-ei, accent of words in, 72
Eiche, 103
Eiland, 46, 47, 86
eilf, 46
ein, 133
Eindrücke, 91
einfältig, 62
einladen, 52
Einöde, 46
einschen, 59
einst, 86, 129
Elefant, 159
Elentheimer, 53
Ellipsis, 41
em (dial.), 26, 105
Email, 170
empfangen, 86
empfehlen, 86
empfinden, 86
empfindsam, 68
-en, plural ending, 50
Ende, 88, 98, 99
endlich, 133
Engel, 162
enk (dial.), 105
entgegen, 131
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>entlang</td>
<td>86, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entrückt</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entweder</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entzwei</td>
<td>86, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipage</td>
<td>165, 171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equipieren</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>er (pron.)</td>
<td>106, 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er (= you)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-er, plural ending</td>
<td>50, 92, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erbe</td>
<td>97, 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(sich) erbosen</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erbost</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erde, 81, 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdenleben</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdensohn</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erfassen</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ergiessen</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erhaben</td>
<td>81, 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erinnern</td>
<td>31, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erkapern</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erkennen</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erkiesen</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erlaucht</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erle</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erlöschen</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ermangeln</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-era, adjective ending</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erschrecken</td>
<td>62, 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ersprieslich</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erwähnen</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>es (pron.)</td>
<td>106, 131, 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>es (dial.)</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>essen</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essen</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essig</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etage</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etwas</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etwelcher, 128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eu, from ü (iu)</td>
<td>20, 36, 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>från</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umlaut of au</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>euer, euer</td>
<td>104, 107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euer Gnad</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euer Hoheit</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eulenspiegel</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-eur, gender of French words in</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension of meaning</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f, from p, 5, 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>; unaffected in second shifting</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>; for Latin v, 170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fähren</td>
<td>43, 44, 57, 63, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Führmann</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrt</td>
<td>81, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Führte</td>
<td>80, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fahl</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falke</td>
<td>97, 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fallen</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>falten</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faltstuhl</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familie</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fangen</td>
<td>115, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fassung</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fast</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauteuil</td>
<td>165, 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fechten</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fehe</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fehlen</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fei</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feier</td>
<td>162, 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feige</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fein</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feind</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feindlich</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feist</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feld</td>
<td>81, 91, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldstuhl</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsennase</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fensterscheibe</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferl (for Pferd)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perge</td>
<td>81, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fertig</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fest</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fett</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuersbrunst</td>
<td>57, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuerschein</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuertaufe</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuerwasser</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filet</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>finden</td>
<td>44, 114, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finke</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish influence</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisch</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischerei</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittig</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flaemen (Mhg.)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaschenhals</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaum, 161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flechten</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemish language</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fliegen</td>
<td>82, 114, 119, 120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fliessen, 114
flugs, 129
Fluh, 35
Folk- etymology, 45-48, 172
forasago (Ohg.), 165
forlorn (E.), 121
Fort, 172
Fracht, 36
fragen, 31, 50, 109, 110, 122
Franconian dialect, boundary of, 17
Franke, 97
Franken, 97
Franks, 10, 11, 12, 17
Frau, 100, 102, 103
Frauenzimmer, 61
freeze (E.), 121
freilich, 131
freilich, 67
Freistaat, 166
freundlich, 66
frevel, 55
Friede, Frieden, 31, 83, 97
Friedel, 67
friedlich, 83
frieren, 121
Friesel, 121
Frisian dialect, 11; proper names, 146
Frisonians, 10
frommen, 55
Frucht, 159
ft, change to cht, 36
Fuchs, 7
Fueter (dial.), 79
Fuge, 47
Fülle, 82
Fund (for Pfund), 40
für, 81
Fürst, 127
Fuss, 14, 92
Futter, 79
Future tense, 137, 138
g, shifts to k, 6; in German, 16; in Low German, 85
Gage, 165, 170
Gallustor, 46
Gaming expressions, 59
ganfen (dial.), 164
gar, 84
Garde, 165
gornieren, 165
Garten, 5, 97
Gartenbaum, 65
Gasse, 100
Gassenbube, 100
Gast, 88, 90, 91, 96, 97
Gatte, 97
Gau, 67
Gauwer, 164
gawv (dial.), 118
ge-, prefix, 126
gebären, 5, 81
geben, 10, 78, 79, 81, 84, 113, 114, 118
gebieten, 126
Gebirge, 81, 89, 94, 95, 98, 101
geboten, 79
gebrauchen, 55, 126
gedacht, 111
Gedanke, 97
gedeihen, 119, 121
gediegen, 121
Gefahr laufen, 167
gefallen, 115, 122
Gefängnis, 61
Gefilde, 81, 94
Gefüge, 94
gegenwurf (Mhg.), 166
Gehalt, 31
gen, 27, 85, 115, 124, 125
gen wir, 68
geh (dial.), 85
Geige, 40
Geist, 94
Gelage, 95
gelahr, 43, 112
Gelände, 94
geld, 85
Gelbschnabel, 61, 137
Gelbsucht, 48
gelegentlich, 86
Geleise, 59
gelingen, 117
gelobte Land das, 47
gelten, 32
Gemeine, Gemeinde, 55
Gemüth, 95
Gender of words in -eur, 13; of loan-words, 171
geniessen, 135
Genitive case, 134, 135, 136
Genoss, 55
Gepflogenheit, 81
Ger, 67
gerades Weges, 109
gerben, 57, 84
German language, its extent, 1; a branch of Teutonic, 2; boundaries of, 13
gern (Mhg. = begehren), 52
Gerste, 38
Gesandter, Abgesandter, 52
Gesang, 85
Geschlecht, 81
Geschmeide, 94
geschweige dass, 133
Gesell, 96
Gesellschaft, 56
gesotten, 79
Gestade, 95
Getreide, 86
getrost, 111
gewähren, 52
gewiss, 131, 165
Gewölbe, 94
gh, shifts to g, 5
Gift, 84
Gilet, 172
git (O.S.), 104
Glas, 78, 79
glau, 67
Gläube, 97
gleichen, 119
Gletscher, 35
glimmen, 117
Glosses, 12
Glück, 95
Gluth, 103
Gnad' dir Gott, 68
Goldcreme, 172
Goldgräber, 65
Goldmensch, 65
gomaheit (Ogh.), 165
Gothic language, 8, 9, 10, 17, 115
Goths, 8, 9
Graf, 89, 96
Grammatical change, 120
grell, 57
Grenze, 164

Grenzmark, 172
Grete, 60
griazn (dial.), 78
Grille, 57, 59, 101
Grimm's Law, 4-6; apparent exception to, 6-7
Grummet, 86
Grünspecht, 137
Gruppe, 170, 171
Grütze, 101
guat (dial.), 78
guerre (F.), 13
guet (dial.), 78
gülden, 55
gut, 108
gutes Muthe, 109

h, from k, 5; unaffected by second shifting, 15; in Inlaut and Auslaut, 45, 85; disappears between vowels, 87
haben (conj.), 112; ' (syntax), 138, 139
Habicht, 159
Hafen, 35, 92
-haft, adjective ending, 66
Hag, 86
Hain, 55, 67, 86
halber, 137
halbieren, 163
Hall, 57
Halle, 67
hallen, 57
Haller (the poet), 30, 67
Haltunke, 164
Halm, 83
Hals, 88, 94
Hammer, 92
Hammpfel (dial.), 84
Hand, 102
(von langer) Hand, 168
Händchen, 26
Händeken (dial.), 26
handgehabt, 31
Händle (dial.), 26
Händli (dial.), 26
(đen) Handschuh werfen, 60
Handwerk, 83
Hant, 158
hangen, 115
Hans, 60
Harfe, 100
Harfenton, 100
Harke, 36
Harnisch, 171
(in) Harnisch gerathen, 62
Hase, 97
Hauken, 113, 115
Haupt, 53, 61, 93
Haus, 20, 36, 94
Hausbesen, 61
Häuser, 80
Häuslein, 83
Him*, 83
Havarie, 165
Herr, 5, 55, 61, 98, 99
Herrzog, 78, 83, 96
Herrzogenstand, 96
Hessians, 10, 11, 12
Heune, 77
Heuschrecke, 62
heute, 36
Hie, hier, 86
High German, territory of shifted t, 14; boundary of, 16
Himbeere, 86
Himmels, 70, 83, 91, 92
himmlisch, 83
Hindin, 55
hinterrück, 98
Hirte, 81, 98
Hitzen, 52
Hochdeutsch, see Schriftsprache
Hochtont, 74, 84, 92, 96
Hoff, 91
(än) Hof machen, 166
Hoffart, 86
Hohlkopf, 63
holen, 62
Hölle, 100
Höllental, 65
Höllenstein, 65
Homonymus, 52, 53
Hören, 44, 45, 52, 111
Horn, 83
Hort, 67
Hotel, 169
Huet (dial.), 78
Huhn, 88, 92, 93, 94, 95
Humanists, 24, 163
Hundsriick, 98
Hüne, 77
i, cause of Umlaut, 80; from e, 81, 113, 114
i, change to ei, 20, 36, 40, 76; from ie, 77, 78
-i, diminutive ending, 26, 145
i-stems (nouns), 90-94, 100, 102
i-stems (verbs), 110, 111, 118
Icelandic, 9
ich, 15, 164
ie, change to i, 20, 77; pronunciation, 69, 70; from in, 82
-ie, substantive ending, 163
-ièren, verb ending, 72, 158, 163
-igen, verb ending, 49
ihr, 54, 104, 105, 106, 107
Imbiss, 40, 86
Imme, 101
Imperative mood, 139
impfen, 160
in, 134
indem, 132
Indicative mood, 139
Indo-European language, 2; its sounds, 3-4; accentuation, 7
-ing, diminutive ending, 26
ink (O.S.), 104
Inlaut, 5
inniglich, 55
Instrumental case, 134
Interjection, 133
io, from iu, 82
irden, 81
Irre, 68
Isolation of meaning, 63
iu (ü), change to eu, 20, 36, 76; change to io (ie), 82, 114
ja, 131, 133
Jagerei, 163
Jammer, 79
je, 129
jeglich, 128
jemand, 86, 128
jener, 131
jenseits, 78
jetzt, 86
jeweils, 31
Jews, their proper names, 146, 149, 151
jo-stems, 94-95, 98, 100
Joch, 83
Jomer (dial.), 79
Journal, 172
Jubeljahr, 163
Jude, 97
Jugend, 61
Jünger, 165
Jungfer, 83
Jungling, 83
Junker, 83
Jutes, 10
K, shifts to h, 5; to g, 6, 120; to ch, 15; to kch, 15
Kadaver, 171
Käfig, 170
Kaiser, 77, 160
Kalb, 93
kalfatern, 165
Kalk, 159
Kameradschaft, 61
Kammer, 7, 61
Kämpfe, 67
Kampf, 160
Kanuf, (dial.), 164
Kanzel, 161
kapores (dial.), 164
Karfreitag, 68
Karnival, 169
Käse, 89, 95, 98, 160
Katholik, 169
Kavallerie, 169
kch, from k, 15
kehren, 57
keinesfalls, 109
keineswegs, 109
Keller, 160, 169, 171
Keller, 160
kelttern, 160
-ken, diminutive ending, 26
kennen, 111
Kerbel, 170
Kerker, 160
Kette, 160
kiken (dial.), 27
Kind, 92
Kinn, 6
Kirche, 161
Kirsche, 159, 170
Kissen, 161
Kiste, 160, 170
Klage, 89, 99-100, 101, 102, 103
klatschen, 67
Klause, 161
Kleid, 92
klein, 63
Kleinod, 63
klirren, 66
Kloster, 161
knarren, 66
kneipen, 36
knicken, 36
Kniescheibe, 58
Knute, 164
kochen, 160
Kohl, 159
kommen, 31, 113, 126
Komtur, 163
König, 82, 83
Königsmörder, 65
Königsohn, 65
Königstiger, 65
können, 123, 124, 126
Konsulat, 172
Kopf, 7, 54; (in metaphor), 62, 63, 160
Korn, 127
koscher (dial.), 164
Kraft, 89, 101, 102, 103
kraft (prep.), 130
Kräfte, 80
Kreide, 170
Kreuz, 95, 162, 171
kriechen, 43, 114
Krone, 160
Kübel, 54
Kuh, 38
Kümmelblättchen, 164
Kürbis, 159
Küster, 162
Kutsche, 164
Kutte, 61
I-mouillé, 170
Lachs, 91
Laden, 92
lädien, 52, 123
lagg (dial.), 118
Land, 55, 94
Landzunge, 58
Langfinger, 61
Langobards, 10, 11, 12
lassen, 115, 126
Last, 92
Latin, a branch of Indo-European, 4, 7; the language of learning, 11, 12, 19, 24; loan-words, 159, 163
Laube, 165
laufen, 27, 113, 115
laut, 130
Lautverschiebung, see Sound-shifting
Lawine, 35
Lazarusmensch, 61
-te, dimin. ending, 25
Leahnl, 60
lebend, 83
legen, 110, 118
lehren, 27, 57, 59, 110, 112, 126
Lehrer, 83
-lei, 158, 163
Leih, 63, 94
Leibnitz, 24
Leibrente, 63
Leibzucht, 63
Leichdorn, 63
Leiche, 63, 66, 76, 103
Leichnam, 66, 76
Leichtsinn, 61
leid, 128
leiden, 120
Leiste, 165
leiten, geleiten, 126
lenotrisch, 61
lernen, 27, 57, 59
lesen, 114
leugnen, 80
Leute, 36
-li, dimin. ending, 26
liab (dial.), 78
Libell, 171
-lisch, 66, 76
lichten, 35
lichterloch, 68
Lied, 85
Lieutenant, 169, 170
Lilie, 159
lind, 55
Linwurm, 53
Lisiere, 171
List, 59
Liste, 165
Literary language, see Schriftsprache
Loan-words, 157-172
Lob, 85
Locative case, 134
Loge, 165
Lorraine, 23
lose (E.), 121
losen (dial.), 27
lösen, 52, 111
loslösen, 52
Loth, 92
laut (E.), 6
Low German, the territory of, preserved t, 14; boundary of, 16, 20; vocabulary, 35, 36
Luchs, 91
lugen (dial.), 35
Lump, 31, 97
Lumpen, 31, 97
lupfen (dial.), 27
Luther, Martin, 27, 28, 29
mäc (Mhg.), 53
machen (in idioms), 51, 168
| Macht, 84 |
| Magd, 86 |
| magezoge (Mhg.), 53 |
| Magsamen, 79 |
| Magyars, 19 |
| mahlen, 116 |
| Maid, 86 |
| Makel, 79 |
| Makkes (dial.), 164 |
| machen, 85 |
| mancherlei, 163 |
| mancliesterlich, 66 |
| Mandel, 159 |
| Manier, 163 |
| Mann, 94, 98, 127, 128 |
| Mansarde, 170 |
| mark (marrow), 85 |
| Mark (coin), 92 |
| Markt, 160 |
| Marschieren, 163 |
| Marter, 162 |
| März, 98 |
| Märznerbier, 98 |
| Märzenschnee, 96 |
| Märzenausdau, 96 |
| Mass, 92 |
| Mast, 98 |
| Mathematik, 169 |
| Mathematisch, 169 |
| Matte, 35 |
| Mauer, 160, 171 |
| Maunahierbrieg, 46 |
| Maulbeere, 159 |
| Maulosal, 53, 172 |
| Maulscurf, 47 |
| Maus, 36, 76 |
| moonschel (dial.), 164 |
| muestasn, 161 |
| Mecklenburg, becomes German, 19 |
| Media, 4, 5, 6, 7; in Auslaut, 85 |
| Meersusen, 58 |
| Meeresarm, 58 |
| Meh, 84 |
| mehr, 56 |
| meinen, 40, 123 |
| Meile, 160 |
| mein, meiner, 55, 104, 105, 107 |
| Melberei (dial.), 84 |
| Menge, 85 |
| Mensch, 96 |
| Menschenschlag, 81 |
| mer, mir (=wir), 105 |
| meschuge (dial.), 164 |
| Messe, 162 |
| Messner, 162 |
| Metaphor, 53, 58 |
| Metaphysik, 169 |
| Mette, 162 |
| mi, mik (dial.), 105 |
| Middle German dialects, 18; boundary of, 20; form the basis of Modern German, 28, 35 |
| Middle High German period, 18-22 |
| Miibe, 84 |
| minder, 26 |
| mindern, 52 |
| Minne, 53, 67 |
| minnen, 53 |
| mit, 134 |
| Mitgift, 84 |
| Mittag (for Nachmittag), 57 |
| Mitte, 100 |
| mittelst, 86, 129 |
| möchte, 80 |
| mögen, 84, 123, 124 |
| Möhn, 79 |
| Moment, 172 |
| Mönch, 82, 162 |
| Mond, 79, 96 |
| Moods, 139-141 |
| Moos (dial.), 164 |
| Most, 160 |
| mother (E.), 5 |
| Mucken, 59 |
| Mueter (dial.), 79 |
| Mühe, 100 |
| Mumpfel (dial.), 84 |
| Münchner Kindl, 135 |
| Münster, 161, 168 |
| Münze, 160, 169 |
| Musik, 169 |
| müssen, 123 |
| Mutter, 79, 89, 103 |
| Mutting (dial.), 26 |
| Mysterien, 47 |
| Mystics, 19, 166 |
n-mouillé, 170
n-stems (nouns), 96, 99, 101
nach, 58, 85
Nachbar, 83
nachdem, 132
Nacht, 102
Nagel, 92
Nagelkopf, 58, 62
nah, 85
nahen, 55
Name, 97
Narr, 96
neben, 130
nehmen, 10, 78, 113, 114, 117
nehmen wir, 68
Neid, 40, 76
neidlich, 67
nein, 133
nehmen, 111
Neologism, 50, 56, 64-68
neunzehn, 65
New High German period, 23-36; extent of, 23-24; origin and history of, 27-30; characteristics, 35
ng, 69, 85
nicht, 129
Nicker, 67
tie, 79, 129
nieder, 83
niedrig, 83
niemand, 128
niezen (Mhg.), 52
nimmer, 79
nk, 85
Nominative case, 144
Nonne, 162
noon (E.), 57
Norse, 9
North German, provincialisms of, 31; purer language spoken by, 33
Norwegian, 9
noth, 128
Noun, 134-137
Nummer, 171
nun, 132
Nutz, Nutzen, 98

Ø-stems (verbs), 110
ob, 132, 133
obgleich, 133
Oblate, 162
obschon, 133
obwohl, 133
Ocean, 169
Ochs, 38, 96
Odem, 55, 79
oder (= aber), 58
Odermännig, 172
Ofen, 92
öffentlich, 86
Öhm, 92
Ohr, 98, 99
Oel, 160
Old High German period, 11-18
Old Saxon, 17; dual pronouns, 104
Onomatopoeia, 67
opfern, 162
Optative mood, 139, 140, 142
Oratio obliqua, 141, 142
Orgel, 162
Orthography, 69, 70
ös (= ihr), 105
Ossen (dia1.), 87
ou, change to au, 77
öu, change to äu (eu), 77

c, shifts to f, 5, 15; to b, 6; to pf, 15, 172
Paar, 92
Palais, 172
Palast, 163, 171
Panier, 169
Papst, 86, 161
Parfüm, 172
Parterre, 169
Past participles, 126
Past-present verbs, 123
Patriarchat, 172
patschen, 67
Pech, 172
Pein, 162, 172
Peitsche, 164
pelzen, 160
Peter, 60
Patschaft, 164
pf, from p, 15
Pfaffe, 161
INDEX

Pfalz, 160, 169
Pfrarrers, 135
Pfau, 159, 172
Pfeffer, 159
Pfeil, 160
Pferch, 159
Pferd, 40
Pfingsten, 161
Pflanze, 159, 172
Pflaster, 159, 161
pflegen, 84, 118, 119
Pflegling, 166
Pflicht, 84
Pforte, 160, 172
Pfosten, 160
pfropfen, 160
Pfuhl, 161
Pfund, 40, 92, 160
Pfütze, 172
Phänomen, 169
Phonic changes, 39-41; opposed by analogy, 45
Phonology of New High German, 76-87
Physik, 169
Pilgrim, 172
piquant, 58
Plage, 162
Plan, 163
Plattfuss (slang), 54
Platz, 160
pleite (dial.), 164
plumpsen, 67
Plural formation, 50
Poetry, language of, 53, 54, 55
Politeness, language of, 54
Politik, 169
politisch, 169
pomadig, 164
Pomerania, becomes German, 19
Prahlhaus, 60
predigen, 162
Preis, 163, 171
preisen, 119
Prepositions, 130
Present tense, 137, 138, 139
Preterite tense, 138
Priester, 162
Probst, 162
Professor, 169
Pronouns, 103-107, 128, 129, 131; in oratio recta, 142
Proper names, 143-156; used as common names, 60
Prose, language of, 55
Protestant, 169
Proverbs, 48, 59
Provincialisms, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32
Prussia, becomes German, 19
Puder, 171
Purpur, 160
qu, from tw, 87
quängeln, 87
Quaselfritze, 60
Quaselliese, 60
Quaselpeter, 60
quer, 87
Querkopf, 63
quirn, 152
r, in Auslaut, 86
r-stems (nouns), 103
rächen, 55
rahmen (overtake), 68
Randal, 66
Rang, 165, 170
(es) rappelt, 59
Rappier, 171
rathen, 115
(sich) Rats erhölen, 135
Räuber, 163
Rauchwaren, 45, 85
Rauchwerk, 45, 85
rauh, 45, 85
rauben, 77
Raupen, 59
Rebbich (dial.), 164
Rechnig (dial.), 84
rechtschaffen, 126
Reduplication, 115
Regiment, 172
reich, 159
reiten, 122, 123
rennen, 80, 111
Restriction of meaning, 57
Rettich, 159
reuen, 77
Rhyming formulas, 48
Rind, 93, 94
Ring, 165
Rippe, 101
rolfinken, 61
Rolle, 171
Romance languages, 9, 12, 13
Rose, 159
Röölein rot, 55, 137
Ross, 55
rs, change to rsch, 87
Rücken, 98
Rückkehr, 98
Rück-umlaut, 44, 80, 111
rückwärts, 98
rufen, 31, 115
Ruklas, 32
Rundkopf, 137
Runen, 77
Rüpel, 60
(zu) Rüste gehen, 68
rutschen, 66

s (pronunciation), 70
Saat, 89, 99, 102, 103
Sachseuspiegel, 19
sachte, 36
Sack, 160
salben, 110
salzen, 115, 121
sanft, 36
Sang, 85
santa (Ohgh.), 165
satt (dia.), 118
saufen, 120
süngen, 120
Saxons, 10, 11, 12, 17
Saxony, 18, 19
Scandinavian, 8, 9, 10
sch, 69
schaben, 121
Schacher, 164
Schachte, Schächte, 91
schächten, 164
schade, 128
Schaf, 15
-schaft, 66
Scharlatan, 169
Scheibe, 58
scheiden, 115
scheinen, 127

Schenk, 96
scheren, 118
Schindel, 160
Schlacht, 81
Schlächter, 81
Schläfe, Schlaf, 101
schlafen, 15, 115
Schlag, 81
schlagen, 43, 110, 121, 122
Schlange, 101
schlecht, 62
schlechthin, 62
schlecht u. recht, 62
schlechtvogel, 62
Schleswig, 23
Schlucht, 36
Schlucht, 36
schlüften, 36
Schmack, 85
schmähen, 85
Schmalkalns, 60
schmecken, 27, 58, 111
schmelzen, 117
Schmer, 160
Schmver (dia.), 164
schmusen (dia.), 164
Schmutz, 27
schneiden, 121
Schopf (dia.), 164
schön, 45, 80
schön, 27, 45, 80
Schnörheit, 66
Schöps, 164
(eine) Schraube los, 59
schreiben, 119, 123, 161
Schrift, 56
Schriftsprache, in Mhg. period, 21 ;
in Nhg., 24-27 ; its development,
27-30 ; its relation to dialects, 33 ;
its deficiencies, 34 ; borrows from
dialects, 79
Schröpfgkopf, 62
Schuh, 85, 92
schuld, 128
Schule, 161
Schultheiss, 83, 96
Schulz, 83
Schummurmurr (dia.), 164
Schürze, 61
Schüssel, 160
| Schwachkopf, 63 | Sommer, 82 |
| Schwän, 96 | sondern, 131 |
| Schwannenhals, 96 | Sonne, 82, 100 |
| schwank, 55 | Sonnenlicht, 100 |
| (ins) Schwarze treffen, 59 | Sound-shifting, first, 4-6; second, 13-16, 172 |
| Schwätzfrabease, 60 | Souper, 169 |
| Schwelle, 61 | spalten, 115, 121 |
| schwellen, 117, 122 | Spon, 60 |
| Schwert, 92 | spanisch, 60 |
| Schwester, 103 | (einen) Sparren zu viel, 59 |
| Schwindsucht, 48 | Speise, 160, 162 |
| schwirren, 66 | Spende, 162 |
| schwirren, 31, 55, 118 | Spiegel, 160 |
| seethe (E.), 120, 121 | (aufs) Spiel setzen, 59 |
| Segen, 162 | Spirants, 4, 6, 15; preceding t, 84 |
| sehen, 57, 85 | Sporen, Spornen, 31 |
| sehr, 53, 58 | sprechen, 15, 117, 118 |
| sein, seiner, 106, 107 | springen, 27, 117 |
| sein (verb), 119, 121, 125, 138, 139 | ss, from chs, 87 |
| set (=sagt), 86 | Stahl, 87 |
| set (prep.), 132 | Statt, 103 |
| selber, 137 | Stätte, 103 |
| selbst, 86 | Statue, 169 |
| selbstvergessen, 57 | staunen, 35 |
| seliglich, 55 | stecken, 118 |
| Semmel, 160 | stecken, 122 |
| senden, 43, 80, 111 | stehen, 32, 116, 120, 124, 125 |
| Senf, 160 | Stein, 94 |
| sengen, 62 | (einen) Stein im Brett haben, 59 |
| Sen, 35 | Steinmetz, 96 |
| Sette Communi, 23 | sterben, 120 |
| setzen, 59, 111 | Steven, 36 |
| Seeche, 82 | Stiefel, 98 |
| Sie (=you), 55 | Stieglitz, 164 |
| siech, 48, 55, 82 | still, 133 |
| sieden, 121 | Stoffel, 60 |
| Siegel, 161 | stossen, 115 |
| Sigrist, 162 | Strasee, 158 |
| Silber, 158 | Streichhölzchen, 59 |
| Silesia, becomes German, 18, 19 | streng, 80 |
| singen, 117, 122 | streuen, 77 |
| Sinn, 98 | Stück, 92, 95 |
| sintemal, 130 | Studierter, 57 |
| Slavonic, encroached upon by German, 18, 19; loan-words, 164 | Stuhlbein, 58 |
| Slavs, 10 | Stute, 103 |
| so, 128, 130, 131 | Sucht, 48 |
| Socke, 101 | süßen, 36 |
| Sohn, 82 | Sündflut, 47 |
| sollen, 123, 124, 127 | |
INDEX

sunvader, 64
süss, 57
Swabia, prevalence of dialects, 33
Swedish, 9
Swiss, provincialisms of, 31; words adopted from, 35
Switzerland, prevalence of dialects, 33
Synonyms, 48, 49, 52, 64
Syntax, 127-142; Latin influence on, 166; French influence, 167
t, shifts to th, 5; to d, 6; to z and ss, s, 14; after spirant, 84; after n, s, 86
t-stems (nouns), 102
Tag, 78, 85, 88, 90, 91, 92, 96, 98
Tambur, 170
Tassenkopf, 62
Tau, 36
Taube, der, 77
Taube, die, 77
taufen, 166
-te, -ete, preterite ending, 44, 50, 109, 110, 111
-tel, noun ending, 84
Tenses, 137, 138, 139
Tenuis, shifts to spirant, 4; to media, 7; second shifting, 14
Tetraxitic Goths, 9
Teufel, 161
Teutonic language, 2-7; its subgroups, 8-11; phonetic changes in, 81, 82
th, from t, 5; shifts to d, 15
Thulsohle, 58
Theil, 84
Thomasius, Christian, 24
Thor, 96
thun, 125
Thuringians, 10, 11, 12
Thurm, 160
Tiefton, 74, 83, 92, 96
tüön (Ogh.), 162
Tisch, 160
Toast, 170
Tobsucht, 48
Tochter, 60
Tröfel, 60
Tracht, 84
tragen, 43, 44, 84, 110, 112, 113, 116, 118, 122, 124, 125
Trommroy, 171
Traube, 35, 101
träumen, 80
traun, 133
traut, 77
trecken (dial.), 27
Tredici Communi, 23
treiben, 57, 84, 123
treit (=trägt), 86
Trestier, 93
Trift, 84
Trinken, 61
trippeln, 66
Trope, 53
Tropf, Tropfen, 97
trotz, 31
Truchsess, 96
trunken, 126
Tuck, Tücke, 101
tugendreich, 68
-tum, noun ending, 66
Tünche, tünchen, 160
Turanian family of languages, 6
turnen, 68
turnieren, 163
tw, change to zw, kw, 87
two (E.), 6
u, change to o, 81, 82
ü, change to au, 20, 36, 76; from uo, 77
ü (in), change to eu, 20, 36, 76; from üe, 77; change to ö, 82
über, 31, 130
überall, 31, 130
überlegt, 57
Übermuth, 61
überzwerch (dial.), 87
üe, change to ü, 77
Ulfilas, 9
um, 58, 59, 130
umgewendter Napoleon, 46
Umlaut, 45, 79-81, 90, 91, 92, 95, 102, 103, 111, 112, 118, 120, 122
un-, adjectives compounded with, 74
ungefreut (Mhg.), 166
unk (O.S.), 104
unorthographisch, 65
unser, unserer, 104, 105, 107
uo, change to ü, 20, 77
Upper German, boundary of, 17
Ur, 77
Urthel (dialect), 84
dazflus (MhG.), 166

v, pronounced as f, 69; Latin, 170
Vater, 5, 79, 92, 103
Vaterhertz, 103
Vatter (dialect), 79
Vatting (dialect), 26
Veilchen, 170
ver- (for zer-), 26
verbreche (for zerbrechen), 26
vergessen (auf), 31
Verhalten, 59
verlieren, 121
Verlust, 121
vermindern, 52
vernehmen, 59
Verner's Law, 7, 120
Vernunft, 59
verreisse (for zerreissen), 26
verrückt, 59
versöhnen, 36
Verstärkung, 61
verteidigen, 86
verwes, 62
verwehren, 52
verwesen, 125
Vesper, 162, 170
Vetter, 79
vielerlei, 163
vira (MhG.), 170
Vogel, 59, 92
Vogt, 86, 170
Volksherrschaft, 166
Volksthum, 68
volkstümlich, 68
voll, 82
voller, 136
von, 134, 136
vor, 58, 59, 81
vorgeben, 59
vorhanden, 102
Vorthel (dialect), 84

Voss (dialect), 87
Vowel changes, 76-82
Vowel stems, 90-95
w, change in Auslaut, 84; in Intallaut, 84; in Ogh., 170
Wache, 61
Waffe, 36, 101
Wagen, 89, 91, 97, 98
Wahnsinn, 45
wahnwitzig, 45
währen, 52
während, 31
wahrlich, 165
Waise, 77
Wald, 94
Wallfahrt, 63
Wanten, 68
Wappen, 36, 101
warmkalt, 65
warnen, 165
Warte, 165
warum, 86
was, 128, 129, 132
wassen (dialect), 87
weben, 55, 119
weder, 131
Weg, 78, 79
weg, 79
wegen (MhG.), 52
wegen (prep.), 59, 130
wehren, 52
Weide (pasture), 77
Weide (willow), 77
Weihen, 160, 171
Weihnachten, 102
weil, 59
weiland, 129
Wein, 160
weinerlich, 68
Weise, 77
weisen, 119
Weisung, 83
weit, 36
welcher, 128, 129
Welt, 85
Wend language-island, 23
wenden, 57, 111
wenn auch, 133
wenn gleich, 133
| Wenn schon, 133 | Würde, 85 |
| Wer, 128, 129 | wurden, 81 |
| Wurden, 55, 116, 117, 126, 138 | würfe, 81 |
| Werfen, 117 | Würfel, 59 |
| Werk, 83, 92 | z, from t, 14 ; =ts, 69 ; from Latin |
| Wern (Mhg.), 52 | c, 170 |
| Wert, 85 | Zacke, 101 |
| Werwolf, 65 | zag, 55 |
| Wes, wessen, 55, 107 | zehn, 14, 87 |
| Wesen (Mhg.), 125 | zeigen, 6 |
| West Teutonic, 8, 10 | Zeit, 20, 36, 40, 103 |
| Wette, 101, 165 | Zeitabschnitt, 58 |
| Wtari (Ogh.), 171 | Zeitpunkt, 58 |
| Wider, 79, 130 | Zeitraum, 58 |
| Wieder, 79 | zer-, wanting in dialects, 26 |
| Wiener Würste, 135 | Zerrbild, 166 |
| Wildpret, 97 | zerstreut, 166 |
| Wildschwein, 137 | zeugen, 56 |
| Wimper, 86 | Ziegel, 160 |
| Wind, 83 | ziehen, 120, 121 |
| Windhund, 53 | Zimmerbesen, 61 |
| Wingert (dial.), 84, 97 | Zins, 160, 171 |
| Wintin, 83 | zippeln, 66 |
| Wissen, 123 | Zipperlein, 66 |
| Wit (O.S.), 104 | Zitig (dial.), 84 |
| Wo, 27 | Zobel, 164 |
| Woge, 79, 101 | Zoll (inch), 92 |
| Wohl, 131 | Zoll (toll), 160 |
| wohlbestellt, 111 | Zores (dial.), 164 |
| Wolf, 38 | zu, 130 |
| wölfen, 68 | Zunge, 99, 100, 103 |
| Wolff, Christian, 24 | zupfen, 66 |
| wollen, 124 | zurück, zurück, 55, 98 |
| womit, 86 | zusammmt, 86 |
| wonniglich, 55 | Zustand, 59 |
| worin, 86 | zw, from tw, 87 |
| Wort, 56, 92, 94 | zwar, 131 |
| Wortwechsel, 131 | Zweifel, 84 |
| wovon, 86 | zwillkont (Ogh.), 165 |
| Wrack, 36 | Zwerchfell, 87 |
| zwingen, 56, 87 | Zwischenfall, 166 |
PROPER NAMES

Achalm, 47
Adelshofen, 91
Albert, Albrecht, 143
Allmendingen, 155
Amerbach, 147
Amthor, 147
Andermatt, 154
Andresen, 148
Anselm, 144
Ausgar, 144
Aergerniss, 154
Armbruster, 148
Aschbach, 152
-au, 153
Auerbach, 152
Aus'm Wörth, 147

Babo, 153
-bach, 153
-bacher, 147
Baiern, 154
bald, 144
Ballerheim, 155
Bamberg, 153
Bär, 151
Baschi, 60
Bebra, 152
Beppi, 146
Berg, 147
Berghausen, 152
Bergheim, 152
Bernstein, 148
bert, 143
Binder, 150
Birkenäcker, 158
Birkenau, 152
Birkenfeld, 153
Bischoff, 148
Bischofsheim, 153
Blumenthal, 148
Bogner, 148
Böttcher, 150
Braun, Braune, 150
breht, 143
Breisach, 151
Breitenfeld, 154

-brink, 156
-bruch, 153
Bruno, 77, 150
Buchenbach, 152
 bury, 143
Busch, 147
-büttel, 156
Büttner, 150
Chlodwig, 17
Chlotar, 17
Dank, 151
Danko, 151
Deeke, 146
Degerfelden, 94
Detmold, 152
Diedel, 146
Diedenhofen, 153
diet, diot, 146
Dietrichs, 154
Dietze, 146
Diez, 146
Donau, 151, 159
Drach, 147
Drommersheim, 155
Dumnorix, 159
Ebaro, Ebo, 145
Ebers, 148
ecke, 143
Eckehart, 143
Eichenäcker, 153
Einersheim, 155
Eintracht, 154
Eiseu, 151
Elfershausen, 155
-er, 147
-ers, 155
Eschfeld, 153
-esheim, 155
Falkner, 148
Fasser, 150
-feld, 153
-felden, 94, 154
-flath, 156
Folko, 145
Franken, 154
Frankershausen, 155
Frankfurt on the Oder, 153
Friedrich, 76, 143, 146
Fritz, 146
Gänserich, 76
Gelegenheit, 154
Gensfleisch, 147
ger, 143
Gerbert, 143, 145
Gerhard, 145
gernot, 145
gero, 145
Gertrud, 77, 143
gerwig, 145
gerwin, 145
Goldmark, 149
Goldstein, 149
Gottschall, 143
Grete, 60
Gross Sachsen, 153
Gudrun, Gundrun, 145
Habsburg, 152
Hafner, 150
Hannes, 146
Hans, 60, 145, 146
Hansel, 146
Hansen, 148
Hariulf, 153
hart, 143
Harto, 149
Hartung, 149
Haslau, 152
Hauck, 150
-haupten, 94
-hausen, 154, 155
-hauser, 147
-heuser, 147
Heinrich, 76, 146, 149
Heintz, 146
Helmholz, 148
Henning, 149
Henno, 149
Herbersdorf, 155
Hersfeld, 153
Herzog, 148
Herzogenbuchsee, 96
Herzogenhorn, 153
Hessen, 154
Hildebrand, 145
Hildegunde, 145
Hilgard, 149
Hochhausen, 152
Hochheim, 152
-hofen, 91, 154
Hohentwiel, 154
Höllenthal, 100
Homburg, 86, 154
hrōd, 151
-hude, 156
Hugo, 150
-hüsen, 155
-i, 26, 145
Ibenbach, 152
-ikon, 91, 155
Imhof, 147
-ing, ingen, 149, 153, 154, 155, 156
Ingeborg, 144
Ingo, 145
Ingraban, 144, 145
Irmino, Irmo, 145
Isar, 151
Iso, 151
Jack, 146
Jürggi, 146
Jakob, 146
Jan, 146
Jansen, 148
Jock, 146
Jockel, 146
Johann, 146
John, 146
Kaiser, 148
Kaiserwörth, 153
Kälbersbach, 93
Karolinger, 149
Kehrenbach, 152
Kernbach, 152
Kirubach, 152
Klaus, 145, 146
Kob, 146
Köbel, 146
Köbi. 146
-kon, 91
König, 148
Königshofen, 91
Königstein, 153
Konrad, 145, 146
koog, 156
Küfer, 150
kuhl, 156
Kunigund, 144
Kuno, 145
Kunz, 146
Kuoni, 145
lar, 156
Leahnl, 60
Leopold, Leupold, 86, 144
Leuthold, 86, 144
Lieske, 149
Liggersdorf, 155
Lining, 26
Lint, 143
Lise, 145
Liu, 144
lon, 154
Lothar, 17
Lotte, 145
Löwenthal, 149
Ludwig, 17
lust, 154
Lützelsachsen, 153
Mägdesprung, 102
Main, 151, 159
Mainz, 151, 159
Mathisson, 148
Melibocus, 159
Menelaus, 169
Merkshausen, 155
Meyer, 148
Mining, 26
Missgunst, 154
Molenbeck, 152
moos, 153
Mühlbach, 152
Mühlenbach, 100
Mühlhausen, 152
Mühlheim, 152
Müller, 148
Neckarhausen, 152
Neidhart, 77
Nickel, 146
Nithart, 77
Notburga, 143
-o, 145
Ochs, 147
Oedipus, 169
Oggersheim, 155
Ollersbach, 155
Oswald, 144
Ott, Otte, 150
Otto, 150
Peter, 60
Pfäffikon, 91
Pfeilsticker, 148
Plattner, 148
Pötter, 150
Ragano, Rago, 145
Regiomontanus, 150
Reineke, 86
Reinhard, 86
reiter, 147
reut, 152
reuter, 147
Rhein, 151, 159
Rheinfelden, 94
Rheinhausen, 94
Rheinheim, 152
Rhone, 170, 171
rich, 143
ried, 153
rode, 152
röder, 147
Rodo, 151
Roland, 144
Rosenthal, 149
Roshaupten, 94
Rossbach, 152
Rot, 151
Rubinstein, 149
Rüdesheim, 153
Rudolf, 145
ruhe, 154
Ruklas, 32
Rüodi, 26, 145
Rüpel, 60
Ruperath, 155
Rutland, 144
Sachsen, 154
Sachsenhausen, 153
Sangershausen, 94
Saphir, 149
Saxen, 154
Sachsenhausen, 153
Sanglershausen, 94
Saphir, 149
INDEX

Sauerland, 47
Schaaffhausen, 94
schalk, 143
Schaumburg, 86
Scheffler, 150
*scheid, 156
Schmidt, 148
Schneider, 148
Schönbrunn, 97
Schnuchardt, 85
Schultze, 83, 148
Schwaben, 154
Schweiz, 76
Schwyz, 76
Seib, 145
Seligenstadt, 152
*sen, 155
Sibo, 145
Siffo, 145
Sigbald, 145
Sigbert, 145
sige, 143
Sigelinde, 143
Sigfried, 145
Sigimar, 145
Sigimund, 145
Simo, 145
Sissikon, 91
Solothurn, 151
Spessart, 152
Stein, 147
Steinthal, 147
*steten, 155
*stetten, 154
Stoffel, 60
Stolzenfels, 154
Strauch, 147
Thalhausen, 152
Thalheim, 152
Themistokles, 169
Thilo, 146
Thorbeke, 147
Tieck, 146
Tiedge, 146
Till, 146
Tilly, 146
Töffel, 60
Töpfer, 150
trüt, 143
Ueberweg, 147
Ulrich, 146
*ung, ungen, 149, 153, 156
Unterwalden, 94
Unverzug, 154
Utz, 146
Vercingetorix, 159
Vogesen, 159
Voit, 86
Volkersdorf, 155
von der Tann, 147
Wachsmuth, 87
Wald, 151
*wald, 144, 153
*walden, 94, 154
Waldo, 151
Walther, 145
Wälti, 145
*wang, 155
Wasmuth, 87
Weidenau, 152
*weil, 155
*weiler, 155
Weissenburg, 154
wert, 147, 152
Wertheim, 152
Wilken, 148
Williko, 148
Witekind, 153
Witgenstein, 153
Witiko, 153
witt, 154
Wittenberg, 154
Wolf, 151
Wolfram, 144
Wölssungen, 149
Worms, 151, 159
Zermatt, 154
Zetzikon, 91
Ziegenhain, 152
Zumbusch, 147
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